

## MERGER ANTITRUST LAW

LAWJ/G-1469-05  
Georgetown University Law Center  
Fall 2018

Tuesdays and Thursdays, 3:30-4:55 pm  
Dale Collins  
[dale.collins@shearman.com](mailto:dale.collins@shearman.com)  
[www.appliedantitrust.com](http://www.appliedantitrust.com)

### CLASS 1 HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT

#### Instructions

Submit by email no later than 3:30 pm on Tuesday, August 28  
Send to [dale.collins@shearman.com](mailto:dale.collins@shearman.com)  
Subject line: Merger Antitrust Law: Assignment for Class 1

#### Assignment

Recall that the essential elements of a prima case of a Section 7 violation are:

1. The acquisition of stock or assets;
2. One or more relevant geographic markets (“section of the country”);
3. One or more relevant product markets (“line of commerce”; and
4. In each relevant market,<sup>1</sup> an anticompetitive effect (“the effect of such acquisition may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly”)

Identify each alleged relevant market alleged in the TransDigm/Takata complaint. For each relevant market, identify the anticompetitive harm(s) alleged in the complaint and summarize the factual allegations in the complaint supporting each of these alleged harms.

If you have any questions, send me an e-mail. See you on Tuesday, August 28.

Dale

**NOTE: Normally, homework assignments will receive participation grades. However, since the first week is more of a shopping period, you are not required to submit the assignments for the first two classes. I encourage you to do so, however, both because it will help you prepare for the discussion in class and it will help me better identify what I need to cover in more depth and what I can glance over in class.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Recall that a “relevant market” is a line of commerce in a section of the country. In other words, the relevant market has the geographic dimensions of the relevant geographic market and the product dimensions of the associated relevant product market.