# United States District Court

# FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**VENUE: SAN FRANCISCO** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

V.

NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT

CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI

**CR18** 

0203

DEFENDANT(S).

# **INDICTMENT**

VIOLATION: 15 U.S.C. § 1 - Price Fixing

| A true bill.   |              |
|--|--------------|
| Foreman  |              |
| Filed in open court this 6 day of  May 2018  KAREN L. HOM  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  Clerk |              |
| Bail, \$ Summons   | or 5/29/2018 |

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

| DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO   | O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT   |
|---|--|
| BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT  | Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location   |
| OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN  | IG NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |
| Count One: 15 U.S.C. §1 - Price Fixing (Count 1)  | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |
| Mino  |  |
| Misd  | e-     ,   |
| □ mear  |  |
| PENALTY:  | DY RISKISOURT NUMBER OF LE   |
| See attachment  | MAY  |
|   | 16 2018  |
|   | DEFENDANT CLERK U.S. DIOS  |
| PROCEEDING  | IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome in proceeding IFO  |
| Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)  | 1) X If not detained give date any prior   |
| Federal Bureau of Investigation   | summons was served on above charges  |
| person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court                                  | 2) Is a Fugitive   |
|   | 3)  Is on Bail or Release from (show District)   |
|   |  |
| this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District |  |
|   | IS IN CUSTODY  |
| this is a reprosecution of  | 4) On this charge  |
| charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion SHOW  | 5)  On another conviction Federal State  |
| of:  DOCKET NO  | .   6)  Awaiting trial on other charges  |
| U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE   | If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution  |
| this prosecution relates to a   | -  |
| pending case involving this same  | Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date  |
| defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.   | No filed   |
| prior proceedings or appearance(s)  before U.S. Magistrate regarding this                                       | DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST  |
| defendant were recorded under   | Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not  |
| Name and Office of Person   | DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year  |
| Furnishing Information on this form Leslie A. Wulff, DOJ-Antitru  |  |
| U.S. Attorney Other U.S. Agency Name of Assistant U.S.  |  |
| Attorney (if assigned)  | This report amends AO 257 previously submitted   |
| PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF   | ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————  |
| ☐ NO PROCESS* ☐ WARRANT   | Bail Amount:   |
| If Summons, complete following:   |  |
|   | * Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or<br>warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment |
| Defendant Address:  C/O Ben Hur Esq., Keker, Van Nest & Peters  |  |
| 633 Battery Street, San Francisco, CA 94111-1809  | Date/Time: May 29, 2018 9:30 AM Before Judge: Joseph C. Spero  |
| Comments:   |  |

### PENALTY SHEET

Individual: Christopher Lischewski

15 U.S.C. § 1 – Price Fixing (Count 1)

SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

# Maximum Penalties:

- A term of imprisonment of 10 years 1.
- 2. A fine of \$1 million
- A period of supervised release of not more than 3 years 3.
- \$100 special assessment per count (\$100) 4.
- 5. Restitution







| İ                               |   |    |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|----|--|--|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7 | LESLIE A. WULFF (CSBN 277979) MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 269493) MICAH L. RUBBO (CSBN 267465) ANDREW SCHUPANITZ (CSBN 315850) U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 934-5300 Leslie.Wulff@usdoj.gov  MAY 16 2018  Attorneys for the United States |    |  |  |
| 8                               | Attorneys for the United States  CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  OF CALIFORNIA  |    |  |  |
| 9                               | DISTRICT OF CALIFORN  |    |  |  |
| 10                              | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  | Q, |  |  |
| 11                              | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |    |  |  |
| 12                              | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  |    |  |  |
| 13                              | 3   |    |  |  |
| 14<br>15                        | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  |    |  |  |
| 16                              | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.  CR18 0203  |    |  |  |
| 17                              | CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI VIOLATION: 15 U.S.C. § 1   |    |  |  |
| 18                              | Defendant.  Price Fixing  |    |  |  |
| 19                              | Defendant.  |    |  |  |
| 20                              |   |    |  |  |
| 21                              | TALE A COMPANIE   |    |  |  |
| 22                              | The Grand Jury charges that:  |    |  |  |
| 23                              | I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>  |    |  |  |
| 24                              | At all times relevant to this Indictment, unless otherwise indicated:   |    |  |  |
| 25                              | 1. Packaged seafood consists of shelf-stable tuna fish that is sold in cans and is  |    |  |  |
| 26                              | manufactured in a broad range of sizes and specifications.  |    |  |  |
| 27                              | 2. Bumble Bee Foods, LLC ("Bumble Bee"), was a limited liability company  |    |  |  |
| 28                              | incorporated in Delaware with its principal place of business in San Diego, California.   |    |  |  |
|                                 |   |    |  |  |

Bumble Bee manufactured, supplied, and sold packaged seafood to customers in the United States.

- 3. Defendant CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Bumble Bee.
- 4. Whenever in this Indictment reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, employees, agents, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of its business or affairs.

# II. DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE

- 5. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 4 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference.
- 6. CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI is hereby indicted and made a defendant on the charge contained in this Indictment.
- 7. Beginning in or about November 2010 and continuing until in or about December 2013, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant and coconspirators knowingly entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by fixing prices for packaged seafood sold in the United States. The combination and conspiracy engaged in by the defendant and coconspirators was an unreasonable restraint of interstate commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1). The defendant knowingly joined and participated in the charged conspiracy beginning in or about November 2010 and continuing until in or about December 2013.
- 8. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and coconspirators, the substantial terms of which were to fix and maintain prices of packaged seafood sold in the United States.

9. Various corporations and individuals, not made defendants in this Indictment, participated as coconspirators in the offense charged herein and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

### III. MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 10. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendant and coconspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, and authorized, ordered, and consented to the participation of subordinate employees in those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:
  - a) participated in meetings, conversations, and communications concerning prices of packaged seafood to be sold in the United States;
  - b) agreed during those meetings, conversations, and communications on prices for packaged seafood sold in the United States;
  - c) agreed during those meetings, conversations, and communications to limit and restrict competition between the conspirators as to certain types and categories of products, including, but not limited to, competition for products based on certain types of fishing methods;
  - d) collected, exchanged, monitored, and discussed information on prices, sales, supply, demand, and the production of packaged seafood for the purpose of reaching agreements on prices and monitoring and enforcing adherence to the agreements reached;
  - e) issued price announcements and pricing guidance for packaged seafood in accordance with the agreements reached;
  - f) sold packaged seafood in the United States at collusive and noncompetitive prices;
  - g) accepted payments for packaged seafood sold in the United States at collusive and noncompetitive prices; and

/ /

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

h) employed measures to conceal their conduct, including, but not limited to, using code when referring to coconspirators, meeting at offsite locations to avoid detection, limiting distribution and discouraging retention of documents reflecting conspiratorial contacts, and providing misleading justifications for prices.

## IV. TRADE AND COMMERCE

- During the period covered by this Indictment, the defendant and coconspirators sold and distributed substantial quantities of packaged seafood in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate trade and commerce to customers located in states or countries other than states or countries in which the packaged seafood was produced. In addition, substantial quantities of equipment and supplies necessary to the production and distribution of packaged seafood, as well as substantial payments by customers for packaged seafood sold by defendant and coconspirators, traveled in interstate trade and commerce.
- 12. During the period covered by this Indictment, the business activities of the defendant and coconspirators, their corporate employers, and other coconspirators in connection with the sale of packaged seafood that is the subject of this Indictment were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce within the United States.

18 || / /

19 || / /

20 || / /

21 ||//

22 | / /

23 || /

24 ||//

25 | / /

26 || /

27 ||/

28 || /