

1 THOMAS O. BARNETT
 Assistant Attorney General
 2 U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
 BRENT SNYDER (WSBA 26986)
 3 Trial Attorney - Antitrust Division
 U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
 4 450 Fifth Street, N.W.
 Suite 11300
 5 Washington, D.C. 20530
 telephone: (202) 616-3186
 6 facsimile: (202) 514-6525
 e-mail: brent.snyder@usdoj.gov

7
 8 Attorneys for the
 United States of America

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 WESTERN DIVISION

11 LAURA ALBEE, JOON CHUNG,
 JASON LEE aka SANG JIN LEE,
 12 TIMOTHY MURPHY, SUNGSHIC
 PARK, YOON PARK, HOWARD REE,
 13 LEON SONG, and EDWARD YOO,
 individually and on behalf of all others
 14 similarly situated,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 vs.

17 KOREAN AIR LINES CO., LTD.,
 ASIANA AIRLINES, INC.,

18 Defendants.
 19

MDL No. 1891

Master File No. CV 07-05107
 SJO (AGRx)

**ORDER
 GRANTING MOTION OF
 UNITED STATES TO
 INTERVENE AND STAY
 DISCOVERY**

Date: October 24, 2008
 Time: 8:00 a.m.
 Court: Hon. S. James Otero

[FILED CONCURRENTLY
 WITH MEMO OF P'S & A'S
 IN SUPPORT OF UNITED
 STATES MOTION TO
 INTERVENE AND STAY
 DISCOVERY; APPLICATION
 TO LODGE DOCUMENT
 UNDER SEAL; [PROPOSED]
 ORDER ON APPLICATION]

[LODGED CONCURRENT
 TO FILING UNDER SEAL:
 DECLARATION OF
 BRENT SNYDER]

1 **ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO INTERVENE AND STAY DISCOVERY**

2 On this date, the Court considered the MOTION OF UNITED STATES TO
3 INTERVENE AND STAY DISCOVERY. The Court finds that the United States
4 meets the requirements for permissive intervention under Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b) and
5 that a limited stay of discovery for a period of six months is warranted because the
6 public's interest in an ongoing related criminal grand jury investigation outweighs
7 the interests of the parties in conducting full discovery in this civil matter at this
8 time. It is therefore ordered that the Motion be GRANTED. Upon entry of this
9 Order of the Court, and for a period of six months or until further order of this
10 Court, discovery that seeks evidence of an alleged conspiracy in violation of the
11 Sherman Act involving air passenger transportation shall be limited as follows:

12 1. No discovery shall be conducted in this case, including without
13 limitation, document requests, interrogatories, requests to admit, or depositions that
14 refer, reflect or relate to any understandings, agreements, contacts, meetings, or
15 communications, between or among defendants and/or air passenger carriers,
16 relating to the sale, pricing, fares, volume, market share, customers, terms and
17 conditions, distribution, or classes of air passenger tickets.

18 2. No discovery shall be conducted in this case relating to grand jury
19 proceedings concerning air passenger and air cargo transportation, including
20 without limitation, document requests, interrogatories, requests to admit, or
21 depositions that refer, reflect or relate to any party's or witness' communications
22 with the United States, or with the grand jury (including, but not limited to, the fact
23 or existence of such communications), except by order of the Court upon good
24 cause shown and consistent with governing law.

25 3. To the extent consistent with the first two paragraphs of this Order,
26 discovery that concerns the following is not prohibited:

27
28 [Proposed] Order Granting
Motion to Intervene and Stay Discovery
CV-07-05107-SJO
MDL 1891

- 1 a. Third party discovery;
- 2 b. The sale of air passenger tickets by defendants (or their
- 3 subsidiaries, joint ventures, affiliates or agents) to passengers,
- 4 ticket purchasers not limited to passengers, travel agents,
- 5 wholesalers, consolidators, and other customers;
- 6 c. The purchase or sale of air passenger tickets by or to
- 7 passengers, travel agents, wholesalers, consolidators, other
- 8 customers, or agents;
- 9 d. Defendants' sales, capacity, capacity utilization, costs, sales
- 10 volumes, profitability, competitive position, market share, ticket
- 11 classes, sales terms and conditions, contracts with ticket
- 12 purchasers or travel agents, fares, and prices;
- 13 e. The identity of defendants' persons in positions of management
- 14 or control of ticketing and pricing, including any directors,
- 15 officers, managing agents and employees, and the identity of
- 16 purchasers of tickets, travel agents, or distributors of tickets.
- 17 Discovery may not seek narrative answers, but may ask for the
- 18 names, positions, dates of employment, tenure, addresses, and a
- 19 description of job duties for each during the class period;
- 20 f. The storage, location, retention, destruction or identity of
- 21 corporate records, including individual officer and employee
- 22 records; and
- 23 g. To the extent that any defendant denies in its response to the
- 24 Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint that the
- 25 Court lacks personal jurisdiction over that defendant, plaintiffs
- 26 shall be allowed to take discovery relating to the issue of
- 27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

personal jurisdiction over that Defendant.

4. This Order does not prohibit class certification discovery, except as prohibited by the other terms within this Order.

5. This Order does not prohibit the service of interrogatories, requests for admissions, requests for production of documents and deposition notices, except to the extent that such discovery requires the production of discovery which is stayed hereunder.

6. All formal discovery requests shall be served on the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division ("DOJ"), at least three weeks before the discovery is due to be produced. The DOJ and any party may object to discovery on the basis that the requested discovery seeks information or documents prohibited under this Order.

7. Nothing in this Order is intended to foreclose any objection to discovery that is otherwise permitted by this Order; all such objections are preserved. Any party or the DOJ can request a modification of the discovery permitted hereunder upon a showing of good cause.

8. The United States shall report on the status of the grand jury investigation 120 days after entry of this order. Six months after entry of this Order, the Court shall conduct a Discovery Status Conference whereby the United States will provide a progress report to the Court and may seek further extension of the limited discovery stay for good cause shown.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10/24/08 _____

/s/ S. James Otero

The Honorable S. James Otero
United States District Court Judge

[Proposed] Order Granting
Motion to Intervene and Stay Discovery
CV-07-05107-SJO
MDL 1891

1 RESPECTFULLY PRESENTED BY:

2 THOMAS O. BARNETT
3 Assistant Attorney General
4 U.S. Department of Justice
5 Antitrust Division

6 BY: _____
7 BRENT SNYDER
8 Trial Attorney

9 Attorneys for the United States of America
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 [Proposed] Order Granting
Motion to Intervene and Stay Discovery
CV-07-05107-SJO
MDL 1891