



3. The preliminary approval order outlined the form and manner by which plaintiffs were to provide the Class with notice of the settlement, the fairness hearing, and related matters. The notice program included individual notice to members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort. Proof that the mailing conformed with the preliminary approval order has been filed with the Court (Dkt. No. 2180). The Court finds that this notice program fully complied with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the requirements of due process, providing to the Class the best notice practicable under the circumstances.

4. The Court hereby grants final approval of the settlement on the basis that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e). The settlement amount is substantial; the settlement includes cooperation provisions that add value to the case; and BASF's sales remain in the case. In reaching this conclusion, the Court is satisfied that the settlement was fairly and honestly negotiated inasmuch as it was the result of vigorous arm's length negotiations which were undertaken in good faith by counsel with significant experience litigating antitrust class actions, and that serious questions of law and fact exist such that the value of an immediate recovery outweighs the mere possibility of future relief after protracted and expensive litigation. The Court gives weight to the parties' judgment that the settlement is fair and reasonable, as well as to the Class's reaction to the settlement.

5. All Released Claims are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs as to the Releasees. The Releasers are barred from instituting or prosecuting, in any capacity, an action or proceeding that asserts a Released Claim against any Releasee. This dismissal applies only in favor of BASF and the other Releasees. It is made without prejudice to any claims the members of the Class may have against any other Defendant.

6. The escrow account established by the parties, into which BASF already deposited an initial installment of \$17,000,000, is approved as a Qualified Settlement Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

7. This Order does not settle or compromise any claims by plaintiffs or the Class against the defendants or other persons or entities other than the Releasees, and all rights against any other defendant or other person or entity are specifically reserved. BASF's sales of the Products shall remain in the case against the Non-Settling Defendant as a basis for damage claims and shall be part of any joint and several liability claims against any Non-Settling Defendant or other person or entity other than the Releasees.

8. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding.

9. Without affecting the finality of this Order, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the enforcement of this Order; (b) the litigation between the plaintiffs and the Non-Settling Defendant; (c) the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement; and (d) the distribution of the Settlement Fund.

10. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), the Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and hereby directs that defendant BASF Corporation be dismissed with prejudice from this set of consolidated cases in this MDL proceeding.

ENTERED THIS 12th day of December, 2011.

s/ John W. Lungstrum  
Honorable John W. Lungstrum  
United States District Judge