

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TOLEDO MACK SALES &
SERVICE, INC.,
Plaintiff,

v.

MACK TRUCKS, INC.,
Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 02-4373

VERDICT SLIP

1. Do you find that Toledo has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Mack Trucks engaged in an agreement, combination or conspiracy in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act in the period from 1998 - 2006?

YES _____

NO X

If you answered YES to the above question, please proceed to Question 2 below.

If you answered NO to the above question, you should not the answer the additional question.

Please have the Jury Foreperson sign and date the Verdict Slip and notify the Deputy Clerk that you have concluded your deliberations.

2. What is the amount of damages that Toledo has proven by a preponderance of the evidence were caused by Mack's conduct?

\$ _____

10-22-11 11:30 AM
DATE

Stephen L. White - Attorney
JURY FOREPERSON