

1 Robert A. Mittelstaedt (State Bar No. 60359)
 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
 2 Craig E. Stewart (State Bar No. 129530)
 cestewart@JonesDay.com
 3 David C. Kiernan (State Bar No. 215335)
 dkiernan@jonesday.com
 4 Roberta D. Tonelli (State Bar No. 278738)
 rtonelli@JonesDay.com
 5 JONES DAY
 555 California Street, 26th Floor
 6 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: (415) 626-3939
 7 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700

8 Attorneys for Defendant
 INTUIT INC.
 9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

12
 13 **IN RE HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

14
 15 **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

16 **All Actions**
 17

Case No. 11-CV-2509 LHK

**INTUIT INC., PIXAR, AND
 LUCASFILM, LTD.'S JOINT
 MOTION REQUESTING ENTRY OF
 AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT**

Date Consolidated Amended Compl.
 Filed: September 13, 2011

18
 19 Defendants Intuit Inc., Pixar, and Lucasfilm, Ltd. (collectively the “Settled Defendants”) respectfully request that the Court amend the final judgment entered on June 9, 2014 (ECF No. 20 936) between Plaintiffs and the Class and the Settled Defendants.

21
 22 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), when adjudicating a case
 23 involving multiple claims or multiple parties, “the court may direct entry of a final judgment as to
 24 one or more, but fewer than all, claims or parties *only if the court expressly determines that there*
 25 *is no just reason for delay.*” Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) (emphasis added).

26 2. In its May 16, 2014 Order (ECF No. 915), the Court granted final approval of the
 27 class action settlements with Settled Defendants, dismissed this action with prejudice as against
 28 the Settling Defendants, and found final judgment appropriate in this action as between Plaintiffs

1 and the Class and Settling Defendants. The Court entered Final Judgment on June 9, 2014 (ECF
2 No. 936).

3 3. Having fully and finally resolved all claims between Plaintiffs and the Class and
4 Settling Defendants, there is no just reason for delaying entry of final judgment with respect to
5 these claims and parties, and the Settled Defendants hereby request that the Court enter an
6 amended final judgment, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(a) and (b). The Settled
7 Defendants have conferred with Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs consent to this request. A proposed
8 amended final judgment is attached.

9 Dated: June 9, 2014

JONES DAY

10 By _____/s/ David C. Kiernan
11 David C. Kiernan

12 *Attorneys for Defendant INTUIT INC.*

13 Dated: June 9, 2014

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

14 By _____/s/ Emily Johnson Henn
15 Emily Johnson Henn

16 Emily Johnson Henn
17 333 Twin Dolphin Dr., Suite 700
18 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
19 Telephone: (650) 632-4700
20 Facsimile: (650) 632-4800

21 Deborah A. Garza
22 John W. Nields Jr.
23 Thomas A. Isaacson
24 Chinue Richardson
25 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
26 Washington, DC 20004
27 Telephone: (202) 662-6000
28 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291

Attorneys for Defendants PIXAR and LUCASFILM LTD.

ATTESTATION: The filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been
obtained from all signatories.