

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 07-5276**

**September Term 2008**

**07cv01021**

**Filed On:** November 21, 2008

Federal Trade Commission,

Appellant

v.

Whole Foods Market, Inc., et al.,

Appellees

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Ginsburg\*\*, Henderson,  
Rogers, Tatel, Garland, Brown, Griffith, and Kavanaugh\*,  
Circuit Judges

**ORDER**

The petition of appellee Whole Foods Market, Inc. ("Whole Foods") for rehearing en banc was circulated to the full court, and a vote was requested. Thereafter, a majority of the judges eligible to participate did not vote in favor of the petition. Upon consideration of the foregoing and the motion of Whole Foods for leave to file a reply, the opposition thereto, and the lodged reply, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion for leave to file a reply be granted. The Clerk is directed to file the lodged reply. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the petition be denied.

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**  
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/  
Michael C. McGrail  
Deputy Clerk

\* Circuit Judge Kavanaugh would grant the petition.

\*\* A statement by Circuit Judge Ginsburg, with whom Chief Judge Sentelle joins, concurring in the denial of rehearing en banc is attached.

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 07-5276**

**September Term 2008**

Ginsburg, Circuit Judge, with whom Chief Judge Sentelle joins, concurring in the denial of rehearing en banc: I concur in the denial of rehearing en banc because, there being no opinion for the Court, that judgment sets no precedent beyond the precise facts of this case. See *King v. Palmer*, 950 F.2d 771, 783 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (en banc) ("without implicit agreement" among a majority of the judges "we are left without a controlling opinion").