

4:05-cv-0037-YGR

The Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litigation

JURY NOTES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUROR QUESTION

RECEIVED

DEC 03 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

CASE NAME: THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 05-cv-00037-YGR

A question for the witness may be submitted to the Court through the Clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

Would it be possible when displaying exhibits of instant message communications to clarify who's communication is on the left + and who is in the right, please?

Date Received: _____

Time Received: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUROR QUESTION

RECEIVED
DEC 04 2014
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

CASE NAME: THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 05-CV-00037-YGR

A question for the witness may be submitted to the Court through the Clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

Why exactly is interoperability not possible or reliable when working with DRM-protected music?

#3

Date Received: _____
Time Received: _____

RECEIVED

DEC 08 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

To. Judge Rogers

From Jura 107

Date Dec. 8, 2014

Re. Today's Exhibits on the Screen

Counsel on both sides showed
exhibits extremely hard to read.

Especially when comparing different
repeats of Dr. Noel, highlighted in yellow.

Mr. Isaacson kept referring to them
as similar / identical language. I

couldn't read either side well enough
to see it for myself.

Last week it was easier to see/
read the exhibits on the screen when
they were magnified.

Can anything be done for
future exhibits? - Better readability

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED

DEC 09 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

JUROR QUESTION

CASE NAME: THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 05-cv-00037-YGR

A question for the witness may be submitted to the Court through the Clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

If some portion of iPod consumers during the class period purchased their iPods without any pre-existing lock-in factors (for example, they & their family members had not previously purchased digital music), would it matter to your damages calculation, given those consumers also paid your calculated higher-than-predicted price, or are there other [^] factors to consider?
relevant

3

Date Received: _____
Time Received: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED

DEC 09 2014

JUROR QUESTION

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

CASE NAME: THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 05-cv-00037-YGR

A question for the witness may be submitted to the Court through the Clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

Why would it change your regression analysis if code other than KVC or DVC was responsible for disabling Harmony?

3

Date Received: _____

Time Received: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED

DEC 10 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

JUROR QUESTION

For Dr. John Kelly

CASE NAME: THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 05-CV-00037-YGR

A question for the witness may be submitted to the Court through the Clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

Please describe under what circumstances Apple customers' music files were ~~disabled or deleted~~^{erased} by ~~7.0 and/or 7.4~~^{the DJ integ. check} and for what specific purpose or purposes this was done?

For what specific ~~the~~^{technical} purpose or purposes were Apple customers' music files erased by the Database Integrity Check^{#3} if the (and/or other) (aka DVC)

#3 warnings are ignored by the customer? that this will happen

Date Received: _____
Time Received: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
JUROR QUESTION

RECEIVED

DEC 11 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

CASE NAME: THE APPLE IPOD iTUNES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 05-CV-00037-YGR

A question for the witness may be submitted to the Court through the Clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

What variables do you think Dr. Noll should have put in his regression analysis and do you know if they were tested for co-linearity?

3

Date Received: _____

Time Received: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED

DEC 11 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

JUROR QUESTION

CASE NAME: THE APPLE IPOD iTUNES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 05-CV-00037-YGR

A question for the witness may be submitted to the Court through the Clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

Did Apple go DRM free because their contracts/ agreements with the record labels expired. ~~or did they feel did they still was DRM free~~ under new contracts/agreements?

To Judge Rogers

not sure if any Apple employees will be called anymore in the trial. Didn't think of this until yesterday.

Date Received: _____
Time Received: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
JUROR QUESTION

RECEIVED

DEC 12 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

CASE NAME: THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 05-CV-00037-YGR

A question for the witness may be submitted to the Court through the Clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

Can you expand on your statement that
"corrupting the database is not an
~~an~~ attack ~~at~~ ~~on~~ ~~a~~ ~~statement~~ and
not an opinion?"

Can you explain what no cryptographic
functionality added by MAC means?

3

Date Received: _____

Time Received: _____

RECEIVED

DEC 15 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case Number :05-cv-00037-YGR

Case Name : The Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litigation

THE JURY REQUEST THE FOLLOWING:

Date: Dec. 15, 2014

Time: 2:32pm

Jury schedule
8:30am - 4:30pm.
daily

THE JURY HAS REACHED A UNANIMOUS VERDICT



Date: _____

Time: _____

Jury Foreperson No. 107

Kennadee W. Oliver
Jury Foreperson Signature

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
DEC 15 2014
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

Case Number : **05-cv-00037-YGR**

Case Name : **The Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litigation**

THE JURY REQUEST THE FOLLOWING:

Date: Dec. 15, 2014

Time: ~~3:40 pm~~ 4:20 pm

~~Trial transcripts for~~
Indexes for exhibits, "

THE JURY HAS REACHED A UNANIMOUS VERDICT

Date: _____

Time: _____

Jury Foreperson No. 107

Kennalee McElwain
Jury Foreperson Signature

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
DEC 16 2014
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

Case Number :05-cv-00037-YGR

Case Name : **The Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litigation**

THE JURY REQUEST THE FOLLOWING:

Date: _____

Time: _____

THE JURY HAS REACHED A UNANIMOUS VERDICT

Date:

December 16, 2014

Time:

9:27 am

Jury Foreperson No.

107

Jury Foreperson Signature

Annalee Welliver