

David B. Tulchin  
Steven L. Holley  
Sharon L. Nelles  
Adam S. Paris  
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP  
125 Broad Street  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 558-4000

Steven J. Aeschbacher (A4527)  
MICROSOFT CORPORATION  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, Washington 98052  
(425) 706-8080

James S. Jardine (A1647)  
RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER  
36 South State Street, Suite 1400  
P.O. Box 45385  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145  
(801) 532-1500

*Attorneys for Microsoft Corporation*

---

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH  
CENTRAL DIVISION

---

NOVELL, INC.,

Plaintiff,

-v-

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

MICROSOFT'S RENEWED MOTION  
FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF  
LAW

Civil No. 2:04 CV 1045  
Honorable J. Frederick Motz

---

January 13, 2012

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(b) and District of Utah Civil Rule 7-1(a), Defendant Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) hereby renews its motion for judgment as a matter of law (originally filed on November 17, 2011, at the close of Novell’s case) on (a) each ground set forth in Microsoft’s memoranda in support of that motion (filed on November 17, 2011 and November 21, 2011), (b) each ground set forth in open court at trial, and (c) on the grounds set forth below.

Judgment should be entered in Microsoft’s favor because, given the evidence at trial, (1) a reasonable jury would not have a legally sufficient evidentiary basis to find, and as a matter of law there is no basis to find, that Microsoft’s withdrawal of support for the namespace extension APIs constituted anticompetitive conduct under the antitrust laws; (2) a reasonable jury would not have a legally sufficient evidentiary basis to find, and as a matter of law there is no basis to find, that Microsoft’s withdrawal of support for the namespace extension APIs harmed competition in the PC operating system market; (3) a reasonable jury would not have a legally sufficient evidentiary basis to find, and as a matter of law there is no basis to find, that Microsoft’s withdrawal of support for the namespace extension APIs was the cause of any meaningful delay in the release of versions of PerfectOffice, WordPerfect and Quattro Pro for Windows 95; (4) a reasonable jury would not have a legally sufficient evidentiary basis to find, and as a matter of law there is no basis to find, that Microsoft’s withdrawal of support for the namespace extension APIs caused injury to Novell or entitles Novell to an award of any damages; (5) Novell has no standing to assert its claim because it suffered no cognizable antitrust injury, and because the evidence at trial also establishes that any harm inflicted on Novell’s office productivity applications had no substantial impact on competition in the PC operating system market; (6) the claim asserted in Count I of Novell’s Complaint is “associated directly or

indirectly with” DR DOS and/or the PC operating system market, and thus that claim was sold to Caldera in 1996 and, as a result, Novell does not have standing to assert it; and (7) Novell’s claim is barred by the applicable four-year statute of limitations set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 15b and, further, the tolling provision of 15 U.S.C. § 16(i) does not apply because the claim is not “based in whole or in part” on *United States v. Microsoft Corp.* (as the quoted phrase is used in that statute).

For each of these reasons and the reasons that will be set forth in Microsoft’s Memorandum in Support of its Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, which will be filed on February 3, 2012 in accordance with the briefing schedule approved by the Court on January 6, 2012 (Docket #395), Microsoft respectfully requests that the Court grant this motion and enter judgment in favor of Microsoft.

Dated: January 13, 2012

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/James S. Jardine  
James S. Jardine (A1647)  
RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER  
36 South State Street, Suite 1400  
P.O. Box 45385  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145  
Phone: (801) 532-1500  
Facsimile: (801) 532-7543

David B. Tulchin  
Steven L. Holley  
Sharon L. Nelles  
Adam S. Paris  
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP  
125 Broad Street  
New York, New York 10004  
Phone: (212) 558-4000  
Facsimile: (212) 558-3588

Steven J. Aeschbacher (A4527)  
MICROSOFT CORPORATION  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, Washington 98052  
Phone: (425) 706-8080  
Facsimile: (425) 936-7329

*Attorneys for Microsoft Corporation*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 13th day of January, 2012, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Microsoft's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law to be filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Max D. Wheeler  
Maralyn M. English  
SNOW, CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU  
10 Exchange Place, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
P. O. Box 45000  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-5000

Jeffrey M. Johnson  
Paul R. Taskier  
Jason D. Wallach  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1825 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-5403

R. Bruce Holcomb  
ADAMS HOLCOMB LLP  
1875 Eye Street, NW, Suite 310  
Washington, DC 20006-5403

John E. Schmidlein  
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP  
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005

/s/ Jasmine Diamanti