

MERGER ANTITRUST LAW

LAWJ/G-1469-05
Georgetown University Law Center
Fall 2020

Tuesdays and Thursdays, 3:00-5:00 pm
Dale Collins
wdc30@georgetown.edu
www.appliedantitrust.com

CLASS 3 ASSIGNMENT

Instructions

Submit by email by 3:00 pm on Tuesday, September 8
Send to wdc30@georgetown.edu
Subject line: Merger Antitrust Law: Assignment for Class 3

Assignment

Time: Early 2014
Calls for a memorandum to the client

Safeway has just been approached by Albertsons with a very attractive purchase offer. Safeway, of course, recognizes that no matter how attractive the purchase price, the Safeway shareholders will receive nothing unless the deal closes.

Alice Smith, the general counsel of Safeway (not really), has asked you (an antitrust attorney at Able and Baker LLP) to send her a short memorandum describing how the antitrust laws might apply to the proposed transaction, the process that the FTC will use in reviewing the merger, and the possible outcomes of the review. Smith wants something short but rigorous that she can share with Safeway's senior business people.

NOTE: The transaction is very confidential, so it is important that you do not identify the parties in the memorandum and use code names instead. The client told us that the code name for the transaction is Project Ceres and that the codenames for Albertsons and Safeway are Bertie and Alexandra, respectively. Be sure to use them and not the real names in the memorandum. As a general rule, you should always use the codenames that the client supplies. In any event, never include the real name of the counterparty in a confidential transaction in a memorandum or email. If the client did not give you one, make one up.

<p>If you would like further background information on the Albertsons/Safeway deal, see the optional case study on Canvas or AppliedAntitrust.com.</p>
