

ANTITRUST LAW: CASE DEVELOPMENT AND LITIGATION STRATEGY

LAW 1396
Georgetown University Law Center
Spring 2025

Tuesdays, 3:30 pm - 5:30 pm
Dale Collins
wdc30@georgetown.edu
www.appliedantitrust.com

Class 5b: The Private Cause of Action (Unit 3)

In the next two and a half classes, we will examine the antitrust private cause of action. We will use the *Boyle* complaint to organize our discussion, so be sure to bring a copy to class.

After a brief examination of the role of private litigation in the scheme of U.S. antitrust enforcement, we will turn to the high-level requirements for bringing a private cause of action:

1. A *private right of action*, which enables the plaintiff to bring the case to the court for adjudication (Clayton Act §§ 4(a), 16).
2. *Subject matter jurisdiction*, which gives the court the power to adjudicate the subject matter of the dispute (28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337).
3. *Personal jurisdiction* over the parties, which empowers the adjudicating court to bind the parties to the judgment (28 U.S.C. § 1391; Clayton Act §§ 4(a), 16, 22).¹
4. The rules of *venue*, which determine in which federal district court (forum) the case may be brought and adjudicated.

Commencing civil actions. With these broad requirements of subject matter jurisdiction, private right of action, personal jurisdiction, and venue in mind, we will dissect the *Boyle* complaint (Unit 3 pp. 8-16). *Boyle* is the first of many follow-on private actions against the members of the Indianapolis ready-mix price-fixing conspiracy. It illustrates a garden variety private antitrust price-fixing complaint for treble damages and injunctive relief. Please read it carefully (and bring a copy to class). That said, do not concern yourself with the paragraphs containing the class action allegations since we will cover those in detail when we start the class action unit in Week 8.

As you read the *Boyle* complaint, ask yourself paragraph by paragraph (or sentence by sentence) why the plaintiffs included it. Review Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) 2, 3, 7, 8, 10, and 11 to get a sense of the general rules of pleading (pp. 17-21). Pay particular attention to Rule 11—it plays a critical role in all federal private actions and we will discuss it in some detail in class.

The private cause of action. Paragraph 4 of the *Boyle* complaint invokes the Clayton Act's private cause of action for treble damages under Section 4 and injunctive relief under Section 16. Read the private cause of action section in the required reading (pp. 23-40) and the associated class notes (slides 3-15). This section will also introduce the three key features of private antitrust treble damages litigation: treble damages, joint and several liability, and no right of contribution.

Subject matter jurisdiction and the reach of the Sherman Act. Paragraph 5 of the *Boyle* complaint alleges the grounds for the court's subject matter jurisdiction. We are going to look at subject matter jurisdiction broadly in three parts:

¹ As a quick aside, personal jurisdiction over the plaintiff is essentially automatic, since the plaintiff's appearance in court upon the filing of its complaint gives the court jurisdiction over the plaintiff.

1. The constitutional authority for the federal government to regulate anticompetitive conduct through the antitrust laws.
2. The constitutional authority for the federal courts to adjudicate generally cases arising under the federal antitrust laws (this is what Paragraph 5 addresses).
3. The constitutional authority of a court to adjudicate the particular antitrust case before it.

These topics are addressed in the subject matter jurisdiction section in the reading materials (pp. 42-51) and the associated class notes (slides 16-26). When reading Paragraph 5 of the complaint, be sure you know the role of each of the four statutory provisions cited in the paragraph.

You can skim these materials: You should know that the almost unintelligible Section 6a of the Sherman Act, better known as the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act or FTAIA, exists (p. 52).² Hopefully, the notes in the required reading will be helpful (pp. 52-55).

As always, send me an email if you have any questions.

² For anyone who is interested, Unit 26 on AppliedAntitrust.com has more materials and cases on extraterritoriality.