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## I. Assignment and Summary of Opinions

1. My name is Robert Hall. I serve as the McNeil joint Professor of Economics at Stanford University and Senior Fellow at Stanford's Hoover Institution. I am also director of the research program on economic fluctuations and growth of the National Bureau of Economic Research, an inter-university research organization. I served as President of the American Economic Association for the year 2010; I was Vice President in 2005 and Ely Lecturer in 2001. I received a Ph.D. in economics from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. I am an elected member of the National Academy of Sciences, a Distinguished Fellow of the American Economic Association, and a fellow of the Econometric Society, the organization of professionals who apply statistical methods to economic issues. Appendix A contains my CV.
2. This declaration provides data and analysis on three economic issues relevant to the sentencing of AU Optronics (AUO), AU Optronics America (AUOA), and defendants Hsuan Bin Chen and Hui Hsiung:

- The volume of affected commerce of AUO and AUOA,
- How AUO's overcharge as a percentage of AUO's sales compares to the 10 percent benchmark in the Sentencing Guidelines, and
- How AUO's harm to consumers who failed to buy LCD products on account of the overcharge, as a percentage of AUO's sales, compares to the 10 percent benchmark in the Sentencing Guidelines.

In this section, I summarize these issues and provide a brief overview of my conclusions. The remainder of the declaration contains a more complete discussion of each issue and provides the basis for my opinions.
3. My understanding is that the standard guideline fine in a criminal price-fixing case is 20 percent of the volume of affected commerce. The 20 percent includes 10 percentage points for the overcharge and 10 percentage points for lost consumer opportunities. The result of this multiplication is then itself increased by a multiplier derived from other sources, which I do not address. I further understand that a court may deviate in either direction from this standard.

## A. AUO and AUOA's affected volume of commerce

4. Using the same categories of sales used by the Department of Justice in its prior four sentencing calculations in connection with the TFT-LCD investigation, and adjusting for the fraction of the sales that made their way to the U.S. using data for all manufacturers, I calculate that the baseline volume of commerce for AUO is $\$ 559.7$ million. Eliminating products potentially outside the influence of the cartel because no price discussions at Crystal Meetings were documented reduces the affected volume of commerce to $\$ 202.2$ million. Further eliminating sales to cartel members LG and Samsung, which would not be subject to an overcharge based on standard economic logic, reduces the affected volume of commerce to $\$ 151.1$ million.
5. Using data on the percentage of products manufactured by AUO's customers which are sold in the U.S. results in a baseline volume of commerce of $\$ 797.2$ million, falling to $\$ 272.1$ million after eliminating products where no price discussions were documented and to $\$ 223.7$ million if, in addition, sales to LG and Samsung are eliminated.
6. AUO's American arm, AUOA, had small sales. All were billed in or shipped to the U.S. From the government's indictment and the jury instructions, I understand that the volume of commerce for AUOA should begin in spring 2003. Based on my calculations, the corresponding volume of commerce for AUOA is $\$ 389,440$.

## B. Percentage gain from overcharge

7. The term gain from the overcharge is the dollar amount of the overcharge stated as a percent of the volume of commerce. The Sentencing Guidelines take 10 percent as an estimate of the average overcharge across price-fixing cases. The use of an average avoids the time and expense of calculating an overcharge, but does not reflect the actual overcharge associated with a specific price-fixing violation. In this matter, involving hundreds of millions of dollars of sales, even a difference of one percent in the gain from the overcharge amounts to millions of dollars in the corresponding guideline fine.
8. In the recent Toshiba civil trial, the jury heard testimony from economists who presented estimates of an overcharge ranging from less than one percent to 18 percent. In the jury verdict form, they were asked to identify the amount of consumer overcharge that members of classes of
panel and finished product purchasers suffered as a result of a conspiracy involving multiple companies, including AUO. ${ }^{1}$ The verdicts were stated as dollar amounts totaling $\$ 87$ million, which is 1.8 percent of sales. ${ }^{2}$
9. My conclusion in this matter, based on extensive study of AUO's data and other evidence, is that the gain is substantially less than 10 percent. My work does not find a measurable overcharge attributable to AUO. ${ }^{3}$

## C. Lost consumer opportunity

10. The Sentencing Guidelines include another 10 percent as an estimate of the additional harm to consumers from the overcharge. Economists agree that there is a loss to consumers who would have purchased a product at the non-cartel price but do not purchase it when the price includes an overcharge. Using reasonable estimates for each of these factors leads to a quite modest increase to the basic overcharge estimate. For example, in the case of a 10 percent overcharge, the appropriate additional consumer impact estimate for AUO would be only 0.5 percent, according to the approach widely used by economists. I conclude that, whatever the overcharge percentage, using a ratio $1 / 20^{\text {th }}$ of that number is a reasonable estimate of the harm from the lost consumer opportunity.
[^0]
## D. Summary of findings

11. My estimates for the relevant AUO volume of commerce range from $\$ 151.1$ million to $\$ 797.2$ million across a variety of assumptions. As an example, applying the 1.8 percent overcharge from the Toshiba case and my estimates of the corresponding lost consumer opportunities $\left(1 / 20^{\text {th }}\right.$ of the overcharge percentage) results in a combined calculation of $\$ 15.1$ million for the case where the volume of commerce is $\$ 797.2$ million.
12. Applying the same framework to AUOA's sales results in a volume of commerce of $\$ 389,440$. Following the example above by applying the 1.8 percent overcharge and the addition for lost consumer opportunity, results in a total of $\$ 7,360$.

## II. Affected Volume of Commerce

## A. Baseline calculation

13. This court has fined four companies participating in the Crystal Meetings, each of which pled guilty. Table 1 summarizes the corresponding volume of commerce, guideline ranges for the fines, and actual fines. ${ }^{4}$
[^1]Table 1: Volume of Commerce, Guideline Ranges, and Fines Imposed for Other Crystal Meeting Participants (Millions of U.S. Dollars)

| Company | Sentenced | Volume of <br> commerce | Guideline range <br> for fine | Actual fine |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LG | $12 / 15 / 2008$ | 2,500 | 800 to 1,600 | 400 |
| CPT | $1 / 14 / 2009$ | 358 | 114 to 229 | 65 |
| CMO | $2 / 8 / 2010$ | 986 | 315 to 631 | 220 |
| HannStar | $7 / 30 / 2010$ | 107 | 30 to 60 | 30 |

Sources:
[1] LG Display Joint Sentencing Memorandum, December 8, 2008; LG Sentencing Hearing, December 15, 2008.
[2] CPT Joint Sentencing Memorandum, January 5, 2009; CPT Sentencing Hearing, January 14, 2009.
[3] CMO Joint Sentencing Memorandum, February 1, 2010; CMO Sentencing Hearing, February 8, 2010.
[4] HannStar Joint Sentencing Memorandum, July 22, 2010; HannStar Sentencing Hearing, July 30, 2010.
14. I have reviewed the transcripts and other documents related to the calculation of the volume of commerce underlying each of these fines. ${ }^{5}$ Appendix B contains the November 15, 2010 letter from the Department of Justice describing the three categories of sales it included in the calculations:

- Category 1: all sales shipped to the U.S.;
- Category 2: sales billed, but not shipped, to the U.S., and
- Category 3: sales to U.S. companies, where the final products were estimated to end up in the U.S. even though the sales were neither billed nor shipped directly to the U.S.

15. I have used these categories to develop a baseline calculation for AUO. I start with all of AUO's worldwide transactions. I take the relevant time period from the government's expert Keith Leffler, who testified that the overcharge began in October 2001 and ended in January 2006. ${ }^{6}$ I also take the relevant products from Dr. Leffler's testimony as all products with a diagonal measurement

[^2]from 12 inches through 30 inches. ${ }^{7}$ I exclude all internal sales to AUO and all sales to AUOA. I discuss the AUOA volume of commerce later in this section.

## 1. Category 1 sales

16. To calculate category 1 total sales shipped to U.S. customers, I took sales in the AUO transaction database for which the field named ship_to_area was the U.S. All of these sales are U.S. sales, so I take the U.S. share of category 1 sales to be 100 percent throughout my analysis.

## 2. Categories 2 and 3 sales

17. Determining the volume of affected U.S. sales for categories 2 and 3 involves two steps: (1) determining the total worldwide sales in each category, and (2) estimating the U.S. share of these worldwide sales.

## a) Step 1: Determining worldwide sales for categories 2 and 3

18. For category 2, I identified the worldwide sales in the AUO database for which the field named bill_to_area was the U.S., if these sales had not already been included in category 1. An example of the second category is a panel shipped to a systems integrator in Asia but billed to Apple.
19. For category 3, I reviewed all AUO customers with purchases greater than $\$ 100,000$ and identified 13 U.S. companies, listed in Appendix C. ${ }^{8}$ I included sales to these companies from the AUO database as category 3 when neither the ship_to_area nor the bill_to_area was the U.S. An example of the third category would be a panel sold to Dell, shipped to a factory in Asia, billed to a Dell entity outside the U.S., but estimated to end up in the U.S.
[^3]
## b) Step 2: Estimating the U.S. share of worldwide sales for categories 2 and 3

20. The guidelines call for the volume of commerce to be U.S. sales affected by the overcharge. Accordingly, it is necessary to estimate the share of AUO's worldwide sales in categories 2 and 3 that end up in the U.S. I use two methods of estimation. The first, the all-seller method, estimates the U.S. share of worldwide sales by all LCD makers of monitors, notebooks, and TVs. The second, the $A U O$-specific method, uses sales data for AUO's customers within categories 2 and 3 .

## (1) The all-seller method

21. At the trial, Dr. Leffler presented estimates based on what I will call the all-seller method for estimating the U.S. share for monitors and notebooks, but not TVs. He used a single estimate for personal computers (PCs) that includes desktop computers, notebooks, and servers. He assumed that the U.S. share of LCD TVs was at least as large as for computers. He testified:
...that's a number [ 32.7 percent] that applies to PCs -- the notebooks and monitors -- but I'm missing the 10 percent. ... Those are the things, mainly, going to LCD TVs. ... The U.S. is going to be the -- a much more dominant consumer of LCD TVs than it is of notebook computer and monitors during this time. ${ }^{9}$
22. Dr. Leffler did not use the best information available for his U.S. share estimates for notebooks and personal computer sales. I have developed more accurate estimates separately for monitors, notebooks, and TVs, using more detailed data from Gartner, the same source used by Dr. Leffler. Gartner is a widely-used third-party source of market information across a wide variety of industries and technologies. ${ }^{10}$ I use Gartner data separately for monitors and notebooks ${ }^{11}$ and TVspecific estimates from DisplaySearch, ${ }^{12}$ a leading third party source of market information for the LCD industry. ${ }^{13}$ I summarize these estimates below and include the detailed calculations as Appendix D. I find that the U.S. shares based on the all-seller method applied to the mix of AUO's sales across products are somewhat lower than Dr. Leffler’s estimates: 29.2 percent compared to Dr. Leffler’s 32.7 percent.
[^4]
## (2) The AUO-specific method

23. The Gartner database contains information sufficient to make AUO-specific estimates of the U.S. share for monitors and notebooks. Gartner reports customer-level estimates by company of the shares of sales to the U.S., separately for monitors and notebooks. Using the mix of AUO's customers corresponding to categories 2 and 3 , I have estimated the U.S. share for monitors and notebooks of AUO's categories 2 and 3 sales. Similarly, DisplaySearch reports customer-level estimates of the share of sales to North America for TVs. I used census population data to identify the U.S. proportion of North America sales, and used the mix of AUO's TV customers to develop estimates of the U.S. share of AUO’s TV panel sales for categories 2 and 3.
24. Table 2 summarizes my findings for each approach and contrasts these to Dr. Leffler's allseller method calculations. Appendix D includes detailed tables corresponding to the calculations below.

Table 2: U.S. Share of Worldwide Monitor, Notebook, and TV Sales in Categories 2 and 3 (Percent)

|  | All-seller method for categories 2 and 3 |  | AUO-specific method for categories 2 and 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dr. Leffler's estimates presented at trial ${ }^{1}$ | Corrected estimates ${ }^{2}$ | Customer weighted estimates ${ }^{2}$ |
| Monitor | 32.7 | 25.8 | 47.6 |
| Notebook | 32.7 | 31.6 | 45.2 |
| TV | 32.7 | 25.8 | 76.3 |
| Weighted Average | 32.7 | 29.2 | 46.1 |

Sources:
[1] Trial Testimony of Keith Leffler, February 9, 2012, Day 19, p. 3314: 25 - 3315: 5.
[2] Gartner Group Detailed Data and DisplaySearch. See Appendix D for details.
[3] AUO transaction data.
25. Table 3 combines the category 1 U.S. sales with the estimated U.S. volume for categories 2 and 3 using both the all-seller method and the $A U O$-specific method. The two estimates of the corresponding baseline volume of commerce are $\$ 559.7$ and $\$ 797.2$ million.

Table 3: AUO's Volume of U.S. Commerce (Millions of U.S. Dollars)

| Category | Volume of sales | All-seller method |  | AUO-specific method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. share (percent) | U.S. volume of sales | U.S. share (percent) | U.S. volume of sales |
| 1. Panels imported directly into the U.S. | 148.3 | 100.0 | 148.3 | 100.0 | 148.3 |
| 2. Billed or invoiced to purchasers in the U.S. | 135.5 | 29.2 | 39.6 | 46.1 | 62.4 |
| 3. Purchased by foreign affiliates of U.S. companies and integrated into final products imported to the U.S. | 1,273.1 | 29.2 | 371.9 | 46.1 | 586.5 |
| Total categories 1, 2 and 3 |  |  | 559.7 |  | 797.2 |

Note:
[1] Volume of sales excludes internal AUO and AUOA sales
Sources:
[1] AUO transaction data
[2] Gartner Group Detailed Data and Display Search

## B. Potential measures of sales volume focused on cartel-related conduct

26. Here I discuss modifications in the U.S. sales volume calculations to consider those more likely to have been affected by the cartel's activities.

## 1. Limit to sales with cartel prices

27. At the cartel's Crystal Meetings, only a fraction of all LCD products distinguished by panel type, size, and resolution were discussed. Appendix E shows Dr. Leffler's compilation of the data on the incidence of price discussions across products. I have validated his compilation and found it broadly reliable. The compilation shows that some products were discussed in most months and others were only discussed sporadically. In some months for which records of the Crystal Meetings are available, none of AUO's products were discussed and in some months prices of as many as 15 AUO products by type, size, and resolution were discussed.
28. Economists have studied many target-price cartels and are in agreement that those cartels require significant data and monitoring, particularly in the presence of many product variations, as is the case for LCDs. A leading scholar of the economics of cartels has written:

A more challenging matter for the cartel in setting price arises when there are potentially many variants of the product. The relevance of this issue varies across products according to the diversity of consumer preferences and the technological constraints for providing different products. For example, this was an issue with graphite electrodes -an input in the production of steel - but not an issue with vitamins. One approach is for firms to agree on an array of standardized products - which meant cartel members would only supply those products - and assigning a price to each standardized product. Alternatively, firms could coordinate on a pricing formula that would prescribe a price based on a product's characteristics. ${ }^{14}$
29. Given the focus on target prices and the variation in the number and type of products being discussed, the reasonable economic conclusion is that the cartel's overcharges would occur among the product/size/resolutions where prices were shared among rivals. Using Dr. Leffler's list of product/size/resolution combinations, I have calculated the volume of commerce in each of the categories previously discussed, but only including sales known to be subject to cartel influence, in the sense that their prices were discussed at the Crystal Meetings. Table 4 shows the resulting estimates. This step reduces the sales volume from $\$ 559.7$ million to $\$ 202.2$ million using the allseller method and from $\$ 797.2$ million to $\$ 272.1$ million using the $A U O$-specific method. I note that the U.S. share estimate using the AUO-specific method changes from 46.1 to 43.6 percent with this modification, corresponding to the resulting different mix of customers and products in categories 2 and 3.

[^5]Table 4: AUO’s Volume of U.S. Commerce in Products Known to be Subject to Cartel Influence (Millions of U.S. Dollars)

| Category | Volume of sales | All-seller method |  | AUO-specific method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. share (percent) | U.S. volume of sales | U.S. share (percent) | U.S. volume of sales |
| 1. Panels imported directly into the U.S. | 60.2 | 100.0 | 60.2 | 100.0 | 60.2 |
| 2. Billed or invoiced to purchasers in the U.S. | 21.5 | 29.2 | 6.3 | 43.6 | 9.4 |
| 3. Purchased by foreign affiliates of U.S. companies and integrated into final products imported to the U.S. | 464.7 | 29.2 | 135.7 | 43.6 | 202.6 |
| Total categories 1, 2 and 3 |  |  | 202.2 |  | 272.1 |

## Notes:

[1] Volume of sales excludes internal AUO and AUOA sales
[2] Product/size/resolution combinations identified using both general and AUO-specific price data

## Sources:

[1] AUO transaction data
[2] Gartner Group Detailed Data and Display Search
[3] List of product/size/resolution combinations provided by Dr Leffler

## 2. Sales to other cartel members

30. A second adjustment recognizes the potential differences in sales made to vertically integrated companies which were—directly or through affiliated companies ${ }^{15}$ —both members of the cartel and capable of self-supply. It would make no economic sense for transactions among cartel members to occur at cartel prices incorporating overcharges. If AUO did attempt to impose overcharges on Samsung and LG, these companies would respond in the rational economic way by self-supplying at internal costs comparable to competitive prices, instead of purchasing at higher prices from AUO. Although it would take time to enlarge capacity to carry through this response, the threat to self-supply would be immediately effective in bargaining down AUO's price to the level of Samsung's and LG's cost.
31. My study finds that Samsung and LG did purchase large volumes of panels from AUO. A significant fraction of these panels are included in the volume of commerce calculations shown

[^6]above. Because these sales must have occurred at prices without any overcharge, it would not be appropriate to include the sales in the volume of commerce affected by an overcharge.
32. Making just the LG-Samsung exclusions reduces the corresponding volume of commerce estimates to $\$ 415.9$ million (all-seller method) and $\$ 668.1$ million (AUO-specific method). Making both this change and the limitation to products with Crystal Meeting prices discussed earlier reduces the volume of commerce to $\$ 151.1$ million (all-seller method) and $\$ 223.7$ million (AUOspecific method). Appendix F contains detailed calculations for each of these changes.

## C. Summary table of AUO volume of commerce modifications

33. Table 5 summarizes the effects of the modifications I discussed above. The volume of commerce starts at $\$ 559.7$ and $\$ 797.2$ million, for the two methods of estimating AUO's U.S. shares. Applying both of the discussed reductions reduces the corresponding volume of commerce estimates to $\$ 151.1$ million (all-seller method) and $\$ 223.7$ million (AUO-specific method).

Table 5: Summary of Affected U.S. Volume of Commerce (Millions of U.S. Dollars)

| Modification | All-seller method |  | AUO-specific method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Effect of just this refinement | Cumulative effect <br> of this and all prior refinements | Effect of just this refinement | Cumulative effect <br> of this and all prior refinements |
| Baseline Estimate | 559.7 | - | 797.2 | - |
| 1. Only sales with discussed prices | 202.2 | 202.2 | 272.1 | 272.1 |
| 2. Eliminate sales to LG and Samsung | 415.9 | 151.1 | 668.1 | 223.7 |

Note:
[1] Volume of commerce excludes internal AUO and AUOA sales.
Sources:
[1] AUO transaction data.
[2] Gartner Group Detailed Data and Display Search.
[3] List of product/size/resolution combinations provided by Dr. Leffler.

## D. AUOA's volume of commerce

34. AUOA had a modest volume of sales during the relevant period. I have calculated the volume of commerce for AUOA for the relevant products and relevant period to be $\$ 389,440$, the company's entire sales. For AUOA, the relevant time period is slightly different from the period for

AUO: March 2003 through January 2006. The March 2003 start date is identified from the jury instructions, which indicate that AUOA joined the conspiracy in spring 2003. ${ }^{16}$ I have not applied any of the reductions discussed above to this number.

## III. Percentage Gain from Overcharge

35. The Sentencing Guidelines consider two elements of the harm caused by an overcharge. The first is the overcharge itself and the second is the value lost by the consumers who would have purchased at a lower price but chose not to purchase because of the overcharge. I call the first element the gain from overcharge and the second the lost consumer opportunity element. Both are stated as percentages of the sales, so that the total consumer harm is the sum of the two percentages times the volume of commerce.
36. The commentary associated with the guidelines states (emphasis added):

The fine for an organization is determined by applying Chapter Eight (Sentencing of Organizations). In selecting a fine for an organization within the guideline fine range, the court should consider both the gain to the organization from the offense and the loss caused by the organization. It is estimated that the average gain from price-fixing is $\mathbf{1 0}$ percent of the selling price. The loss from price-fixing exceeds the gain because, among other things, injury is inflicted upon consumers who are unable or for other reasons do not buy the product at the higher prices. Because the loss from price-fixing exceeds the gain, subsection (d)(1) provides that 20 percent of the volume of affected commerce is to be used in lieu of the pecuniary loss under §8C2.4(a)(3). The purpose for specifying a percent of the volume of commerce is to avoid the time and expense that would be required for the court to determine the actual gain or loss. In cases in which the actual monopoly overcharge appears to be either substantially more or substantially less than $\mathbf{1 0}$ percent, this factor should be considered in setting the fine within the guideline fine range. ${ }^{17}$
37. The professional literature on overcharges from price fixing notes the wide range of estimates across instances. ${ }^{18}$ A recent meta-analysis of many different overcharge estimates found a range of overcharge estimates from zero to over 50 percent. ${ }^{19}$
${ }^{16}$ AUO Trial Jury Instructions, March 1, 2012, p. 12.
${ }^{17}$ USSG §2R1.1, comment (n.3).
${ }^{18}$ Connor, John M. and Yuliya Bolotova (2006). "Cartel Overcharges: Survey and meta-analysis", International Journal of Industrial Organization, Vol. 24, p. 1128; Allain, Marie-Laure, Marcel Boyer, and Jean-Pierre Ponssard (2011). "The Determination of Optimal Fines in Cartel Cases: Theory and Practice," Law \& Economics, p. 34; Levenstein, Margaret C. and Valerie Y. Suslow (March 2006). "What Determines Cartel Success?" Journal of Economic Literature, Vol. XLIV, pp. 79-81.
38. A recent Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development paper discusses the limitations of using a standard overcharge estimate across the range of price-fixing infractions. ${ }^{20}$ The paper offers guidance on enforcing competition laws to regulators and law-enforcement agencies in the 34 countries of the organization. It concludes that a standard overcharge presumption based on average overcharge estimates has severe limitations:
[T]he strong fluctuation of overcharges indicates important industry, country and cartel-specific factors influencing the level of overcharges, rendering an average approach inaccurate.
Appropriate databases that allow a cartel candidate market to be benchmarked with some comparable historical cartel cases do not exist so far. ${ }^{21}$

## A. Effectiveness of cartels attempting to impose overcharges through target prices

39. Evidence that the LCD cartel operated other than by setting target prices is sparse. Economists recognize the particular difficulty in sustaining an overcharge of any size in target-price cartels, as opposed to those where production quotas, such as OPEC, or other effective means of enforcement, such as fixing market shares, are employed.
40. With respect to one of the most notorious recent American cartels, that in lysine, Nicolas De Roos explains that the cartel was ineffective when only target prices were set: "In the first phase of the cartel, price targets were agreed to, but there were no quantity allocations, monitoring was informal and uncoordinated, and uncertainty was unresolved."22 Yuliy Sannikov and Andrzej Skrzypacz analyzed the sources of the low overcharge in lysine: "The failure of the lysine cartel to collude by setting a target price at the beginning of its operation illustrates how the provision of incentives can break down under flexible production." ${ }^{23}$
41. The difficulty in maintaining a target price cartel and the evidence that the LCD cartel used price targets point in the direction of a lower overcharge. Bruce Deal and I have conducted several

[^7]years of intensive joint research on the amount of the overcharge. ${ }^{24}$ The consistent finding of our analyses of prices, quantities, and profits was an overcharge far below 10 percent. In fact, our analyses are consistent with the absence of a measurable overcharge on sales of LCD panels by AUO during the period from 2001 through 2006. ${ }^{25}$
42. While the commentary associated with the sentencing guidelines does not address variations in the types of price fixing arrangements, it does note that the overcharge percentage may be lower with larger volumes of commerce:

Another consideration in setting the fine is that the average level of mark-up due to price-fixing may tend to decline with the volume of commerce involved. ${ }^{26}$

In the TFT-LCD matters, tens of billions of dollars of sales were included on a worldwide basis.

## B. Evidence about prices for sales between cartel members

43. Mr. Deal and I have analyzed AUO's sales to LG and Samsung and compared these to sales to other AUO customers to look for evidence of an overcharge to other AUO customers. As noted earlier, LG and Samsung had no reason to pay an overcharge to AUO when they were both aware of the cartel and are able to produce internally-using existing capacity or expanding capacity—at a cost equal to the competitive price. This is why I presented calculations earlier removing sales to those cartel members from the relevant volume of commerce calculations.
44. We found that both LG and Samsung purchased at essentially the same prices as other customers and that the volumes of purchases from AUO by Samsung and LG were substantial both during and after the cartel period. Given that sales to cartel members should not include the cartel's overcharge and that those sales occurred at the same prices that the cartel's victims paid, we concluded that AUO did not achieve any meaningful overcharge.
[^8]
## C. Overcharge rate from the Toshiba trial

45. The jury's damages award in the recent Toshiba civil trial was much lower than an award based on a 10 percent overcharge. The jury heard overcharge estimates ranging from 0.6 percent ${ }^{27}$ to 18 percent. ${ }^{28}$ After considering all the evidence, the jury awarded a combined $\$ 87$ million in damages, which is equivalent to 1.8 percent of the volume of commerce. ${ }^{29}$

## D. Implications of LCD prices $\mathbf{1 0}$ percent lower than actually charged

46. The overcharge is defined as the difference between the cartel price and the normal price absent cartel distortion. Normal prices are practical, remunerative prices in all but the short run. A conclusion that the LCD cartel overcharged its customers by 10 percent carries the implication that prices would have been 10 percent lower absent the cartel. One way to test the realism of a 10 percent overcharge is to calculate the return to invested capital that AUO and other LCD makers would have earned with lower prices. If the return is below the level needed to attract capital, it means that the LCD business would not be viable at the lower price. The price is thus found to be impractical. That finding would cast doubt on the realism of an overcharge as high as 10 percent.
47. My joint research with Mr. Deal demonstrated that, during the cartel period, AUO earned a return on its capital near-but not above-the normal return for an industry with the level of risk of the LCD business. ${ }^{30}$ With prices 10 percent lower, the return would have been well below the market return. AUO and other LCD makers depend on world capital markets to provide the capital for facilities that cost many billions of dollars. At 10 percent lower prices, investors could not have been promised returns sufficient to induce them to invest. Without the capital and the facilities it would fund, output would have been far lower. We concluded that meaningful overcharges could not have occurred during the cartel period.
[^9]
## IV. Lost Consumer Opportunity

48. The economic harm from price fixing is mainly the higher prices that customers pay. The harm also includes the losses of consumers who would have purchased the cartel's products absent the overcharge, but were priced out of the market by the cartel. Because the cartel does not collect an overcharge for these lost sales, the loss from price fixing exceeds the gain to the cartel. The commentary associated with the guidelines discusses the lost consumer opportunity (emphasis added):

The fine for an organization is determined by applying Chapter Eight (Sentencing of Organizations). In selecting a fine for an organization within the guideline fine range, the court should consider both the gain to the organization from the offense and the loss caused by the organization. It is estimated that the average gain from price-fixing is 10 percent of the selling price. The loss from price-fixing exceeds the gain because, among other things, injury is inflicted upon consumers who are unable or for other reasons do not buy the product at the higher prices. Because the loss from price-fixing exceeds the gain, subsection (d)(1) provides that 20 percent of the volume of affected commerce is to be used in lieu of the pecuniary loss under $\S 8 \mathrm{C} 2.4(\mathrm{a})(3)$. The purpose for specifying a percent of the volume of commerce is to avoid the time and expense that would be required for the court to determine the actual gain or loss. In cases in which the actual monopoly overcharge appears to be either substantially more or substantially less than 10 percent, this factor should be considered in setting the fine within the guideline fine range. ${ }^{31}$

## A. Relationship of the lost consumer opportunity calculation to the overcharge calculation

49. The economic harm to consumers resulting from lower quantities consumed is directly related to the overcharge. Appendix G provides the mathematical and theoretical details of the relationship. The link between the two involves three factors multiplied together. The product of the three is the ratio of the lost opportunity amount to the basic overcharge amount. For the reasons described in Appendix G, the first factor is a constant, one half, which recognizes that the first consumer who stopped consuming when the price rose had almost no benefit from it, because a very small price increase induced the consumer to quit buying. When the price is halfway up, the lost benefit is half the price increase, and so on, up to the last consumer to quit buying, whose loss is the full amount of the price increase. The average loss occurs halfway through the process, hence the one-half in the formula. The second factor is what economists call the price elasticity of

[^10]demand, measuring the sensitivity of consumer demand to price changes. It is the percent change in quantity demanded in response to each percent change in price. ${ }^{32}$ For example, if the elasticity of demand is 0.9 , an increase in price of 10 percent will lead to a corresponding decrease in consumer demand of 9 percent. The third factor is the overcharge itself, measured as the price elevation as a fraction of the price. The amount of harm to consumers from lost opportunities will also be affected by the rate at which any overcharges from LCD manufacturers are passed through to final consumers. For simplicity, I have not included the pass-through factor in the equation below.
50. Using an estimate of the elasticity of demand for LCD panels of 1.0 and the 10 percent overcharge presumed in the guidelines yields a ratio of the lost opportunities harm to the overcharge itself:

```
Lost Opportunities Percentage = Overcharge Percentage }\times(1/2\times1.0\times10\mathrm{ percent }
    = Overcharge Percentage }\times5\mathrm{ percent
```

51. In other words, under reasonable conditions, the harm from the lost consumer opportunity is 5 percent, or $1 / 20^{\text {th }}$, of the size of the overcharge. The Sentencing Guidelines include a 10 percent overcharge presumption and a 10 percent additional amount for lost consumer opportunities, for a total of 20 percent. As Appendix G shows, it is virtually impossible for the lost opportunities element, as defined by economists, to be as large as the overcharge element. To the extent the 10 percent for lost opportunities is intended to be a measure of additional harm to consumers as quantified by economists, using a percentage for the loss to consumers that is equal to the overcharge percentage substantially overstates any actual harm.

## B. Elasticity of demand for a consumer product with respect to the price of an intermediate product

52. The preceding logic applies to finished products sold to consumers. Intermediate products, such as LCD panels, are sold first to firms that use the product as an input into the finished product, such as a laptop, monitor, or TV. These finished products are then sold to consumers.

[^11]53. The elasticity of demand for a finished product with respect to the price of an intermediate product is the elasticity of demand with respect to the price to the consumer multiplied by share of the consumer price contributed by the intermediate product. For example, if the elasticity of demand for TVs at retail is 1.0 and the LCD is 70 percent of the retail price, the elasticity of demand with respect to the LCD price is 0.7 .
54. In testimony at the trial, the highest reported percentage of the cost of computer monitors represented by the LCD was 80 percent. ${ }^{33}$ The figure for notebook computers was 40 percent. ${ }^{34}$ No specific estimate was provided for TVs, but a 2006 DisplaySearch report estimated LCD panels to comprise at least 70 percent of the cost of an LCD TV. ${ }^{35}$ The weighted average is 56 percent using the mix of products in the baseline calculation in Table 3. This factor can be used to scale down the elasticity used in the calculation of the lost opportunity element of the calculation of harm.

## V. Conclusion and Summary

55. I have been asked to estimate the components of the harm to U.S. consumers from AUO's participation in the LCD cartel. The first element of the harm is the affected volume of commerce, which, for the relevant products and the relevant time period, has a baseline value of $\$ 560$ million or $\$ 797$ million, depending upon whether the all-seller method or the AUO-specific method is used to estimate the U.S. share of products not shipped directly to the U.S. Applying both the reductions I propose reduces these estimates of the affected volume of commerce to $\$ 151$ million (all-seller method) and $\$ 224$ million (AUO-specific method).
56. These estimates are then multiplied by the percentage obtained by adding the overcharge percentage and the relevant lost consumer opportunity percentage. As an example, I start with the 1.8 percent overcharge and a harm to consumers percentage equal to $1 / 20^{\text {th }}$ of this number, for a total of 1.89 percent. Applying this percentage to the baseline relevant volume of commerce calculations of $\$ 560$ million and $\$ 797$ million results in a harm estimate of $\$ 10.6$ million (all-seller method) and $\$ 15.1$ million (AUO-specific method).

[^12]57. Applying the same framework to AUOA's relevant sales results in a volume of commerce calculation of $\$ 389,440$ and the example multiplication using 1.89 percent totals $\$ 7,360$.
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# Appendix B: Copy of November 15, 2010 Letter from the Department of Justice to Judge Illston Regarding the Volume of Commerce Calculation 

Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document2146 Filed11/15/10 Page1 of 2
U.S. Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

San Francisco Field Office

450 Golden Gate Avenue $\quad 415 / 436-6660$
Box 36046, Room 10-0107
FAX 415/436-6687
San Framcisco, Callfornia 94102

November 15, 2010

By ECF \& Hand Delivery

Honorable Susan Illston
United States District Court
Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Re: In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation; Case No. M07-1827 SI
Dear Judge Illston:
On November 5,2010, the Court requested that the government provide a written statement of its views on the applicability of the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act of 1982, 15 U.S.C. $\S 6 \mathrm{a}$ (the "FTAIA") to the criminal LCD case, United States v. AU Optronics, Corp., et al., CR-09-0110 SI. The government is aware that the Court is currently considering defendants' motions in the LCD multi-district civil litigation which argue that plaintiffs' claims based on certain categories of purchases of LCD panels, including purchases of LCD panels by foreign affiliates of the plaintiffs, are barred under the FTAIA. In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation, Case No, M 07-1827; MDL No. 1827.

The FTAIA relates only to issues of subject-matter jurisdiction. It does not apply to sentencing issues in a criminal antitrust case, including the determination of which commerce to include in the calculation of criminal fines under the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines (U.S.S.G.). Under U.S.S.G. §2R1.1(d)(1), fines for organizations are based, among other factors, on the "volume of affected commerce." As this Court is aware, three categories of LCD commerce were included as "affected" commerce in the calculation of the criminal fines of the pleading companies in the LCD criminal matter:

1. LCD panels directly imported into the U.S.;
2. Sales of LCD panels that were billed to or invoiced to purchasers located in the U.S.; and

Honorable Susan Illston
November 15, 2010
Page 2
3. LCD panels purchased by foreign affiliates of U.S. companies that were integrated into final products imported to the U.S.

The government believes that these three categories of commerce represent harm caused to U.S. consumers by the LCD cartel. Inclusion of this commerce also has resulted in fines that are commensurate with the scope and impact on U.S. consumers of the LCD cartel.

The government is unaware of any case law or other authority, or anything in the language of the FTAIA itself, suggesting that the FTAIA subject-matter jurisdiction standard should apply to the calculation of volume of "affected commerce" under $\S 2 \mathrm{R} 1.1$ or to any other issue related to sentencing in a criminal antitrust case. Therefore, this court's ruling on the applicability of the FTAIA to certain civil claims in the MDL case will not affect the government's ability to seek appropriate fines in the criminal case pending before this Court.


## Appendix C: List of AUO's Customers that are U.S. Companies

| Company |
| :--- |
| Apple |
| Audiovox |
| Bell Microproducts |
| Dell |
| Dupont Display Solution |
| Gateway |
| Hewlett Packard |
| IBM Singapore |
| Imagequest |
| Jaco Electronics |
| Panelview |
| Viewsonic |
| White Electronic Designs Corporation |

Source:
[1] AUO transaction data.

## Appendix D: Calculation of U.S. Share of Monitor, Notebook and TV Worldwide Sales, by Year

Table D1: All-Seller Method: Calculation of U.S. Percentage Share of Monitor, Notebook, and TV Worldwide Sales, by Year (Percent)

| Q4 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | Jan-06Weighted <br> average |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor | 29.4 | 29.7 | 29.6 | 28.4 | 25.4 | 22.2 | 25.8 |
| Notebook | 31.8 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 31.4 | 31.3 | 29.3 | 31.6 |
| TV | 12.4 | 21.2 | 22.1 | 23.7 | 26.4 | 24.5 | 25.8 |
| Weighted average | 31.4 | 32.1 | 31.9 | 30.9 | 27.9 | 25.7 | 29.2 |

Notes:
[1] W eighted averages are calculated using the mix of products represented by the baseline calculations.
[2] North America sales are scaled by U.S. population as percent of North America population.

## Sources:

[1] Monitor and NB data are from Gartner Group Detailed Data.
[2] TV data are from DisplaySearch: Display Search Quarterly LCD TV Shipment and Forecast Report, Q2 2002; DisplaySearch Quarterly LCD TV Shipment and Forecast Report, Q2 2003; Display Search Quarterly LCD TV Shipment and Forecast Report, July 26 (Q2), 2004 ; Display Search Quarterly LCD TV Shipment and Forecast Report, Q2 2006 History Data Tables.
[3] U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates, National Totals, [http://www.census.gov/popest/national/national.html](http://www.census.gov/popest/national/national.html).
[4] Statistics Canada. Table 051-0001 - Estimates of population, by age group and sex for July 1, Canada, provinces and territories, annual (persons unless otherwise noted), CANSIM (database).
[5] AUO transaction data.

Table D2: AUO-Specific Method: Customer Calculation of U.S. Percentage Share of Monitor, Notebook, and TV Worldwide Sales, by Year, Using Baseline Category 2 and 3 Volume of Commerce Estimates (Percent)

|  | Q4 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | Jan-06Weighted <br> average |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor | 32.3 | 33.1 | 32.2 | 21.0 | 49.2 | 49.7 | 47.6 |
| Notebook |  | 44.3 | 43.9 | 44.2 | 47.0 | 43.0 | 45.2 |
| TV | 90.2 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 90.2 |  | 76.3 |  |
| Weighted average | 32.3 | 43.1 | 42.2 | 43.9 | 48.2 | 46.2 | 46.1 |

Notes:
[1] Weighted averages are calculated using the mix of products represented by categories 2 and 3 of the baseline.
[2] North America sales are scaled by U.S. population as percent of North America population.
[3] If a customer-level percentage is not available for a particular year the percentage from the closest year is used (with preference to later years).
[4] There were no relevant sales of Notebook panels in Q4 2001, or of TV panels in Q4 2001 or January 2006.
Sources:
[1] Monitor and NB data are from Gartner Group Detailed Data.
[2] TV data are from Display Search Quarterly LCD TV Shipment and Forecast Report, Q2 2006 History Data Tables.
[3] U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates, National Totals, [http://www.census.gov/popest/national/national.html](http://www.census.gov/popest/national/national.html).
[4] Statistics Canada. Table 051-0001 - Estimates of population, by age group and sex for July 1, Canada, provinces and territories, annual (persons unless otherwise noted), CANSIM (database).
[5] AUO transaction data.

Table D3: AUO-Specific Method: Customer Calculation of U.S. Percentage Share of Monitor, Notebook, and TV Worldwide Sales, by Year, All AUO Worldwide Sales (Percent)

|  | Q4 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | Jan-06Weighted <br> average |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor | 21.2 | 22.4 | 18.8 | 10.6 | 13.4 | 12.9 | 14.6 |
| Notebook | 22.7 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 24.0 | 26.4 | 25.8 | 25.2 |
| TV | 20.7 | 19.4 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 21.7 | 16.0 | 20.2 |
| Weighted average | 21.8 | 23.6 | 20.3 | 14.2 | 17.1 | 16.2 | 17.6 |

Notes:
[1] W eighted averages are calculated using the worldwide sales, excluding AUO and AUOA sales.
[2] North America sales are scaled by U.S. population as percent of North America population.
[3] If a customer-level percentage is not available for a particular year the percentage from the closest year is used (with preference to later years).
[4] Subject to additional refinement based on customer name matches.
Sources:
[1] Monitor and NB data are from Gartner Group Detailed Data.
[2] TV data are from DisplaySearch Quarterly LCD TV Shipment and Forecast Report, Q2 2006 History Data Tables.
[3] U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates, National Totals, [http://www.census.gov/popest/national/national.html](http://www.census.gov/popest/national/national.html).
[4] Statistics Canada. Table 051-0001 - Estimates of population, by age group and sex for July 1, Canada, provinces and territories, annual (persons unless otherwise noted), CANSIM (database).
[5] AUO transaction data.

## Appendix E: Dr. Leffler's List of Prices Shared at Crystal Meetings

| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice crystal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { auo } \\ & \text { _crystal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cmo } \\ & \text { _crystal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cpt } \\ & \text { _crystal } \end{aligned}$ | hannstar _crystal | lg <br> _crystal | samsung _crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/14/2001 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 10 | 195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/14/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 10 | 165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/14/2001 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 10 | 330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/14/2001 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 10 |  |  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| 9/21/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 10 |  | 165+(5-10) | 165+(5-10) | 165+(5-10) | 175 |  |  |
| 9/21/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2001 | 10 |  | 195+10 | 200-205 | 195+10 | 195+(0-10) |  |  |
| 9/21/2001 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 10 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| 10/5/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 10 |  | 170-180 | 175 | 160-175 | 175-180 | 180-185 |  |
| 10/5/2001 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 10 |  | 205 | 205-215 | 205-215 | 205-210 | 205-210 |  |
| 10/19/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 11 | 175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/19/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2001 | 11 | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/30/2001 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 165 | 165 | 170 |
| 10/30/2001 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 11 |  | 165 |  |  |  | 170 |  |
| 10/30/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 11 |  | 180 | 180-185 | 180-185 | 185 | 180 | 180 |
| 10/30/2001 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 230 | 245 |
| 10/30/2001 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2001 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 220 | 255 | 280 |
| 10/30/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2001 | 11 |  | 220 | 220 | 220-225 |  | 220 | 220 |
| 10/30/2001 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 11 |  | 330 | 330 |  |  |  | 340 |
| 10/30/2001 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 11 |  |  | 430-450 |  |  | 450 |  |
| 10/19/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/19/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/30/2001 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| 10/30/2001 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  | 170 |  |  |  | 175 |  |
| 10/30/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  | 185 | 185-190 | 185 | 185-190 | 185 | 185 |
| 10/30/2001 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 240 | 255 |
| 10/30/2001 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2001 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/30/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 |  | 225 | 225 |  | 225-230 | 225 | 225 |
| 10/30/2001 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 |  | 335 | 335 |  |  |  | 345 |
| 10/30/2001 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 |  |  | 430-450 |  |  | 450 |  |

Case3:09-cr-00110-SI Document948-1 Filed09/11/12 Page168 of 199

| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice <br> _crystal | auo _crystal | cmo <br> _crystal | cpt <br> _crystal | hannstar _crystal | lg <br> _crystal | samsung _crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/6/2001 | 12.1 | SVGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 160 |  |  |
| 11/6/2001 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 |
| 11/6/2001 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/6/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  | 185 | 185 |  | 185 |  | 185 |
| 11/6/2001 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 255 |
| 11/6/2001 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2001 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 280 |
| 11/6/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 |  | 225 | 225 |  | 225 |  | 225 |
| 11/6/2001 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 |  | 340 | 340 |  |  |  |  |
| 11/6/2001 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 370 |
| 11/6/2001 | 18.1 | SXGA |  | 2001 | 12 |  |  | 450 |  |  |  |  |
| 11/13/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/13/2001 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 | 340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/13/2001 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 | 450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/13/2001 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 | 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/13/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 | 185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/13/2001 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/13/2001 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2001 | 12 | 270-280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 | 170-175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 | 175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 | 185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2001 | 12 | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2001 | 12 | 260-280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 | 340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2001 | 12 | 450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 1 | 170-175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 1 | 185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 1 | 195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \text { (US) } \\ & \text { 260(TWN) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 265-270(US) } \\ & \text { 290(TWN) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 1 | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 1 | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/7/2001 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 1 | 450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalpric crystal | auo crystal | Cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/3/2002 | 12.1 | SVGA | NB | 2002 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 170 |  |  |
| 1/3/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170-175 |
| 1/3/2002 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 1 |  | 180-185 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/3/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 1 |  | 188-190 | 190 | 195 |  |  | 195-200 |
| 1/3/2002 | 14.1 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205 |
| 1/3/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 260 |
| 1/3/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 285 |
| 1/3/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 1 |  | 230-235 | 230-235 | 235 | 228-230 |  | 235 |
| 1/3/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 1 |  | 350-355 | 345-355 |  |  |  | 340 |
| 1/3/2002 | 18.1 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 1 |  |  | 450-460 |  |  |  |  |
| 1/11/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 2 | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/11/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 2 |  | 355 | 355 |  |  |  | 355 |
| 1/11/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 2 |  |  | 450 |  |  | 450 |  |
| 1/11/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 175-180 | 175 | 175 |
| 1/11/2002 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 2 |  | 190 |  |  |  | 180 |  |
| 1/11/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 2 |  | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 205 | 205 |
| 1/11/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 245 | 245 | 255 |
| 1/11/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 2 |  | 275 | 275 |  |  | 275 | 285 |
| 2/6/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/6/2002 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/6/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 |  | 215 | 215 | 215 | 215 | 220 |  |
| 2/6/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 |  | 260 |  |  | 260 | 265 |  |
| 2/6/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 3 | 295 (ALL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/6/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 3 | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/6/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 3 | 370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/6/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 3 | 430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/20/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 | 195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/20/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/20/2002 | 14.1 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/20/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 | 265 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/20/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 3 | 295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice crystal | aut crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | $\overline{\lg }$ <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/8/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 | 220-225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 3 | 265-270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 3 | 295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 3 | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 3 | 365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 3 | 440-450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | 190-195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | 240-245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | 290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 4 | 310-320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 | 255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 | 370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 | 440-450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/13/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 190 | 195 | 192-198 |
| 3/13/2002 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 |  | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/13/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & \text { (Taiwanese) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 245 | 245-250 |
| 3/13/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 |  | 285 |  |  | 285 | 290 | 290-295 |
| 3/13/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 |  | 315 |  | 315 | 315 | 320 | 320-325 |
| 3/13/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 | 255 |  |  |  |  | 260 |  |
| 3/13/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 | 380 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/13/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 |  |  | 460-470 |  |  | 445-450 |  |
| 4/10/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | 190-195 |  |  |  | 190 | 195 | 192-198 |
| 4/10/2002 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | 240 | 220 |  |  |  | 220 |  |
| 4/10/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | 240-245 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 245 | 245-250 |
| 4/10/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 4 | 290 | 285 |  |  | 285 | 290 | 290-295 |
| 4/10/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 4 | 310-320 |  |  | 315 | 316 | 320 | 320-325 |
| 4/10/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 260 | 260 | 255 |
| 4/10/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 | 370 | 380 | 380 |  |  |  |  |
| 4/10/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 4 | 440-450 |  | 460-470 |  |  | 445-450 |  |
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$\left.\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\hline \hline \text { dateofmeeting screensize } & \text { resolution } & \text { application } & \text { yearstr } & \text { month } & \text { generalprice auo } \\ \text { crystal }\end{array}\right)$
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice crystal | aut crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/5/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 7 | 205-210 |  |  |  | 205 | 205-210 | 205 |
| 6/5/2002 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/5/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 7 | 255-265 | 255-260 | 260 | 260 | 263 | 263-267 | 265-267 |
| 6/5/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 7 | 310-315 | 315 |  |  | 315 | 310-315 | 317 |
| 6/5/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 7 | 330-345 | 330-335 |  | 335 | 340-345 | 345 | 342-347 |
| 6/5/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 7 | 265-270 | 260 | 260 | 260 | 265 | 270 | 260 |
| 6/5/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 7 | 380-385 | 380-385 tn | 385 |  |  |  | 380-385 tn |
| 6/5/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 7 | 465 |  | 465-470 |  |  | 450 |  |
| 8/15/2002 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 195-200 |
| 8/15/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 8 |  | 240-250 | 240 | 240 | 245 | 245 | 245-255 |
| 8/15/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 8 |  | 285-290 |  |  | 285-290 | 285-295 | 295-300 |
| 8/15/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 8 |  | 300-305 |  | 300-305 | 305-310 | 305-325 | 305-300 |
| 8/15/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 8 |  | 240-245 | 240 | 240-245 | 240-245 |  |  |
| 8/15/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 8 |  | 350-355 | 345-350 |  |  |  | 340-345 |
| 8/15/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 8 |  |  | 400-410 |  |  | 430 |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 12 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 12 | 165-170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2002 | 12 | 180-185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2002 | 12 | 220-235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2002 | 12 | 170 (-5 for SIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 12 | 265-275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2002 | 12 | 320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 1 | 165-170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 1 | 182.50-187.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 1 | 220-235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 1 | 175(-5 for SIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 1 | 272.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2002 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 1 | 320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/9/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 1 |  | 260 |  |  |  |  | 270 |
| 1/9/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 1 |  | 170 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/9/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 1 |  | 165-175 | 165-175 | 165-175 | 165-175 |  |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | general crystal | auo crystal | cmo <br> crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/13/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| 2/13/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/13/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 2 |  | 165 | 160 | 155-160 | 165 | 165-170 | 165-170 |
| 2/13/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 2 |  | 180 |  |  | 180-185 | 180 | 180 |
| 2/13/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 2 |  | 200 |  | 195 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| 2/13/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205 |
| 2/13/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 310 | 310 |
| 2/13/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 2 |  | 170-175 | 170-180 | 180 Sip | 175-180sip | 175-180 | 180 |
| 2/13/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 2 |  | 265 | 255-265 |  |  |  | 280 |
| 2/13/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 2 |  |  | 290 |  |  | 280 |  |
| 2/13/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 2 |  |  | 420 |  |  |  | 420 |
| 2/13/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 290 |  |
| 2/13/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 2 |  |  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| 2/13/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 160 | 165 | 165 |
| 2/13/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/13/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 3 |  | 165-170 | 160-165 | 160-165 | 170 | 170-175 | 170-175 |
| 2/13/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 3 |  | 185 |  |  | 185 | 185 | 185 |
| 2/13/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 3 |  | 210 |  | 205 | 210 | 205 | 210 |
| 2/13/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 210 |
| 2/13/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 | 315 |
| 2/13/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 3 |  | 175-180 | 175-180 | keep | keep |  |  |
| 2/13/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 3 |  | 270 | 260-270 |  |  |  | 285 |
| 2/13/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 3 |  |  | 280 |  |  | 280 |  |
| 2/13/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 3 |  |  | 420 |  |  |  | 420 |
| 2/13/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 280-290 |  |
| 2/13/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 3 |  |  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalp crystal | auo crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/20/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 4 |  | 180-185 | 180-185 | 180sip | 185-188 | 180-185 | 190 |
| 3/20/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 4 |  | 273 | 273 |  |  |  | 273 |
| 3/20/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 4 |  |  | 285 |  |  | 280-290 |  |
| 3/20/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 4 |  |  | 420 |  |  |  | 420 |
| 3/20/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 4 |  |  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| 3/20/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 281-290 |  |
| 3/20/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| 3/20/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/20/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 4 |  | 160-170 | 160-165 | 160-165 | 170 | 172-177 | 170-175 |
| 3/20/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 4 |  | 180 | 185-190 |  | 185 | 185 | 190 |
| 3/20/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 4 |  | 195 |  | 190 | 205 | 205 | 220 |
| 3/20/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 230 |
| 3/20/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 320 | 320 |
| 4/11/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 4 | 180 | 180 | 180 |  |  |  |  |
| 4/11/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 5 | 182 | 182 | 182 |  |  |  |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 175 | 165 | 165 |
| 5/14/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 5 |  | 165-175 | 165-170 | 165 | 180 | 175-180 | 180 |
| 5/14/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 5 |  | 190-195 | 190-195 | 190-195 | 195-198 | 190 | 200 |
| 5/14/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 5 |  | 215 | 215 | 210 | 215 | 210 | 230 |
| 5/14/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |
| 5/14/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 340 |
| 5/14/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 5 |  | 185-190 | 185-190 | 185 sip | 190-195sip | 190 | 190 |
| 5/14/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 5 |  | 273 | 270-273 | 270 |  | 270-275 | 285 |
| 5/14/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 5 |  |  | 290 |  |  | 290-295 |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 5 |  | 410-420 | 430 |  |  |  | 430 |
| 5/14/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 280-290 |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 5 |  |  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 30 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 5 |  |  | 1350 |  |  | 1400 |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalp crystal | auo crystal | cmo <br> crystal | cpt <br> crystal | hannstar crystal | lg <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/14/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 175 | 165 | 170 |
| 5/14/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  | 165-175 | 165-170 | 165 | 180 | 175-180 | 180-185 |
| 5/14/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195 | 200-205 |
| 5/14/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 6 |  | 215 | 215 | 215 | 225 | 215 | 230-235 |
| 5/14/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |
| 5/14/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 335 | 340 |
| 5/14/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  | 185-190 | 185-190 | 185 sip | 190-195sip | 190 | 190 |
| 5/14/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  | 273 | 273 | 270 |  | 270-275 | 285 |
| 5/14/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  |  | 290 |  |  | 290-295 |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  | 410-420 | 430 |  |  |  | 430 |
| 5/14/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 280-290 |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 6 |  |  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| 5/14/2003 | 30 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  |  | 1350 |  |  | 1400 |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  | 185-190 | 185-190 | 185 sip | 190-195 | 182-187 | 190 |
| 6/11/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  | 273 | 273 | 265 |  | 270-275 | 285 |
| 6/11/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  |  | 290 |  |  | 280-290 |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  | 410-420 | 430 |  |  |  | 430 |
| 6/11/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 6 |  |  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 281-290 |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 175 | 165 | 165 |
| 6/11/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  | 165-175 | 165-170 | 165-170 |  | 175 | 180 |
| 6/11/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  | 190-195 | 190-195 |  | 195-198 | 190-195 | 200 |
| 6/11/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 6 |  | 215 |  | 210 | 215 | 210 | 230 |
| 6/11/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |
| 6/11/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 340 | 340 |

Case3:09-cr-00110-SI Document948-1 Filed09/11/12 Page176 of 199

| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | general crystal | auo crystal | cmo <br> crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/11/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  | 185-190 | 185-190 | 185sip | 190 | 182-187 | 190 |
| 6/11/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  | 270 | 265-270 | 260 |  | 265-270 | 270 |
| 6/11/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  |  | 290 |  |  | 280-290 |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  | 410-420 | 430 |  |  |  | 430 |
| 6/11/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 7 |  |  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 281-290 |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 175 | 165 | 165 |
| 6/11/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  | 165-175 | 165-170 | 175 |  | 180 | 180 |
| 6/11/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  | 190-195 | 190-195 |  | 195-198 | 190-195 | 200 |
| 6/11/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 7 |  | 215 |  | 210 | 215 | 210 | 230 |
| 6/11/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |
| 6/11/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 340 | 340 |
| 7/9/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  | 185-190 | 185-190 | 185 sip | 191-192sip | 190 | 190 |
| 7/9/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  | 260 | 255-260 | 255-260 |  | 265 | 275 |
| 7/9/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  |  | 290 |  |  | 310-320 |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  | 410-420 | 420 |  |  |  | 420 |
| 7/9/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 280-290 |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 7 |  |  | 440 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 700 | 720 |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 7/9/2003 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 7 |  |  | 1300-1350 |  |  | 1350-1400 |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 7/9/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 178 | 170 | 170 |
| 7/9/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  | 165-175 | 170 | 170 | 180 | 180 | 180-185 |
| 7/9/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195-203 | 200 | 200-205 |
| 7/9/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 7 |  | 215-220 |  | 230 | 235 | 230 | 230-235 |
| 7/9/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |
| 7/9/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 340 |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalp crystal | auo crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | $\overline{\lg }$ <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/9/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  | 185-190 | 185-190 | 185sip | 191-192sip | 190 | 190 |
| 7/9/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  | 260 | 255-260 | 255-260 |  | 265 | 275 |
| 7/9/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  |  | 290 |  |  | 310-320 |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  | 410-420 | 420 |  |  |  | 420 |
| 7/9/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 280-290 |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  | 440 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 7/9/2003 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  | 1300-1350 |  |  | 1350-1400 |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 7/9/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 178 | 170 | 170 |
| 7/9/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/9/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  | 165-175 | 170 | 170 | 180 | 180 | 180-185 |
| 7/9/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195-203 | 200 | 200-205 |
| 7/9/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 8 |  | 215-220 |  | 230 | 235 | 230 | 230-235 |
| 7/9/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |
| 7/9/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 340 |
| 8/5/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  | 185-190 | 185-190 | 188-190sip | 191-193sip | 190 | 10k>195 |
| 8/5/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  | 262-267 | 262-265 | 258-260 |  | 265-270 | 275 |
| 8/5/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  |  | 290-295 |  |  | 310-320 |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  | 420 | 420 |  |  | 420 |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 290-300 | 330 |
| 8/5/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  | 440-450 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 850 |
| 8/5/2003 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 8/5/2003 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  | 1300-1350 |  |  | 1350-1400 |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 8/5/2003 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3900 |
| 8/5/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 178 | 170 | 170 |
| 8/5/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  | 175 | 175 | 180 |  | 180-185 | 185 |
| 8/5/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  | 200-205 | 200-205 | 200-205 | 205-208 | 200-205 | 205-209 |
| 8/5/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 8 |  | 230-235 |  | 230-235 | 230-235 | 235 | 240 |
| 8/5/2003 | 15.2 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 8 |  |  | 245 |  |  |  | 245 |
| 8/5/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 335 | 340 |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | general crystal | auo crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/5/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  | 190-195 | 190-195 | 192sip | 193-195sip | 190 | 190 |
| 8/5/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  | 255-270 | 262-265 | 262-265 |  | 255-270 | 275 |
| 8/5/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 420 |
| 8/5/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 330 |
| 8/5/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 850 |
| 8/5/2003 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 8/5/2003 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1460 |
| 8/5/2003 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170 |
| 8/5/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  | 180 |  |  | 185 |
| 8/5/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205 |
| 8/5/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 235 |
| 8/5/2003 | 15.2 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/5/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 245 |
| 8/5/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 340 |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalp crystal | auo crystal | cmo <br> crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | $\overline{\lg }$ <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/4/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 185-187 | 180 | 180 |
| 9/4/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  | 175-178 | 175 | 185 |  | 188 | 190 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205-209 | 205 | 210 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 9 |  | 235 |  | 235 | 235 | 235 | 240 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 280 | 260 | 285 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15.2 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  | 245 | 245 |  |  | 255 |
| 9/4/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 330 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  | 195-200 | 192-195 | 192-195sip | 200sip | 190 | 195 |
| 9/4/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  | 268-273 | 265-270(TN) | 265 |  | 265-270 | 275-280 |
| 9/4/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  |  | 295-300 |  |  | 320 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  | 420 | 420 |  |  |  | 420 |
| 9/4/2003 | 20.1 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  | 570 |  |  |  | 590 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 290-300 | 330 |
| 9/4/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 450- } \\ & \text { 470(SVGA) } \end{aligned}$ | 430-440 |  |  | 430 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 850 |
| 9/4/2003 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 700 | 700 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 9/4/2003 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  | 1000 |  |  |  |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  | 1350 | 1300-1350 |  |  | 1350 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 9/4/2003 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3900 |
| 9/4/2003 | 42 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalpr crystal | auo crystal | cmo <br> crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/4/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 185-190 | 180 | 180 |
| 9/4/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  | 180 | 180 | 185 |  | 190 | 190 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210-214 | 210 | 210 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 10 |  | 240 |  | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 280 | 265 | 285 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15.2 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  |  | 250 | 250 |  |  | 255 |
| 9/4/2003 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 330 |
| 9/4/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  | 195-200 | 195 | 192-195sip | 205-210sip | 190 | 195 |
| 9/4/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  | 275 | 270 | 268 |  | 270 | 280 |
| 9/4/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  |  | 295-300 |  |  | 320 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  | 420 | 420 |  |  | 420maybe | 420 |
| 9/4/2003 | 20.1 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  | 570 |  |  |  | 590 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 290-300 | 330 |
| 9/4/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 450- } \\ & \text { 470(SVGA) } \end{aligned}$ | 430-440 |  |  | 430 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 850 |
| 9/4/2003 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 650 |  |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 9/4/2003 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  |  | 1000 |  |  |  |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  |  | 1300-1350 |  |  | 1350 |  |
| 9/4/2003 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 9/4/2003 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3900 |
| 9/4/2003 | 42 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/3/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  | 185-190 | 180 | 185-190 |  | 195 | 200 |
| 10/3/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 10 |  | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210-214 | 210-215 | 220 |
| 10/3/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  | 200 | 195-200 | 200 | 205-210 | 193-197 | 210 |
| 10/3/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  | 275 | 270-275 | 270-275 |  | 270 | 285 |
| 10/3/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 10 |  | 420 | 420 |  |  | 420 | 420 |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalp crystal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { auo } \\ & \text { crystal } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cmo } \\ & \text { crystal } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cpt } \\ & \text { crystal } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | hannstar crystal | $\lg$ <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/3/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 11 |  | 203-206 | 203-205 | 203-205 | 220sip | 200 | 220 |
| 11/3/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 11 |  | 280-283 | 275 | 278-280 |  | 278-280 | 290 |
| 11/3/2003 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 320 |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 11 |  | 420 | 420 |  |  | 410 | 410 |
| 11/3/2003 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 300 | 330 |
| 11/3/2003 | 20.1 | UXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 11 |  | 570 | 570 |  |  | 570 |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 21.3 | UXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 620 |
| 11/3/2003 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  | 440 | 430-440 |  |  |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 850 |
| 11/3/2003 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 650 |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  | 900 |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 11/3/2003 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  |  | 950-1000 |  |  |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  | 1300 | 1300 |  |  | 1350 |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 11/3/2003 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3900 |
| 11/3/2003 | 42 | WXGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 14 | VGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 15 | XGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  | 215 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 17 | SXGA | TV | 2003 | 11 |  | 310 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 185 | 190 |
| 11/3/2003 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 11 |  | 190 | 190 | 195 |  | 200 | 210-215 |
| 11/3/2003 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 11 |  | 215 | 215 | 215 | 225-235 | 215 | 230 |
| 11/3/2003 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2003 | 11 |  | 240-245 | 245-250 | 245 | 250 | 250 | 260 |
| 11/3/2003 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 290 | 280 | 320 |
| 11/3/2003 | 15.2 | SXGA | NB | 2003 | 11 |  | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/3/2003 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2003 | 11 |  |  | 255 | 255 |  | 270 | 270 |
| 11/3/2003 | 17 | W XGA+ | NB | 2003 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 330 |
| 12/10/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 12 |  | 190-195 | 190-195 | 190-195 | 190-195 |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2003 | 12 |  | 225-245 | 225-245 | 225-245 | 225-245 |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2003 | 12 |  | 215-225 | 215-225 | 215-225 | 215-225 |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 12 |  | 270-285 | 270-285 | 270-285 | 270-285 |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2003 | 12 |  | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |  |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | generalprice auo |  |  |  | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | yearstr | month | crystal | crystal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195-200 | 195-200 |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  | 228-250 | 228-250 | 228-250 | 228-250 |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  | 218-230 | 218-230 | 218-230 | 218-230 |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  | 275-290 | 275-290 | 275-290 | 275-290 |  |  |
| 12/10/2003 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  | 220-225 | 220 | 220-225 | 230-235 | 210-215 | 220 |
| 1/16/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  | 285-290 | 285-290 | 285-290 |  | 288-292 | 310 |
| 1/16/2004 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 340 |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  | 415 | 415-420 |  |  | 410 | 415 |
| 1/16/2004 | 20.1 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  | 570 | 570 |  |  | 570 |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 21.3 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 620 |
| 1/16/2004 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 300 | 340 |
| 1/16/2004 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  | 420 | 420 |  |  | 420 |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 850 |
| 1/16/2004 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 650 | 710 |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  | 900 |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 1/16/2004 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  |  | 850 |  |  |  |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  | 1250 | 1200-1250 |  |  | 1300 |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 1/16/2004 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3900 |
| 1/16/2004 | 14 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 15 | XGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 17 | SXGA | TV | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  | 200 |  |  |  | 190 | 200 |
| 1/16/2004 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  | 200 | 200-210 |  |  | 222 | 220 |
| 1/16/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  | 225 | 225-230 | 225-230 | 235-245 | 235 | 250 |
| 1/16/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 1 |  | 255 | 250 | 245 | 260-270 | 282 | 280 |
| 1/16/2004 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 300-305 | 300 | 340 |
| 1/16/2004 | 15.2 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/16/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 1 |  |  | 275 | 265 |  | 270 | 270 |
| 1/16/2004 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 320 | 340 |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | general crystal | auo crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | Ig <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/3/2004 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 200 | 190 | 200 |
| 2/3/2004 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 2 |  | 205 | 215 |  |  | 224 | 220 |
| 2/3/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 2 |  | 230 | 225-230 | 225-230 | 235-245 | 235 | 250 |
| 2/3/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 2 |  | 260 | 270-280 | 245 | 270 | 282 | 280 |
| 2/3/2004 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 305 | 340 |
| 2/3/2004 | 15.2 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 2 |  | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 2 |  |  | 275 | 265 |  | 270 | 270 |
| 2/3/2004 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 320 | 340 |
| 2/3/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 2 |  | 220-225 | 225 | 220-225 | 230-235 | 210-215 | 220 |
| 2/3/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 2 |  | 290 | 285-290 | 285-290 |  | 288-292 | 310 |
| 2/3/2004 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 350 |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 2 |  | 415 | 415-420 |  |  | 410 | 415 |
| 2/3/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 300 | 340 |
| 2/3/2004 | 20.1 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 2 |  | 570 | 570 |  |  | 570 |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 21.3 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 620 |
| 2/3/2004 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  | 420 | 420-430 |  |  | 420 |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 850? |
| 2/3/2004 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 710 |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  | 800 |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 2/3/2004 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  |  | 850 |  |  |  |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  | 1250 | 1200-1250 |  |  | 1300 |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 2/3/2004 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3900 |
| 2/3/2004 | 42 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 14 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 15 | XGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/3/2004 | 17 | SXGA | TV | 2004 | 2 |  | 310 |  |  |  | 310 |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalpr crystal | auo crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/5/2004 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 200 | 190 | 205 |
| 3/5/2004 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 3 |  | 205 | 215 |  |  | 224 | 225 |
| 3/5/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 3 |  | 230 | 225-230 | 225-230 | 230-235 | 235 | 245 |
| 3/5/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 3 |  | 260 | 270-275 | 245 | 250-255 | 282 | 270 |
| 3/5/2004 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 305 | 305 | 340 |
| 3/5/2004 | 15.2 | SXGA | NB | 2004 | 3 |  | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 3 |  |  | 275 | 260 |  | 265 | 265 |
| 3/5/2004 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 310 | 330 |
| 3/5/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 3 |  | 220-225 | 225-230 | 225-230 | 245 | 220 | 220 |
| 3/5/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 3 |  | 290 | 290 | 285-290 | 290 | 295-310 | 310 |
| 3/5/2004 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 360 |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 3 |  | 415 | 415-420 |  |  | 410 | 415 |
| 3/5/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 395 |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 300 | 340 |
| 3/5/2004 | 20.1 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 3 |  | 570 | 570 |  |  | 570 |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 21.3 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 620 |
| 3/5/2004 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  | 410 | 400-420 |  |  | 420 |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 800 |
| 3/5/2004 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 670 | 710 |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  | 780 |  |  |  |  | 1000 |
| 3/5/2004 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  |  | 800-850 |  |  |  |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  | 1150 | 1150-1250 |  |  | 1200 |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 |
| 3/5/2004 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3900 |
| 3/5/2004 | 42 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 14 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 15 | XGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/5/2004 | 17 | SXGA | TV | 2004 | 3 |  | 310 |  |  |  | 310 |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | general crystal | auo crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | $\overline{\lg }$ <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/6/2004 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 205 |
| 5/6/2004 | 13.3 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 5 |  | 205-210 | 215 |  |  | 224 | 220 |
| 5/6/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 5 |  | 230 | 225-230 | 225-230 | 225-230 | 230-240 | 240 |
| 5/6/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 5 |  | 255 | 270-280 | 250 | 255-260 | 282 | 265 |
| 5/6/2004 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 310 | 305 | 330 |
| 5/6/2004 | 15.2 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 5 |  | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 5 |  |  | 260 | 255-260 |  | 266 | 260 |
| 5/6/2004 | 15.4 | SXGA | NB | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 320 |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 300 | 320 |
| 5/6/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 5 |  | 230-235 | 230-235 | 230-235 | 255 | 233-235 | 235 |
| 5/6/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 5 |  | 295-300 | 290-295 | 300 | 295 | 295-310 | 310 |
| 5/6/2004 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 5 |  | 415-420 | 415-420 |  |  | 410 | 415 |
| 5/6/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 390 |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 320-330 | 330 |
| 5/6/2004 | 20.1 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 5 |  | 550 | 545 |  |  | 510-530 |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 21.3 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 650 |
| 5/6/2004 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  | 400 | 390-400 |  |  | 400 |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 650 |
| 5/6/2004 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 650 | 680-690 |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  | 700 |  |  |  | 790 | 800 |
| 5/6/2004 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  |  | 700 |  |  |  |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  | 1000 | 1000-1050 |  |  | 1100-1150 |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1250 |
| 5/6/2004 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3350 |
| 5/6/2004 | 42 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 14 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 15 | XGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/6/2004 | 17 | SXGA | TV | 2004 | 5 |  | 315 |  |  |  | 320 |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice crystal | auo crystal | cmo <br> crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg <br> crystal | samsung <br> crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/8/2004 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 195 |
| 7/8/2004 | 14 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 7 |  | 230-235 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 7 |  | 200-210 | 205 |  |  | 220 | 210 |
| 7/8/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 7 |  | 210-220 | 215-220 | 220 | 215-220 | 225-243 | 225 |
| 7/8/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 7 |  | 245-255 | 260-265 | 250 | 255 | 265-275 | 260 |
| 7/8/2004 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 310 | 305 | 310 |
| 7/8/2004 | 15.2 | SXGA | NB | 2004 | 7 |  | 255 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 7 |  | 240-250 | 240-245 | 250 |  | 255-260 | 245-260 |
| 7/8/2004 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 295-305 | 300 |
| 7/8/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 7 |  | 225-235 | 225 | 225 | 225 | 225-230 | 220 |
| 7/8/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 7 |  |  | 285-290 | 285 | 285 | 293-300 | 295 |
| 7/8/2004 | 18 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 7 |  | 400-410 | 390-400 |  |  | 405 | 400 |
| 7/8/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 7 |  |  | 385-390 |  |  | 385-395 |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 305-310 | 300 |
| 7/8/2004 | 20.1 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 7 |  | 500-530 | 535 |  |  | 505-545 |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 21.3 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 600 |
| 7/8/2004 | 20.1 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  | 300-370 | 360 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 600 |
| 7/8/2004 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 600 |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 26 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  | 620 |  |  |  |  | 750 |
| 7/8/2004 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  |  | 650 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  | 925 | 900-940 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1100 |
| 7/8/2004 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3050 |
| 7/8/2004 | 42 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 14 | VGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 15 | XGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  | 225 | 225 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2004 | 17 | SXGA | TV | 2004 | 7 |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/10/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 8 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/10/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 8 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/10/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 320(\mathrm{TN}) 340 \\ & \text { (VA) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/10/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 8 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/10/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 8 | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/10/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 8 | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/10/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 8 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice crystal | auo crystal | Cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/3/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 9 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/3/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 9 | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/3/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 9 | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/3/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 9 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/3/2004 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 9 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/3/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 9 | 170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/3/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 9 | 205 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/3/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 9 | 300 (TN -\$30) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/6/2004 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 190 |
| 10/6/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160 |
| 10/6/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170 |
| 10/6/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |
| 10/6/2004 | 15 | UXGA | NB | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 280 |
| 10/6/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| 10/6/2004 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 280 |
| 10/6/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  | 155-165 | 155-165 |  | 165 |
| 10/6/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 170-180 |  | 195 |
| 10/6/2004 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 310 |
| 10/6/2004 | 17 | WXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 280 |
| 10/6/2004 | 20.1 | UXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 10 |  |  | 550 |  |  |  |  |
| 10/6/2004 | 22 | WSGA | TV | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 |
| 10/6/2004 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 560 |
| 10/6/2004 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 800 |
| 10/6/2004 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2004 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 |
| 12/8/2004 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2004 | 12 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |
| 12/8/2004 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2004 | 12 |  | 120 |  |  | 115 |  |  |
| 12/8/2004 | 15 | SXGA+ | NB | 2004 | 12 |  | 180 | 190 | 170 |  |  |  |
| 12/8/2004 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 12 |  | 140 |  |  | 140-145 |  |  |
| 12/8/2004 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 12 |  |  | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| 12/8/2004 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 12 |  |  | 170 | 155 |  |  |  |
| 12/8/2004 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2004 | 12 |  | 130 | 140 |  |  |  |  |
| 12/8/2004 | 12.1 | WXGA | NB | 2004 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Case3:09-cr-00110-SI Document948-1 Filed09/11/12 Page191 of 199


Case3:09-cr-00110-SI Document948-1 Filed09/11/12 Page192 of 199

| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalpr crystal | auo crystal | cmo crystal | cpt <br> crystal | hannstar crystal | lg <br> crystal | samsung <br> crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/5/2005 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 170 |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2005 | 6 |  |  |  | 138-140 | 135-140 | 140 |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 6 |  |  |  | 168 | 165-170 | 170 | 170 |
| 6/14/2005 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 6 |  |  |  | 210 | 225 | 230 | 220 |
| 6/14/2005 | 12.1 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 6 |  |  | 150 |  |  |  | 155 |
| 6/14/2005 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120-125 |
| 6/14/2005 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 120-125 | 130 | 135-140 |
| 6/14/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 6 |  |  |  | 135-140 |  |  | 120-130 |
| 6/14/2005 | 20.1 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 6 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 27 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 6 |  |  | 380-390 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 6 |  |  | 520-530 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 6 |  |  | 590 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 37 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 6 |  |  | 900-950 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 12.1 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 7 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 7 | 115-125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 12.1 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 7 | 155-160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 14.1 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 7 | 130-135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 7 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14/2005 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 7 | 125-130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2005 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2005 | 7 |  | 140 |  | 140 |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2005 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 7 |  | 165-170 |  | 170 | 170-175 | 170 | 168 |
| 7/8/2005 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 7 |  | 220-230 |  | 205 | 210-225 |  | 220 |
| 7/8/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 7 |  |  |  | 145 |  |  | 135-138 |
| 7/8/2005 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 7 |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2005 | 14 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 7 |  |  |  | 135-140 |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2005 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 7 |  |  |  | 135-140 |  |  | 140 |
| 7/8/2005 | 37 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 870 |  |
| 7/8/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/2005 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 600 |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice auo _crystal _crystal | cmo <br> _crystal | cpt <br> _crystal | hannstar _crystal | Ig <br> _crystal | samsung _crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/4/2005 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 141-142 |  |  |  |
| 8/4/2005 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 173 | 170-175 |  | 175 |
| 8/4/2005 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 210 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 218-220(8ms) } \\ & \text { 205- } \\ & \text { 207(12ms) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 8/4/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 150-155 |  |  |  |
| 8/4/2005 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 130 |  |  |  |
| 8/4/2005 | 14 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 140 |  |  |  |
| 8/4/2005 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 135 |  |  |  |
| 8/4/2005 | 12.1 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 155-160 |  |  |  |
| 8/4/2005 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 8 |  |  | 570-580 |  |  | 580 |
| 8/4/2005 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 980 |
| 9/6/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 9 | 145-150 |  | 155-160 |  |  | 155 |
| 9/6/2005 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 320 |
| 9/6/2005 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 9 |  |  | 170 |  |  | 170-173 |
| 9/6/2005 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 9 |  |  | 570 |  |  | 590 |
| 9/6/2005 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 990 |
| 9/6/2005 | 14 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 135-140 |
| 9/6/2005 | 15 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 150 |
| 9/6/2005 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 220 |
| 9/6/2005 | 17 | WXGA+ | NB | 2005 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 185 |
| 9/6/2005 | 14.1 | XGA | NB | 2005 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |
| 9/6/2005 | 17 | SXGA+ | Monitor | 2005 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |
| 9/6/2005 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2005 | 9 |  |  | 140 |  |  |  |

Case3:09-cr-00110-SI Document948-1 Filed09/11/12 Page194 of 199

| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice auo crystal crystal | cmo crystal | cpt <br> crystal | hannstar crystal | $\overline{\mathrm{lg}}$ <br> crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/6/2005 | 19 | WXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 10 |  | 205-210 |  |  |  |  |
| 10/6/2005 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 10 |  | 220-215 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 195-200 \\ & (12 \mathrm{~ms}) 208- \\ & 210 \text { (8ms) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 210-215 (tn) } \\ & \text { 235-240(VA) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/6/2005 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 10 |  |  | 169 |  |  | 170-173 |
| 10/6/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 10 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 165-170(220 } \\ & \text { nits) 155-160 } \\ & \text { (150nits) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 10/6/2005 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 10 |  |  | 550 |  |  | 565-570 |
| 10/6/2005 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 930-940 |
| 10/6/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 155 |
| 10/6/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 160 |
| 10/6/2005 | 20.1 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 310 |
| 10/6/2005 | 20.1 | WXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 310 |
| 10/6/2005 | 21 | WSXGA+ | Monitor | 2005 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 330 |
| 11/4/2005 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 11 |  | 168 | 168 | 167-168 |  | 168-169 |
| 11/4/2005 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 11 |  | 205-210 | 205 | $\begin{aligned} & 195- \\ & \text { 200(12ms) } \\ & \text { 205-208 (8ms) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 205-210(\mathrm{tn}) \\ & 225-235(\mathrm{va}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/4/2005 | 20.1 | WXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 11 |  | 270 |  |  |  |  |
| 11/4/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 11 |  | 165-170 | $\begin{aligned} & 165-170(220 \\ & \text { mts) 155-160 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 11/4/2005 | 32 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 11 |  | 550 | 560-570 |  |  | 570 |
| 11/4/2005 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2005 | 11 |  |  |  | 135 |  |  |
| 11/4/2005 | 40 | WXGA | TV | 2005 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 920 |
| 11/4/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| 11/4/2005 | 15.4 | WXGA | NB | 2005 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 167 |
| 11/4/2005 | 20.1 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 11 |  |  | 220-230 |  |  |  |
| 11/4/2005 | 20.1 | WXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 11 |  |  | 250-270 |  |  |  |
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| dateofmeeting | screensize | resolution | application | yearstr | month | generalprice crystal | auo crystal | cmo crystal | cpt crystal | hannstar crystal | lg crystal | samsung crystal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/6/2005 | 19 | WXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 12 |  |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| 12/6/2005 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  |
| 12/6/2005 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2005 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 145 |  |  |
| 12/6/2005 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2005 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 130 |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 19 | WXGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 |  |  | 175-180 |  |  |  | 180 |
| 1/6/2006 | 22 | WSGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 |  |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 20.1 | WXGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 |  |  |  | 230 |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 15 | XGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 | 125-128 |  |  |  | 115 |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 17 | SXGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 | 150 |  |  |  | 145 |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 19 | SXGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 23 | WXGA | TV | 2006 | 1 | 510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 20.1 | WXGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 | 285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 20.1 | UXGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 | 285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 30 | WXGA | TV | 2006 | 1 | 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 24 | WUXGA | TV | 2006 | 1 | 530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 21 | WSXGA+ | Monitor | 2006 | 1 | 305 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/6/2006 | 20.1 | WXGA | Monitor | 2006 | 1 | 245(tn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix F: Detailed Calculations of Volume of Commerce Excluding Sales to LG and Samsung

Table F1: AUO's Volume of U.S. Commerce, Excluding Sales to LG and Samsung (No Other Changes) (Millions of U.S. Dollars)

| Category | Volume of sales | All-seller method |  | AUO-specific method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. share (percent) | U.S. volume of sales | U.S. share (percent) | U.S. volume of sales |
| 1. Panels imported directly into the U.S. | 19.2 | 100.0 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 19.2 |
| 2. Billed or invoiced to purchasers in the U.S. | 85.2 | 29.2 | 24.9 | 47.8 | 40.7 |
| 3. Purchased by foreign affiliates of U.S. companies and integrated into final products imported to the U.S. | 1,273.1 | 29.2 | 371.9 | 47.8 | 608.2 |
| Total categories 1, 2 and 3 |  |  | 415.9 |  | 668.1 |

## Note:

[1] Volume of sales excludes internal AUO and AUOA sales
Sources:
[1] AUO transaction data
[2] Gartner Group Detailed Data and Display Search

Table F2: AUO's Volume of U.S. Commerce, Using Only Sales of Products Known to be Subject to Cartel Influence and Excluding Sales to LG and Samsung (Millions of U.S. Dollars)

| Category | Volume of sales | All-seller method |  | AUO-specific method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. share (percent) | U.S. volume of sales | U.S. share (percent) | U.S. volume of sales |
| 1. Panels imported directly into the U.S. | 11.8 | 100.0 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 11.8 |
| 2. Billed or invoiced to purchasers in the U.S. | 12.3 | 29.2 | 3.6 | 44.4 | 5.4 |
| 3. Purchased by foreign affiliates of U.S. companies and integrated into final products imported to the U.S. | 464.7 | 29.2 | 135.7 | 44.4 | 206.5 |
| Total categories 1, 2 and 3 |  |  | 151.1 |  | 223.7 |

## Notes:

[1] Volume of sales excludes internal AUO and AUOA sales
[2] Product/size/resolution combinations identified using both general and AUO-specific price data

## Sources:

[1] AUO transaction data
[2] Gartner Group Detailed Data and Display Search
[3] List of product/size/resolution combinations provided by Dr Leffler

## Appendix G: Analysis of the Lost Consumer Opportunity

The standard consumer-surplus analysis of the consumer loss from an overcharge breaks the loss down into the same two elements as in the Sentencing Guidelines. The first is the direct effect equal to the higher total cost to the consumers who continue to buy the product,

$$
C=Q \times \Delta P
$$

Here $Q$ is the number of units they purchase, and $\Delta P$ is the elevation in the price per unit. Note that this can also be written as

$$
C=P Q \times \frac{\Delta P}{P},
$$

which is the way that the calculations are usually set up, as the actual purchases at the higher price, $P Q$, times the proportional increase in the price, $\Delta P / P$.

The second part is the lost consumer opportunity, also called the deadweight burden on the consumer, the welfare triangle, or the Harberger Triangle, after Arnold Harberger, who promoted the approach. That amount is

$$
T=\frac{1}{2} \times \Delta P \times \Delta Q
$$

Here $\Delta Q$ is the added amount consumers would have purchased if the price had been lower by the amount $\Delta P$. The factor $1 / 2$ enters the calculation geometrically because the area of a triangle is half the product of its width and height. The economic logic is that the first consumer who stops consuming when the price first rises had almost no benefit from it, because a very small price increase induced the consumer to quit buying. When the price is halfway up, the lost benefit is half the price increase, and so on, up to the last consumer to quit buying, whose loss is the full amount of the price increase. The average loss occurs halfway through the process, hence the one-half in the formula.

The formula for the lost consumer opportunity can be rewritten

$$
T=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{P}{Q} \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta P} \times \frac{\Delta P}{P} Q \times \Delta P
$$

The expression

$$
\frac{P}{Q} \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta P}
$$

is the elasticity of demand, the proportional change in the amount consumed per unit of proportional change in the price, a fundamental concept of economics. Notice also that the last part of the formula is $C=Q \times \Delta P$, the cost to the continuing customers mentioned above. Thus the lost consumer opportunity is

$$
T=\frac{1}{2} \times[\text { Elasticity }] \times \frac{\Delta P}{P} \times C
$$

The quantity

$$
\frac{1}{2} \times[\text { Elasticity }] \times \frac{\Delta P}{P}
$$

is the ratio of the lost consumer opportunity harm to the overcharge harm - it is the amount that needs to be added to the simple overcharge amount to get the total harm of an overcharge. Elasticities for consumer goods tend to cluster around one. Thus the add-on for the lost consumer opportunity when the elasticity is one and the overcharge is 10 percent is equal to $1 / 2 \times 1 \times 0.1$ times the direct overcharge, or 0.05 times that amount, that is, 5 percent of the direct overcharge. For the add-on for lost consumer opportunities to be equal to 10 percent of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ (observed revenue), as presumed in the Sentencing Guidelines, we must have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \times[\text { Elasticity }] \times\left(\frac{\Delta P}{P}\right)^{2}=0.1
$$

With an elasticity of 1.0 , the overcharge would be the square root of 0.2 , which is 0.45 , or an overcharge of 45 percent.

For the lost consumer opportunity element to equal the overcharge, as presumed in the guidelines,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \times[\text { Elasticity }] \times\left(\frac{\Delta P}{P}\right)^{2}=\frac{\Delta P}{P}
$$

which, again with elasticity 1.0 , has the solution that the overcharge is 2.0 or 200 percent and the consumer opportunity element is another 200 percent, far above the guidelines.
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