Case 1:05-cv-00979-SEB-JMS Document 398-7 Filed 08/01/07 Page 1 of 4

Antitrust Division

Office of the Deputy Assistant Attorney General

950 Pennsylvania Ave., Suite 3218 Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Susan B. Rivas, Esq. Ice Miller One American Square Box 82001 Indianapolis, IN 46282-0002

Dear Ms. Rivas:

This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and Irving Materials, Inc. ("IMI"), in connection with possible price fixing or other conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, in the ready mixed concrete industry in the metropolitan areas of Bloomington, Indiana; Marion, Indiana; and Muncie, Indiana. This Agreement is conditional and depends upon IMI satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify IMI in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for IMI in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege.

AGREEMENT

- 1. **Representations**: IMI desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible price fixing activity or other conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the ready mixed concrete industry in the metropolitan areas of Bloomington, Indiana; Marion, Indiana; and Muncie, Indiana ("the anticompetitive activity being reported"). IMI represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, it:
 - (a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and
 - (b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the anticompetitive activity being reported.
- 2. Cooperation: IMI agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to IMI relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;



- (b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoena, all documents or other items in its possession, custody or control, wherever located, requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;
- using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full and truthful cooperation of the current and former directors, officers and employees of IMI, and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;
- (d) facilitating the ability of current and former directors, officers and employees to appear for such interviews or testimony in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;
- (e) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported respond completely, candidly and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews and grand jury appearances and at trial;
- (f) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and
- (g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported, in which IMI was a participant.
- 3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of IMI's representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept IMI into Part A of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against IMI for any act or offense it may have committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of IMI, the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that IMI has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of IMI into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against IMI, without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information provided by IMI, as well as any statements or other information provided by any current or former

director, officer or employee of IMI to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against IMI in any such prosecution.

- 4. Non-Prosecution Protection For Corporate Directors, Officers And Employees: Subject to IMI's full, continuing and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that current and former directors, officers and employees of IMI who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed during their period of employment at IMI prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:
 - (a) producing in the United States all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;
 - (b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;
 - (c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;
 - (d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a) (c) of this paragraph, that he or she may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and
 - (e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402) and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon the request of IMI, the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a current or former director, officer or employee of IMI fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to such individual shall be void, and any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute such person criminally, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such prosecution.

- 5. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and IMI, and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein.
- 6. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and IMI represent and warrant each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of each party hereto have all the authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.

The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,

Date: 5/26/05

Scott D. Hammond

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Antitrust Division

Secretary

Irving Materials, Inc.

Susan B. Rivas, Esq.

Counsel for Irving Materials, Inc.

See B. Rim Date: Jul 16, 2005