Pages 1 - 18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable James Donato, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Plaintiff,)

VS. , NO. CR 16-00365-JD

Elna Co., Ltd.,)

San Francisco, California Wednesday, October 11, 2017

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division 450 Golden Gate Avenue

Room 10-0101

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: HOWARD J. PARKER

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

For Defendant:

WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE & DORR

LLP

950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304

BY: HEATHER S. TEWKSBURY, ESQUIRE DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

SPANISH INTERPRETER: ERI MINOURA

Defendant.

Reported By: Pamela A. Batalo, CSR No. 3953, RMR, FCRR

Official Reporter

Wednesday - October 11, 2017 3:45 p.m. 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ---000---3 THE CLERK: Calling Criminal 16-365, United States of 4 America vs. Elna Co. 5 MR. PARKER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Howard 6 7 Parker for the United States. MS. TEWKSBURY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Heather 8 Tewksbury for Elna Company, Ltd I'm accompanied by the 9 10 corporate representative, Mr. Kenichiro Murata, and he is 11 accompanied by an interpreter, who has already been sworn in. THE COURT: All right. The interpreter has been sworn 12 13 in? 14 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Back for a plea for Elna; is that right, 16 Ms. Enson? 17 MS. TEWKSBURY: Tewksbury, Your Honor. That's the 18 prior case. 19 **THE COURT:** Tewksbury? 20 MS. TEWKSBURY: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Who is Ms. Enson? Mr. Enson. 22 MS. TEWKSBURY: Mr. Enson was the attorney for Holy 23 Stone. 24 THE COURT: Oh. I thought it was Esson. 25 MS. TEWKSBURY: Enson.

THE COURT: It was Mr. Enson? I called him Mr. Esson 1 about five times. 2 MS. TEWKSBURY: I noticed that, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: He didn't say anything. Okay. All right. 4 Would you swear the representative in, please. 5 KENICHIRO MURATA, 6 7 having been duly sworn, gave the following responses: THE COURT: All right. Would you give me your fill 8 name, Mr. Murata? 9 MR. MURATA: My name is Kenichiro Murata. 10 THE COURT: What do you do with the defendant, Elna? 11 MR. MURATA: I am a director and senior executive 12 officer. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. And how are you authorized to plead 15 on behalf of Elna Company, Ltd.? 16 MR. MURATA: Board of directors meeting resolved that. 17 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Tewksbury, is that the resolution attached to the Plea 18 19 Agreement? 20 MS. TEWKSBURY: That's correct. Of September 28, 2017, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Parker, is the Government fully 22 23 satisfied with the authorization? MR. PARKER: Yes, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

So, Mr. Murata, I understand that Elna would like to plead guilty today to the antitrust offenses. In order for me to decide whether I'm going to accept that plea, I need to ask you a series of questions to make sure that you understand on behalf of the company what the consequence of pleading guilty is and also that it's being done freely and knowingly and voluntarily by Elna.

Now, you've taken an oath to answer all my questions truthfully. Please be aware that if you do not answer any of the questions truthfully, both the company and you personally may be charged with additional offenses above and beyond the antitrust crime.

Do you understand that?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now, if you need to ask your lawyer any questions before we go forward or at any time, you just let me know, and you two can have a private word. All right?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: And as I go through the discussion with you today, I will use the word "you." I am referring, of course, not to you personally, Mr. Murata, but to Elna Company. Do you understand that?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now, have you had an

opportunity to see a written copy of the charge? 1 2 MR. MURATA: Yes. THE COURT: Have you fully discussed that charge to 3 your full satisfaction with your attorney? 4 MR. MURATA: Yes. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 7 Mr. Parker, would you state the charges against Elna and 8 the facts supporting the charge, please. MR. PARKER: The Information with which Elna has been 9 10 charged charges the company with participating from at least as early as August 2002 until in or about January 2014 in a 11 conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by fixing 12 13 prices and rigging bids of certain electrolytic capacitors in 14 the United States and elsewhere in violation of the Sherman 15 Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 1. 16 Should this matter have gone to trial, the United States 17 would have presented evidence sufficient to prove the following 18 facts: The relevant period is the period beginning at least as 19 20 early as August 2002 until in or about January 2014. During the relevant period, the defendant was a 21 corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan. 22 23 The defendant had its principal place of business in Yokohama, Japan. 24

During the relevant period, the defendant manufactured

electrolytic capacitors and was charged in the sale -- I'm sorry -- and was engaged in the sale of such electrolytic capacitors in the United States and elsewhere.

Electrolytic capacitors are a major subcategory of capacitors, fundamental components of electrical circuits used primarily to store and regulate electrical current.

During the relevant period, anti-competitive conduct of the defendant affected a volume of commerce of \$21.5 million in shipments of electrolytic capacitors into the United States.

During the relevant period, the defendant, through its officers and employees, including high-level personnel of the defendant, participated in a conspiracy among manufacturers of electrolytic capacitors, the primary purpose of which was to fix prices and rig bids of certain electrolytic capacitors sold in the United States and elsewhere.

In furtherance of the conspiracy, the defendant, through its officers and employees, at times engaged in discussions and attended meetings with representatives of other manufacturers of electrolytic capacitors.

During certain of these discussions and meetings, the conspirators agreed to fix the price and/or rig bids of certain electrolytic capacitors to be sold in the United States and elsewhere.

During the relevant period, the defendant and its co-conspirators manufactured certain electrolytic capacitors

outside the United States and sold them in the United States or for delivery to the United States.

During the relevant period, one or more of the conspirator firms sold certain foreign manufactured electrolytic capacitors outside the United States for incorporation into products that were sold in or for delivery to the United States.

During the relevant period, certain electrolytic capacitors sold by one or more of the conspirator firms traveled in interstate commerce.

Acts in furtherance of this conspiracy were carried out within the Northern District of California. Certain electrolytic capacitors that were the subject of this conspiracy were sold by one or more of the conspirators to customers in the district.

Your Honor, should I also set forth the elements?

THE COURT: Not quite -- yes. Go ahead. That's fine.

MR. PARKER: The elements of the charged offense are that, (a) the corporation described in the information existed at or about the time alleged; (b) the defendant knowingly became a member of the conspiracy; and (c) the conspiracy described in the information either, one, substantially affected interstate and U.S. import trade or commerce in electrolytic capacitors or occurred within the flow of interstate or U.S. import, trade or commerce in electrolytic capacitors; or, two, had a direct substantial and reasonably

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

foreseeable effect on interstate or U.S. import, trade or commerce in certain electrolytic capacitor-containing products, and that effect in part gives rise to the charge in the Information. THE COURT: All right. Ms. Tewksbury, any objection to the Government's statements? MS. TEWKSBURY: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Murata, you have heard the charge against Elna and the facts that the United States believes supports that charge. Do you understand all of that? MR. MURATA: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Where is the signed Plea Agreement? Can you hand the signature page, please. Mr. Murata, you are being shown the signature page of the Plea Agreement. Is that your signature on behalf of the Defendant Elna? MR. MURATA: Yes. THE COURT: All right. And before you signed the Plea Agreement on behalf of Elna, do you believe that Elna had a full and complete understanding of each and every term in the Plea Agreement? MR. MURATA: Yes. THE COURT: And do you believe Elna had a full opportunity to discuss each and every term in the Plea Agreement with its attorneys?

1 MR. MURATA: Yes. THE COURT: And thinking about the advice and legal 2 representation that Elna has received in this case, has the 3 company been fully satisfied with its lawyers? 4 MR. MURATA: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Does that Plea Agreement that you signed 6 7 on behalf of Elna represent the company's full and complete understanding with the Government, meaning there are no 8 unwritten or side deals that the company believes it has 9 10 reached? Is that right? 11 MR. MURATA: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Tewksbury, you have shared with 12 13 your client all of the Government's formal plea offers? 14 MS. TEWKSBURY: Yes, Your Honor, I did. 15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Murata, has anybody 16 attempted in any way to force Elna or otherwise threatened Elna 17 in connection with pleading quilty today? 18 MR. MURATA: No. THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises or assurances 19 20 to Elna of any kind, other than the terms of your Plea Agreement, to try to convince it to plead quilty today? 21 22 MR. MURATA: No.

THE COURT: Is Elna pleading guilty today of its own free will because it is, as a matter of fact, guilty of the charged offense?

23

24

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now, let me tell you some of the rights that the company will be giving up if I accept the guilty plea.

The rights that Elna will be giving up include the right to plead not guilty to any offense charged against it; the right to a trial by a jury of 12 citizens of this district selected at random who would presume the company's innocence and to convict you, would all 12 have to agree that the Government has proven the company's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt; the right to appear at trial, to present evidence, cross-examine witnesses and confront witnesses and compel the attendance of witnesses; and the right, if a trial were to happen, to be represented by counsel.

Do you understand that by pleading guilty today, if I accept that plea, Elna will have given up all of those rights related to a trial, and there will, in fact, be no trial, and the company will have given up all of its rights to a trial.

Do you understand all of that?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that your Plea Agreement contains a waiver which says that you are giving up your right to appeal or attack the sentence that I may end up imposing in this case if that sentence is consistent with or below the sentence recommended in the Plea Agreement,

regardless of how I determine that sentence, except that you are retaining your right to perfect any legal remedies that you may have on appeal or collateral attack based on any claim that your lawyer was ineffective or on prosecutorial misconduct.

Do you understand all of that?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed these waivers with your attorney?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you making these waivers voluntarily and freely today?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Parker, what are the maximum possible penalties faced by the defendant and any mandatory minimums, please.

MR. PARKER: The statutory maximum penalty that may be imposed against Elna upon conviction for a violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act is a fine in an amount equal to the greatest of (a) \$100 million; (b) twice the gross pecuniary gain the conspirators derived from the crime; or (c) twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime by the conspirators.

In addition, the Court may impose a term of probation of at least one year but not more than five years.

The Court may order Elna to pay restitution to the victims

of the offense, and the Court is required to order the defendant to pay a \$400 special assessment upon conviction of the charged crimes.

THE COURT: Any objection to that statement, Ms. Tewksbury?

MS. TEWKSBURY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Murata, do you understand that the Government and your lawyer agree that the maximum possible penalty provided by law for the offense that Elna intends to plead guilty to today is a fine in an amount equal to the greatest of \$100 million or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the crime or twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime? Do you understand that?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that I may also impose up to five years of probation, restitution to the victims of the offense, and a \$400 mandatory special assessment?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: When it comes time to sentence Elna, do you understand that I will consider something called the Sentencing Guidelines, and I will calculate what is called a Guidelines range, consider that range, and possible departures from that range and other sentencing factors that Congress mandates me to consider. Do you understand all of that?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Sentencing Guidelines are purely advisory and do not bind the Court in any way?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you talked with your attorney about how these advisory guidelines might apply to this case and to Elna?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, as I understand it, the Government has recommended -has agreed to recommend as your sentence a criminal fine of
3.825 million without interest and payable in six installments
over a five-year time period as described in paragraph 9 of the
Plea Agreement; no order of restitution; a \$400 special
assessment; and a five-year term of probation.

Do you understand that those are merely recommendations and that I may reject those recommendations and you will not and Elna will not be permitted to withdraw its plea of guilty?

Do you understand that?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Do you also understand that when I get to the point of deciding your sentence, I will have some additional information available to me that I don't have now, and that -- which means that any estimate or calculation of what Elna's sentence might be, anything that your lawyer may

have told you about that or anybody else or anything you personally or the company personally has come up with on its own may not be accurate? In other words, the sentence I impose may be different from anything you have in mind from whatever source.

Do you understand that Elna will not be allowed to withdraw its plea of guilty if, in fact, there is a difference between what you expect and what I ultimately impose as the sentence?

MR. MURATA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Parker, what are the essential terms of the Plea Agreement, please?

MR. PARKER: As the Court just described, this is a Rule 11(c)(1)(B) agreement. The United States agrees that it will recommend a fine of \$3.825 million payable in six installments over five years without interest; the defendant agrees that it will not present evidence or argument in opposition to the Government's recommendation; a \$400 special assessment; no order of restitution; a five-year term of probation with certain jointly-recommended terms regarding a corporate compliance program. A term is the requirement on the defendant of full and truthful continuing cooperation.

Subject to such cooperation and with certain other limitations, the Government promises that it will not bring further charges against Elna or certain affiliated companies

and will not bring certain charges against current -- I'm 1 sorry -- will not bring further charges against current 2 employees, officers, and directors with the exception of one 3 individual who has already been charged. 4 THE COURT: All right. Any objections, 5 Ms. Tewksbury's, to the Government's statements? 6 7 MS. TEWKSBURY: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Murata, I'm getting to the point where I'm going to ask for Elna's plea. Have you understood 9 everything that we've discussed today? 10 11 MR. MURATA: Yes. THE COURT: Is there anything you want to talk about 12 13 with your lawyer before we go forward? 14 MR. MURATA: No. I don't have anything in particular. 15 THE COURT: All right. How then does Elna Company, 16 Ltd., plead to the offense charged in the Information dated 17 August 22, 2016, which alleges a violation of Section 1 of the 18 Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 United States Code Section 1, guilty 19 or not guilty? 20 MR. MURATA: Guilty. THE COURT: All right. It's the Court's finding that 21 the defendant, Elna Company, Ltd., through its authorized 22 23 representative, is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea. 24

The defendant is aware of the nature and factual basis of

the charge against it and the consequences of pleading guilty, and the company's's plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the charged crime.

So I'm going to accept the plea and defendant, Elna Company, Ltd., is now adjudged quilty of the charged offense.

So I am going to refer this to the Probation Office for a Presentence Report on the organizational defendant to help me decide what Elna's sentence will be.

Mr. Murata, you and the company will be asked to give information by the Probation Office to put in that report. You will have an opportunity to have your lawyer present on each and every communication that the Probation Office wants to have with Elna, and at the end of that process, you will get, along with the Court and the United States, a copy, written copy, of the proposed recommendations and findings with respect to sentencing that the Probation Office puts together.

You will have an opportunity to review those with your lawyer and then make any objections or comments to me that you would like me to take into account when we get to the time of sentencing.

Which will be -- same date, Ms. Clark?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: What date was that?

THE CLERK: January 24th, 2018.

THE COURT: All right. So sentencing will be 1 January 24th, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. 2 Counsel, so two weeks before that date, submit your 3 Sentencing Memorandum. If you're perfectly fine with what 4 Probation has to say, that's fine, just let me know; otherwise, 5 make any comments or objections you would like. And then one 6 7 week after that, which is one week after the hearing, you can 8 react to each other's memorandum and say anything else you'd like to say. 9 Trial is vacated. Did I set you for trial? 10 MS. TEWKSBURY: You did. 11 12 THE COURT: Trial is vacated. Anything else? 13 MR. PARKER: Nothing more, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: How many criminal defendants are left? MR. PARKER: Currently charged is Matsuo, which is on 15 16 for a hearing October 25th, and I think those are all the 17 corporations who are currently charged. THE COURT: Yes. Just Matsuo? That's it? 18 19 MR. PARKER: And I'm sorry, Your Honor. And also 20 Nichicon is charged. And they have -- charged by Information. **THE COURT:** Charged by Information? 21 MR. PARKER: They have been charged by Information. 22 23 THE COURT: So there are two remaining charged criminal defendants; is that right? 24 25 MR. PARKER: That's correct, Your Honor.

MS. TEWKSBURY: Corporate criminal. MR. PARKER: Corporate defendants. There are a number of individuals that have been charged and they have not appeared. THE COURT: You're not likely to see them, I take it. MR. PARKER: We'll try, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anything else I can help you with? MS. TEWKSBURY: No. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:08 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Wednesday, October 18, 2017 DATE: Pamela A. Batalo Pamela A. Batalo, CSR No. 3593, RMR, FCRR U.S. Court Reporter