



RECEIVED

U.S. Department of Justice


Antitrust Division

1/8/2014

Lawrence E. Buterman
Direct Dial: (202) 532-4575
Fax: (202) 616-8544
e-mail: lawrence.buterman@usdoj.gov

Liberty Square Building
450 5th Street, NW
Suite 4000
Washington, DC 20530

January 8, 2014



The Honorable Denise Cote
United States District Judge, S.D.N.Y.
Daniel P. Moynihan U.S. Courthouse
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: United States v. Apple, Inc., et al., No. 12-cv-2826 (DLC)
State of Texas v. Penguin Group (USA), Inc., No. 12-cv-3394 (DLC)

Dear Judge Cote:

Yesterday evening, Apple filed its "Reply in Support of Defendant Apple Inc.'s Motion by Order to Show Cause for a Stay of the Injunction Pending Appeal." Pursuant to Section 3.B of this Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases, unless prior permission has been granted, reply memoranda are limited to 10 pages. In apparent contravention of Section 3.B, Apple's reply brief is 15 pages long, and largely devoted to addressing arguments not raised in its initial papers.¹ We respectfully submit that Apple's reply brief should be stricken, and that Apple be ordered to submit a reply that comports with this Court's Individual Practices and all applicable Rules.

RECEIVED

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Lawrence E. Buterman
Lawrence E. Buterman

Copy: Apple's Counsel

The parties are reminded to comply with this Court's Individual Practices as to seek prior approval for a departure. The request to strike is denied. If the plaintiffs seek to file a sur-reply to address new arguments that appear in the reply, they

¹ Apple certainly never requested permission or consent from the United States or Plaintiff States prior to filing its brief, nor to our knowledge did Apple ever approach the Court to seek prior permission.

may do so by Saturday, January 11 at noon.

*Denise Cote
January 8, 2014*