

Exhibit E

From: Michael Bromwich <michael.bromwich@bromwichgroup.com>
Sent: Monday, November 11, 2013 8:34 AM
To: Boutrous Jr., Theodore J.; Carroll, Sarah
Cc: Nigro, Barry; Cirincione, Maria; Swanson, Daniel G.; Richman, Cynthia
Subject: Re: Apple -- Expense Guidelines

Ted, let's see if we can make some progress on a phone call this afternoon rather than exchanging additional e-mails. We don't think a slate of interviews and meetings next week, almost a full month after we identified it as the time we would like to begin our on site work, is at all unreasonable, especially because we have made clear that we will understand if some of the people we want to meet are unavailable next week. I'm hopeful that we can work something out that isn't overly burdensome to the company but that doesn't cause us further delay. I think we can.

Please let us know what times this afternoon would work for you. Thanks.

MRB

On Mon, Nov 11, 2013 at 10:48 AM, Boutrous Jr., Theodore J. <TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com> wrote:

Dear Michael:

I am very surprised and disappointed in your email below. I thought that we had set things on a productive and collaborative path in our call last week and with my follow up list of potential interviewees (which was much broader and longer than the one I had suggested during the cordial November 6 call). During our call, I specifically noted that the week of November 18 might not be feasible or convenient and suggested that the week of December 2 (the week after the intervening Thanksgiving holiday week) might work well. When I then followed up and proposed December 2, you responded in your November 7 email that you would be in Europe the week of December 2 and had some other scheduling conflicts that week and the week of December 9. I then simply wrote back and asked if you could reshuffle your schedule so that we could make the December 9 timeframe work.

Your response below was not in the spirit of our efforts and offer to host you at Apple headquarters for a full slate of interviews and provide other information well in advance of the date on which your review of the new compliance and training programs is to commence under the Final Judgment (January 14). As set forth in my October 31 letter, Judge Cote and the Final Judgment could not have been clearer regarding the timing and scope of your review and the need to avoid unduly intruding on Apple's business operations. The Final Judgment is also clear that any "interview [is] to be subject to the reasonable convenience of such personnel..." Final Judgment at VI.G.1. Contrary to your suggestions below, and as Apple's General Counsel Bruce Sewell made clear in his letter to you and I emphasized when we spoke and in my letter to you and in my conversations with the Justice

Department and States on these issues, Apple takes its obligations and responsibilities under the Final Judgment very seriously. To that end, and among the other things it is doing on this front, Apple has made a reasonable proposal regarding the requested interviews and for working collaboratively and productively with you. Under the circumstances, your demands and approach are unreasonable, unnecessary and unwarranted, and go well beyond the scope of the Final Judgment and Judge Cote's guidance.

Ted

Theodore J. Boutrous Jr.

GIBSON DUNN

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Tel [+1 213.229.7804](tel:+12132297804) • Fax [+1 213.229.6804](tel:+12132296804)
TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com • www.gibsondunn.com

From: Michael Bromwich [mailto:michael.bromwich@bromwichgroup.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 09, 2013 2:48 PM
To: Boutrous Jr., Theodore J.
Cc: Nigro, Barry; Cirincione, Maria; Swanson, Daniel G.; Richman, Cynthia; scarroll@robbinsrussell.com
Subject: Re: Apple -- Expense Guidelines

Ted,

This is a very disappointing response, and very much at odds with what my understanding was during and after our call last Wednesday. The company was put on notice on October 22 that we intended to make our initial visit the week of November 18. Your response suggests that our request was not -- and is not -- taken seriously by the company. Apple is a can-do company, and I am confident that they can pull this together. If they maintain that they cannot, that suggests to me that they do not take its obligations and my responsibilities under the Final Judgment very seriously. The questions below need only be answered if the company maintains that that it unable to comply with our request for a series of interviews and meetings the week of November 18.

Please advise which of the 15 people (Sewell, Moyer, Levoff, Vetter, Andeer, Said, Persamperi, Moerer, McDonald, Cook, Schiller, Cue) identified in your e-mail and my response are unavailable for as little as an hour any day the week of November 18 (Monday through Friday). Be prepared to support any

representations concerning their unavailability with detailed copies of their schedules for that entire week.

Please confirm that contact has been made with the 2-3 Board members identified in my e-mail who appear to work in the vicinity of Apple's headquarters, and that they are also unavailable for a meeting/interview of similar length.

Please advise which of the subjects identified in my recent e-mail cannot be addressed in a presentation/discussion (with almost two weeks notice) and why that is the case.

I remain willing to upend my schedule and make the trip this coming week rather than the week of November 18 if that will mean the company is better able to comply with our quite reasonable requests. I am not prepared to drag things out any longer than that.

Thanks.

MRB

On Sat, Nov 9, 2013 at 4:01 PM, Boutrous Jr., Theodore J. <TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com> wrote:

Michael:

I have now heard back and, unfortunately, that week is very bad in terms of scheduling. I know you will be out of the country the week of December 2, but we would very much appreciate it if you could work on your scheduling conflicts the week of December 9 and make the trip that week. Apple will be able to have a full slate of interviewees for you to meet with that week along the lines of my prior email and the new ACO will have had time to get acclimated and up and running. This will get things off to a strong start and would be much better from the standpoints of efficiency and effectiveness. It doesn't make sense to have you fly all the way to California only to meet with a few people the week of November 18. In the meantime, we can start getting you some of the information you have requested. We are also working on a new confidentiality arrangement based on the protective order. Can we make this work?

Theodore J. Boutrous Jr.

GIBSON DUNN

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Tel [+1 213.229.7804](tel:+12132297804) • Fax [+1 213.229.6804](tel:+12132296804)
TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com • www.gibsondunn.com

From: Michael R. Bromwich [<mailto:michael.bromwich@bromwichgroup.com>]
Sent: Saturday, November 09, 2013 11:30 AM
To: Boutrous Jr., Theodore J.
Cc: Nigro, Barry; Cirincione, Maria; Swanson, Daniel G.; Richman, Cynthia; scarroll@robbinsrussell.com
Subject: Re: Apple -- Expense Guidelines

Thanks, Ted. We appreciate it. We will plan to fly in late Sunday and be ready to go first thing Monday morning unless a Tuesday start would be significantly better for the company.

Also, we would be grateful for any of the materials we originally requested October 22.

Best.

MRB

On Nov 9, 2013, at 2:13 PM, "Boutrous Jr., Theodore J." <TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com> wrote:

Checking to see what can be pulled together for that week Will report back

Theodore J. Boutrous Jr.

GIBSON DUNN

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Tel [+1 213.229.7804](tel:+12132297804) • Fax [+1 213.229.6804](tel:+12132296804)
TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com • www.gibsondunn.com

From: Michael Bromwich [<mailto:michael.bromwich@bromwichgroup.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2013 12:58 PM
To: Boutrous Jr., Theodore J.
Cc: Nigro, Barry; Cirincione, Maria; Swanson, Daniel G.
Subject: Re: Apple -- Expense Guidelines

Thanks, Ted. Let's keep trying for the week of November 18. The following two weeks are bad for me -- I'm out of the country and otherwise committed the week of December 2 and have some real scheduling difficulties the following week as well. And then we're into the holidays when we can expect people to be traveling everywhere.

We have always understood that we would not be able to grab everyone we would like to meet or interview the week of the 18th, but let's resolve to do the best we can. The list you have generated is an excellent start.

In addition to the people on this list, all of whom we want to meet/interview either the week of the 18th or at some point soon thereafter, we would like to interview/meet Tim Cook, Phil Schiller, and Eddie Cue. If there are other Senior VPs who touch antitrust-related issues in a meaningful way, we would like to add them to the list as well.

In addition, we would be very interested in gathering information while we are out there on the following.

1. A discussion of the overall compliance structure at Apple -- spheres of responsibility, reporting structure, and personnel involved in compliance.
2. Overview of the compliance activities that were commenced after the Final Judgment, as referred to in Bruce Sewell's November 4 letter.
3. Overview of the structure and operation of the Risk Management Committee.
4. Overview of the role of the Audit Committee in compliance
5. Overview of the evaluative tools -- e.g., outside audits and reviews -- currently used to review and monitor the compliance program.
6. Discussion of the tools and methods currently used within the company to promote compliance.
7. Structure for reporting and investigating suspected compliance violations (antitrust and other issues).
8. Existing system for imposing discipline on company personnel who violate compliance policies.
9. Mechanisms for reporting compliance violations and preventing retaliation.

These are just a few ideas about topics that I have found very worthwhile to explore at the outset of monitoring. I will leave to Apple which of these it wants to take up the week of 11/18 and which it would prefer to defer until our next trip -- realistically, probably in early January. I am open to interviewing people who are the most knowledgeable on these subjects, or receiving presentations, which can then be later followed up on with interviews. I want to be as flexible as possible about this, but I have no doubt we will be able to usefully fill 2-3 days the week of 11/18.

We would also very much ask for the company's assistance in arranging interviews with its Board members. In addition to Mr. Cook, I note that Mr. Levenson and Mr.

Campbell, both of whom are members of the Audit Committee, are based in Mountain View (Campbell) and South San Francisco (Levenson). My understanding is that Mr. Gore either lives or frequently visits Northern California. If one or more of these outside directors are available the week of the 18th, we would very much like to meet with them.

Thanks very much for your continued assistance and cooperation on this.

Best.

MRB

On Thu, Nov 7, 2013 at 3:16 PM, Boutrous Jr., Theodore J. <TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com> wrote:

Thank you Michael. I look forward to reviewing this and very much appreciated our call yesterday. The week of November 18 is looking bad from a scheduling standpoint (including because the new Antitrust Compliance Officer will be officially starting work that week and a number of other folks will be traveling), so we would like to propose the week of December 2. I am still working to confirm, but interviewees could potentially include:

Bruce Sewell, Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary Member of Management Risk Oversight Committee

Tom Moyer, Chief Compliance Officer and Head of Global Security

Gene Levoff, Senior Director, Associate General Counsel - Corporate Law and Assistant Secretary, Legal Counsel to Audit and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Liaison to Board of Directors, Counsel Risk Management Committee

Doug Vetter, Vice President, Associate General Counsel Product Law and Assistant Secretary. Assumed responsibility in July 2013 for legal groups supporting hardware, software, and iTunes (including App Store and iBooks Store).

Kyle Andeer, Senior Director, Competition Law & Policy

Deena Said, Antitrust Compliance Officer

Annie Persamperi, Legal Counsel, iBooks Store

Keith Moerer, Director, iTunes content

Rob McDonald, Head of iBooks Store for the United States

I hope we can work together to make this a productive first trip for you to Apple and sets us on a joint path to achieving the objectives of this effort.

Ted

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 7, 2013, at 1:00 PM, "Michael Bromwich" <michael.bromwich@bromwichgroup.com> wrote:

Dear Ted,

As promised during our call yesterday afternoon, attached please find a letter that sets forth the items included in Apple's expense policies that we feel comfortable signing on to. As you will see, we have no objection to agreeing to follow those polices that don't raise independence concerns or otherwise seem inappropriate. Please let us know if you have any questions or need to discuss any of the specific items.

Again, I want to thank you for the very productive discussion we had yesterday. We look forward to receiving the list of people and groups the company is proposing we meet and/or interview the week of November 18 so we can reach closure on the issue as soon as possible and schedule the trip.

Best regards.

MRB

<Apple -- Letter to Boutrous -- 11-7.PDF>

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message.
