	Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Documen	t 83 Filed 08/26/22 Page 1 of 15	
1	Lauren Battaglia ( <i>pro hac vice</i> , DC Bar No Logan M. Breed ( <i>pro hac vice</i> , DC Bar No		
2	Benjamin Holt ( <i>pro hac vice</i> , DC Bar No. Charles A. Loughlin ( <i>pro hac vice</i> , DC Ba	483122)	
3	HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP		
4	Columbia Square 555 Thirteenth St., NW		
5	Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone No.: (202) 637-5600		
6	Facsimile No.: (202) 637-5910 lauren.battaglia@hoganlovells.com		
7	logan.breed@hoganlovells.com benjamin.holt@hoganlovells.com		
8	chuck.loughlin@hoganlovells.com		
9	Christopher J. Cox (Bar No. 151650) HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP		
10	855 Main St. Suite 200		
11	Redwood City, CA 94063		
12	Telephone No.: (650) 463-4000 Facsimile No.: (650) 463-4199		
13	3 chris.cox@hoganlovells.com Counsel for Defendant		
14			
15			
16		TES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18	SAN J	OSE DIVISION	
19	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,		
20	Plaintiff,	Case No. 5:22-cv-04325-EJD	
21	v.	DEFENDANT WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
22	META PLATFORMS, INC., et al.,		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP	DEFENDANT WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJD	

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

5

6

1

## ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.

Defendant Within Unlimited, Inc. ("Within"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby answers Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission's ("Plaintiff" or "FTC") Complaint for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction Pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act dated July 27, 2022 (the "Complaint") as follows:

## ANSWER

Each paragraph below corresponds to the same-numbered paragraph in the Complaint. All
allegations not expressly admitted are denied. Within does not interpret the headings or preamble
in the Complaint as well-pleaded allegations to which any response is required. To the extent a
response is required to the headings or preamble, Within denies all such allegations in the headings
and preamble. Unless otherwise defined, capitalized terms refer to the capitalized terms defined in
the Complaint, but any such use is not an acknowledgment or admission of any characterization
the FTC may ascribe to the terms.

- Within admits that Meta seeks to acquire Within. Within denies the remaining
   allegations in this paragraph.
- 16

2. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

3. Within admits that Meta acquired Oculus VR, Inc. in 2014 and that Meta's Oculus
2 has been available for sale in the United States since its launch in 2020. Within lacks knowledge
sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

4. Within admits that the Quest Store is a distribution platform for VR software apps.
Within further admits that Meta acquired Beat Games in November 2019 and that Meta owns a
number of other VR apps. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining
allegations in the paragraph.

24 5. Within denies the allegations in the first sentence in this paragraph. Within lacks
25 knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

26

27

28

6. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.

7. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

8. Within admits the second sentence of this paragraph references a document from

## Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 83 Filed 08/26/22 Page 3 of 15

1	Within's files. However, Within denies the FTC's characterization of this document, which takes		
2	selected language out of context. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.		
3	9. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
4	10. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph		
5	as they relate to Meta's intentions. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.		
6	11. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
7	12. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
8	13. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
9	14. The allegations in this paragraph state legal conclusions as to which no response is		
10	required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations		
11	15. The allegations in this paragraph state legal conclusions as to which no response is		
12	required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations		
13	16. The allegations in this paragraph state legal conclusions as to which no response is		
14	required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations.		
15	17. The allegations contained in this paragraph contain legal conclusions, as to which		
16	no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations in this		
17	paragraph.		
18	18. The allegations in this paragraph purport to state legal conclusions as to which no		
19	response is required. To the extent a response is required, Within respectfully refers the Court to		
20	15 USC § 53(b) for an accurate and complete statement of its text.		
21	19. The allegations contained in this paragraph contain legal conclusions, as to which		
22	no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations.		
23	20. The allegations contained in this paragraph contain legal conclusions, as to which		
24	no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this current action,		
25	Within does not contest that venue in this district is proper.		
26	21. The allegations contained in this paragraph contain legal conclusions, as to which		
27	no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for purposes of this current action,		
28	Within does not contest that assignment to the San Jose Division is proper.		
HOGAN LOVELLS US	DEFENDANT WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S 2 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJI		

1	22. The allegations in this paragraph state legal conclusions as to which no response is
2	required. To the extent a response is required, Within admits the allegations in this paragraph but
3	denies that the FTC is authorized under Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18, or Section 5
4	of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, to proceed in this case.
5	23. Within admits the allegations in the first and second sentences of this paragraph.
6	Within also admits that Meta offers for sale the Meta Quest 2 VR headset. Within also admits that
7	Beat Saber was initially released by Beat Games, a studio that Meta acquired in 2019. Within lacks
8	knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in the remaining sentences.
9	24. Within admits the allegations in the first and third sentences of this paragraph.
10	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations.
11	25. Within admits the allegations in this paragraph.
12	26. Within admits the allegations in this paragraph.
13	27. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
14	28. Within admits that the VR industry is currently characterized by a high degree of
15	innovation and growth. Within denies the remaining allegations.
16	29. Within admits that users may experience VR through a headset with displays in front
17	of each eye. Within denies the allegations in the second sentence of this paragraph. Within further
18	admits that VR allows users to experience different visual environments while remaining in their
19	homes. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations.
20	30. Within lacks knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.
21	31. Within admits that the Meta Quest Store, App Lab, Valve's Steam Store, and
22	SideQuest are application stores where users can download applications and content. Within lacks
23	knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
24	32. Within admits that developers of varying sizes create a wide range of VR content
25	for the many VR headsets. Within denies the remaining allegations in the paragraph.
26	33. Within lacks knowledge to admit or deny to the allegations in the first sentence of
27	the paragraph. Within admits that Meta acquired Beat Games, the developer of Beat Saber, in
28	November 2019. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in
LLS US	DEFENDANT WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S 3 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJD

1 this paragraph.

2

34. Within lacks knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

3 35. Within admits that Meta has developed and released Horizon Worlds, Horizon
4 Workrooms, Horizon Venues, and Horizon Home. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or
5 deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

6 36. Within admits that the second sentence of this paragraph references a document
7 from Within's files. However, Within denies the FTC's characterization of this document, which
8 takes selected language out of context. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

9 37. The allegations contained in this paragraph contain legal conclusions, as to which
10 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations in this
11 paragraph.

12 38. The allegations contained in this paragraph contain legal conclusions, as to which
13 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations in this
14 paragraph.

15 39. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny to the allegations in this16 paragraph.

17

23

24

25

40. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.

18 41. Within admits that Supernatural has a high energy expenditure. Within lacks
19 knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations concerning third party ratings. Within denies
20 the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

42. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations concerning views
of industry participants. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

43. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.

44. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.

45. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.

46. Within admits the last sentence of this paragraph references a document from
Within's files. However, Within denies the FTC's characterization of this document, which takes
selected language out of context. Within denies the remaining allegations.

	0430 0.2		
1	47.	Within admits that as of August 26, 2022, consumers can purchase a Supernatural	
2	Annual Membership for \$179.99/year or a Supernatural Monthly Membership for \$18.99/month		
3	on Meta's Q	uest Store. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.	
4	48.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
5	49.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
6	50.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
7	51.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
8	52.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
9	53.	To the extent that Plaintiff is quoting from documents, Within respectfully refers the	
10	Court to the documents for an accurate and complete statement of their contents. Within denies the		
11	allegations in this paragraph.		
12	54.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
13	55.	To the extent that Plaintiff is quoting from documents, Within respectfully refers the	
14	Court to the documents for an accurate and complete statement of their contents. Within denies the		
15	remaining allegations in this paragraph.		
16	56.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
17	57.	Within denies the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph. Within admits	
18	the second sentence of this paragraph references a document from Within's files. However, Within		
19	denies the FTC's characterization of this document, which takes selected language out of context.		
20	58.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph	
21	concerning unidentified studies. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.		
22	59.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph	
23	concerning u	unidentified industry participants. Within denies the remaining allegations in this	
24	paragraph.		
25	60.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
26	61.	This paragraph purports to state conclusion of law to which no response is required.	
27	To the exter	nt a response is required, Within respectfully refers the Court to the 2010 U.S.	
28	Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission Horizontal Merger Guidelines for an		
	-	of Justice and Federal Trade Commission Horizontal Merger Guidelines for an //ITHINUNLIMITED, INC.'S 5 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJI	

1	accurate and	complete statement of its contents.	
2	62.	This paragraph purports to state conclusions of law to which no response is required.	
3	To the extent a response is required, Within respectfully refers the Court to the 2010 U.S.		
4	Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission Horizontal Merger Guidelines for an		
5	accurate and	complete statement of its contents.	
6	63.	This paragraph purports to state conclusions of law to which no response is required.	
7	To the exter	nt a response is required, Within respectfully refers the Court to the 2010 U.S.	
8	Department	of Justice and Federal Trade Commission Horizontal Merger Guidelines for an	
9	accurate and	complete statement of its contents.	
10	64.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
11	65.	To the extent that the FTC is quoting from documents, Within respectfully refers	
12	the Court to t	he documents for an accurate and complete statement of their contents. Within denies	
13	the remaining	g allegations in this paragraph.	
14	66.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
15	67.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
16	68.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
17	69.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
18	70.	To the extent that Plaintiff is quoting from the 2010 U.S. Department of Justice and	
19	Federal Trade Commission Horizontal Merger Guidelines, Within respectfully refers the Court to		
20	the same for an accurate and complete statement of its contents. Within denies the remaining		
21	allegations in this paragraph.		
22	71.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
23	72.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in in this	
24	paragraph.		
25	73.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
26	74.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
27	75.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in the first	
28		his paragraph. Within admits that Meta has previously developed VR apps. Within	
LLS US		TTHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S6CASE No. 5:22-cv-04325-EJDAFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES6	

## Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 83 Filed 08/26/22 Page 8 of 15

	Case J.Z.	z-cv-04323-L3D Document 83 Flied 06/20/22 Fage 8 01 13	
1	denies the remaining allegations in the paragraph.		
2	76. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations concerning		
3	documents in	n Meta's files. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.	
4	77.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
5	78.	Within admits that Meta developed Oculus Move, which among other things allows	
6	users to track	c certain fitness-related metrics while using the Quest 2. Within denies the remaining	
7		n this paragraph.	
8	79.	Within admits that Meta owns Beat Games, the studio behind Beat Saber. Within	
9	lacks knowle	edge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.	
10	80.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
11	81.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
12	82.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph	
13	as they relate	e to Meta's motives for rebranding itself. Within denies all remaining allegations.	
14	83.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
15	84.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
16	85.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
17	86.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph	
18	concerning in	nternal Meta strategy. Within denies the remaining allegations.	
19	87.	To the extent that Plaintiff is quoting from documents, Within respectfully refers the	
20	Court to the documents for an accurate and complete statement of their contents. Within denies the		
21	remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.		
22	88.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
23	89.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
24	90.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.	
25	91.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
26	92.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
27	93.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
28	94.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.	
OVELLS US	<b>DEFENDANT</b> W	VITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S 7 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJD	

	Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 83 Filed 08/26/22 Page 9 of 15			
1	95.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.		
2	96.	96. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.		
3	97.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph		
4	concerning M	Ieta's motives for any codename for the proposed acquisition. Within denies the		
5	remaining all	egations in this paragraph.		
6	98.	Within admits that Meta hired Within's head of product for Supernatural. Within		
7	lacks knowle	dge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations.		
8	99. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.			
9	100.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.		
10	101.	Within denies the allegation that the Acquisition is anticompetitive. Within lacks		
11	knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations.			
12	102.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
13	103.	Within denies the first and third sentences of this paragraph. Within lacks		
14	knowledge su	ifficient to admit or deny the allegations in the second sentence of the paragraph.		
15	104.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
16	105.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
17	106.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
18	107.	To the extent Plaintiffs are referring to Meta's earnings report, Within respectfully		
19	refers the Court to the earnings report for an accurate statement of its contents. Within denies the			
20	remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.			
21	108.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
22	109.	Within admits that both sentences in this paragraph reference documents from		
23	Within's files. Within denies the FTC's characterization of these documents, which take selected			
24	language out of context. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.			
25	110.	Within admits that its former head of product is currently employed at Meta. Within		
26	denies the rer	naining allegations in this paragraph.		
27	111.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.		
28	112.	Within admits that the second and third sentences of this paragraph references a		

document from Within's files. However, Within denies the FTC's characterization of this
 document, which takes selected language out of context. Within denies the remaining allegations
 in this paragraph.

4 113. Within admits that this paragraph references a document from Within's files.
5 However, Within denies the FTC's characterization of this document, which takes selected
6 language out of context. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

7 114. Within admits that this paragraph references documents from Within's files.
8 However, Within denies the FTC's characterization of these documents, which take selected
9 language out of context. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

10 115. Within denies the allegations in the first sentence. Within admits the second
11 sentence of this paragraph references documents from Within's files. However, Within denies the
12 FTC's characterization of this document, which takes selected language out of context. Within
13 denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

14

116. Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.

15 117. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph
16 concerning Meta's view of competition. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

17 118. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph
18 concerning Meta's view of competition. Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

19 119. To the extent that Plaintiff is relying on public webpages, Within respectfully refers
20 the Court to the webpage(s) for an accurate and complete statement of their contents. Within denies
21 the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

22

120. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

121. Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph
concerning Meta's view of Beat Saber's target market. Within admits the second sentence of this
paragraph references a document from Within's files. However, Within denies the FTC's
characterization of this document, which takes selected language out of context. Within lacks
knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in the fourth sentence of this paragraph.
Within denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

1	122.	Within denies the allegations in the first sentence. Within admits that the second
2	and third sen	tences of this paragraph reference a document from Within's files. However, Within
3	denies the FT	C's characterization of these documents, which takes selected language out of context.
4	Within lacks	knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in the fourth sentence of this
5	paragraph.	
6	123.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
7	124.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.
8	125.	Within denies the allegations in the first sentence of the paragraph. Within admits
9	the second se	ntence of this paragraph references a document from Within's files. However, Within
10	denies the FT	C's characterization of this document, which takes selected language out of context.
11	126.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
12	127.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
13	128.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
14	129.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
15	130.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
16	131.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
17	132.	To the extent the last sentence of this paragraph purports to reflect Meta's
18	knowledge, Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this sentence.	
19	Within denie	s the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
20	133.	Within lacks knowledge sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.
21	134.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
22	135.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
23	136.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
24	137.	The allegations in this paragraph state legal conclusions as to which no response is
25	required. To	the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations.
26	138.	Within denies the allegations in this paragraph.
27	139.	The allegations contained in this paragraph contain legal conclusions, as to which
28		s required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations in this
LLS US		TTHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S 10 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1	paragraph and states that the FTC is not entitled to any relief.		
2	140. The allegations contained in this paragraph contain legal conclusions, as to which		
3	no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Within denies the allegations in this		
4	paragraph and states that the FTC is not entitled to any relief. Further, Within states that it is entitled		
5	to any relief that this Court may deem just or appropriate, in equity or at law.		
6	141. Within states that the FTC is not entitled to any relief.		
7	142. Within states that the FTC is not entitled to any relief.		
8	143. Within states that the FTC is not entitled to any relief.		
9	AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES		
10	Within asserts the following defenses, without assuming the burden of proof on such		
11	defenses that would otherwise rest with the Plaintiffs. Within has not knowingly or intentionally		
12	waived any applicable defenses, and it reserves the right to assert and rely upon other applicable		
13	defenses that may become available or apparent throughout the course of the action. Within		
14	reserves the right to amend, or seek to amend, its answer and affirmative defenses.		
15	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
16	The Complaint fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted.		
17	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
18	Granting the relief sought is inequitable and contrary to the public interest.		
19	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
20	The Complaint fails to allege a plausible relevant product market.		
21	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	The Complaint fails to allege a plausible relevant geographic market.		
23	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	The Complaint fails to allege undue share in any plausibly defined relevant market.		
25	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
26	The Complaint fails to allege any plausible harm to competition.		
27	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
28			
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP	DEFENDANT WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S 11 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJD ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		

	Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 83 Filed 08/26/22 Page 13 of 15	
1	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	The Complaint fails to allege any plausible harm to consumer welfare.	
3	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
4	There will be no harm to competition, consumers, or consumer welfare because there is,	
5	and will continue to be, entry and expansion by competitors, which is timely, likely, and sufficient.	
6	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
7	The combination of the Defendants' businesses will be procompetitive. The transaction	
8	will result in substantial acquisition-specific efficiencies, cost synergies, and other procompetitive	
9	effects that will directly benefit consumers. These benefits will greatly outweigh any and all	
10	proffered anticompetitive effects.	
11	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
12	The alleged harm to potential competition is not actionable.	
13	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14	The FTC has failed to establish that Defendants exercise market power with respect to any	
15	relevant market.	
16	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
17	The Complaint reflects improper selective enforcement of antitrust laws.	
18	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
19	The combination of Defendants' business is not likely substantially to lessen competition	
20	applying the analytical framework set forth in the Merger Guidelines promulgated by the FTC and	
21	Department of Justice.	
22	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
23	The FTC is not entitled to relief as a matter of law.	
24	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
25	The FTC is not entitled to relief because none of Within's conduct identified in the	
26	Complaint is actionable – either independently or in the aggregate – under the antitrust laws.	
27	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
28	The FTC cannot proceed because it purports to exercise executive authority in violation of	
ELLS US	DEFENDANT WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S 12 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJD ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	

1	Case 5:2	2-cv-04325-EJD Document 83 Filed 08/26/22 Page 14 of 15
1	Article II of	the United States Constitution.
2		EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
3	The	FTC is equitably estopped from asserting its claims.
4		NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	The	Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution barred the FTC from commencing
6	this action a	against Within and bars the FTC from continuing this action against Within and from
7	seeking a cl	aim for relief.
8		TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	With	nin incorporates by reference the affirmative defenses put forth by Meta in its Answer
10	to the Plaint	tiff's Complaint.
11		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
12	WHEREFORE, Within respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment:	
13	1.	Denying the FTC's requested relief;
14	2.	Dismissing the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice;
15	3.	Awarding Within the costs it has incurred in defending this action and expenses;
16	and	
17	4.	Awarding such other and further relief to Within as the Court may deem just and
18	proper.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 VELLS US	ΠΕΓΕΝΙΠΑΝΙΤΙΑ	WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S 13 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325-EJD

	Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Docume	nt 83 Filed 08/26/22 Page 15 of 15
1	Dated: August 26, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
		By: /s/ Christopher J. Cox
2		Christopher J. Cox (Bar No. 151650)
3		HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP 855 Main St.
4		Suite 200
5		Redwood City, CA 94063
6		Telephone No.: (650) 463-4000 Facsimile No.: (650) 463-4199
		chris.cox@hoganlovells.com
7		Lauren Battaglia (admitted pro hac vice)
8		Logan M. Breed (admitted pro hac vice)
9		Benjamin Holt ( <i>admitted pro hac vice</i> ) Charles A. Loughlin ( <i>admitted pro hac vice</i> )
10		HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
		Columbia Square
11		555 Thirteenth St., NW Washington, D.C. 20004
12		Telephone No.: (202) 637-5600
13		Facsimile No.: (202) 637-5910 lauren.battaglia@hoganlovells.com
14		logan.breed@hoganlovells.com
		benjamin.holt@hoganlovells.com
15		chuck.loughlin@hoganlovells.com
16		Counsel for Defendant Within Unlimited, Inc.
17		
18		
19		
20		
20 21		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
VELLS US P At Law	DEFENDANT WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	14 CASE NO. 5:22-CV-04325

HOGAN LOV