

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
OXFORD DIVISION**

TRONOX LIMITED

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:18cv10-SA-RP

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

DEFENDANT

**ORDER DENYING RENEWED MOTION FOR EXPEDITED
HEARING AND SCHEDULING ORDER**

Within hours of the undersigned denying plaintiff's motion for expedited hearing and scheduling order on January 25, 2018, plaintiff filed a renewed motion for expedited hearing and scheduling order. Docket 13. Plaintiff seeks a January 30, 2018 deadline for defendant to move to dismiss, stay or otherwise suspend this action. The renewed motion purports to demonstrate that plaintiff has served defendant with the summons and complaint (the affidavit attached to the motion states formal service was affected on January 25, 2018), as well as with a copy of the previous motion for expedited hearing and scheduling order, and that plaintiff's counsel would "ensure" that defendant is served with the renewed motion. Docket 13-1. Without passing on the sufficiency of such purported service of process, plaintiff still has provided no authority to support its request for an expedited hearing and scheduling order before defendant has even had the opportunity to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint itself, let alone to the request for expedited hearing and scheduling order. The undersigned will not set any scheduling deadlines or hearings in this case unless and until the defendant has had the opportunity afforded by the court's rules to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint.

Additionally, as the expedited deadline proposed by plaintiff has passed, the Renewed Motion for Expedited Hearing and Scheduling Order is DENIED AS MOOT.

SO ORDERED, this, the 2nd day of February, 2018.

/s/ Roy Percy

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE