



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Revised July 3, 2018

Sample Antitrust-Related Provisions in M&A Agreements

This note collects a sample of antitrust-related provisions, including risk-shifting provisions, that have been used in actual deals. Of course, every deal stands on its own, and the language that has been used in one deal may not be appropriate for another deal. Inclusion of a provision in this sample does not constitute an endorsement of the language. Rather, the sample is designed to give you a good idea of the types of provisions that parties in fact have used in dealing with antitrust process and risk in negotiated transactions.

Dale Collins

Index

I.	Definitions	8
a.	Antitrust laws	8
b.	HSR Act	10
c.	Laws	11
d.	Regulatory Laws	11
e.	Governmental authority	11
f.	Antitrust approvals	12
g.	Government authorizations	13
II.	Representations and Warranties.....	13
a.	Antitrust-related consents and approvals	13
b.	Buyer representations on the fair market value of U.S. assets (for HSR valuation purposes).....	14
c.	Seller representation of assets and sales in or into the U.S.	14
d.	No investigations (Seller representation)	15
III.	Conditions Precedent	15
a.	HSR Act waiting period	15
b.	HSR Act waiting period plus any voluntary commitment not to close	16
c.	HSR “approval”	16
d.	All “antitrust approvals”	16
	(i) Simple provision	16
	(ii) Approvals without Burdensome Condition	17
e.	United States, EU or member states, and other scheduled jurisdictions.....	17
f.	HSR Act and multinational regulatory approvals (without materiality proviso).....	18
	(i) Including Canada	18
	(ii) Including the EU	18
	(iii) Including Canada, EU, and China	18
g.	HSR Act and multinational regulatory approvals (with materiality proviso).....	20
h.	Condition precedent with agreed list of jurisdictions in exhibit to Agreement	22
i.	Condition precedent incorporating no “burdensome condition”	25



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

j.	Condition precedent with list of jurisdictions to be negotiated	25
k.	No law, order or injunction	25
l.	No pending actions or litigation	27
(i)	No threatened or pending litigation	27
(ii)	No threatened or pending litigation by U.S. or Canadian antitrust authorities	27
(iii)	No government actions	28
(iv)	No U.S. or EC actions	28
(v)	No pending actions in specified jurisdictions (Example 1)	28
(vi)	No pending actions in specified jurisdictions (Example 2)	29
(vii)	More detailed provision	29
(viii)	No pending litigation for a temporary restraining order	29
(ix)	No pending litigation that may impose a Substantial Detriment	30
(x)	No pending litigation that may impose a Company Material Adverse Effect	30
(xi)	Closing if appeal is pending	30
IV.	General Efforts Covenants	31
a.	General form of provision	31
b.	“Reasonable best efforts”	31
c.	“Reasonable actions”	31
d.	“Commercially reasonable efforts”	32
e.	“Best efforts”	33
(i)	“Best efforts” (Example 1)	33
(ii)	“Best efforts” (Example 2)	33
f.	Efforts extend to assisting other party	34
V.	Conduct of Business Covenants	34
a.	“Ordinary course” covenant	34
b.	Covenants to avoid material delay or increased antitrust risk in closing	35
(i)	General prohibition (Example 1)	35
(ii)	General prohibition (Example 2)	35
(iii)	General prohibition (Example 3)	35
(iv)	General prohibition (Example 4)	36
(v)	General prohibition (Example 5)	36
(vi)	General prohibition (Example 6)	36
(vii)	General prohibition (Example 7)	37
(viii)	General prohibition (for delay and increased risk)	37
(ix)	General prohibition with Purchaser proviso	37
(x)	No investments that would increase antitrust risk	38
(xi)	No acquisitions that would increase antitrust risk (Example 1)	38
(xii)	No acquisitions that would increase antitrust risk (Example 2)	38
(xiii)	No acquisitions or investments that would increase antitrust risk	38
(xiv)	Strict prohibition on acquisitions above a specified size (Example 1)	39
(xv)	Strict prohibition on acquisitions above a specified size (Example 2)	39
(xvi)	No acquisitions with a seven-month carve-out	40
c.	Covenants with respect to contracts	41
d.	Covenants with respect to capital expenditures	43
(i)	Capped according to schedule	43
(ii)	Capped by forecast plan or not in excess of \$1,000,000	43
(iii)	Capped by multiple criteria	43
(iv)	Capped by business plan and set limits	44



(v)	Capped by business plan and set limits (with ordinary course exception for supplies).....	44
(vi)	Capped by CapEx plan plus overrun limits	44
(vii)	Capped by set limit (with ordinary course exception).....	45
e.	Collective bargaining agreements	45
f.	Access to Company information	46
g.	Other conduct of business covenants	47
h.	Savings clause	47
VI.	Merger Control Filing Covenants	48
a.	Covenant to make HSR filings and comply with any second request	48
b.	Timing to make HSR Act filings.....	50
(i)	Ten days or “when advisable”	50
(ii)	Fifteen days or when “mutually agreed”	50
(iii)	Upon notice by Parent to Company.....	51
(iv)	When Buyer deems advisable	51
(v)	“Prompt” filing	51
c.	Covenant to make multinational filings	52
d.	Covenant to make elective merger control filings.....	55
e.	Covenant to be in substantial compliance	56
f.	Pulling/refiling and timing agreements	57
g.	Timing agreements.....	58
(i)	No timing agreements without mutual consent	58
(ii)	No timing agreements without mutual consent (no unreasonable withholding)	58
(iii)	No buyer timing agreement without seller’s consent	58
(iv)	Capped discretion to enter into timing agreement	58
(v)	Timing agreement at purchaser’s option with consultation.....	58
h.	Timing of second request compliance.....	59
(i)	Earliest practicable date.....	59
(ii)	Promptly as practicable with outside date	59
(iii)	Reasonable best by a date certain (Example 1)	60
(iv)	Reasonable best by a date certain (Example 2)	60
(v)	Outside date (Example 1—60 days).....	60
(vi)	Outside date (Example 2—60 days).....	61
(vii)	Outside date (Example 3—60 days; tying timing to “reasonable best efforts”)	61
(viii)	Outside date (Example 4—60 days).....	61
(ix)	Outside date (Example 5—90 days).....	62
(x)	Outside date (Example 6—90 days).....	62
(xi)	Outside date (Example 7—90 days).....	62
(xii)	Outside date (Example 8—120 days).....	63
(xiii)	Outside date for U.S. and EU	63
(xiv)	Deferral of compliance (with capped completion date).....	64
i.	Defining second request compliance.....	64
j.	Allocating filing and second request costs	65
(i)	Parent pays fees	65
(ii)	Allocating fees for HSR filing (1/2 to each party)	65
(iii)	Allocating fees for merger control filings in all jurisdictions (1/2 to each party).....	65
(iv)	Allocating fees for second request costs.....	65
k.	Representation on secondary filings.....	66



VII. Cooperation Covenants.....	66
a. Detailed cooperation covenant	66
b. Joint cooperation (with escalation of disputes to particular named individuals).....	72
(i) Escalation to general counsels.....	72
(ii) Escalation to named individuals.....	73
c. Provision for the exchange of filings and submissions	73
d. Notification of communications.....	74
e. Provision for the furnishing information to other side and governmental authorities	74
f. Coordination of second request response	75
g. Right to review submissions to third parties as well as government agencies	75
h. Provisions for agency meetings.....	76
(i) Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 1)	76
(ii) Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 2—explicitly includes conference calls)...	76
(iii) Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 3—if “reasonably practicable”).....	76
(iv) Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 4—with consent decree negotiations).....	76
(v) Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 5—with some asymmetry)	77
(vi) Right to attend meetings (with prior written consent exception).....	77
(vii) Right to attend meetings (with limited carveout)	77
(viii) Efforts not to preclude opportunity to attend.....	78
(ix) No independent meetings	78
(x) No participation unless both sides attend	78
(xi) No participation by executives unless both sides attend.....	79
i. Outside counsel information carveouts	79
j. Seller cooperation in Purchaser filings.....	80
k. Seller obligations to participate in defense.....	81
(i) General obligation	81
(ii) Obligation to attend meetings if requested by Purchaser	81
l. Buyer control of strategy and tactics	81
(i) Consult on any government agreements w/Buyer final determination.....	81
(ii) Consult on actions and filing w/Buyer final determination.....	82
(iii) Buyer directs strategy (Example 1)	82
(iv) Buyer directs strategy (Example 2)	82
(v) Buyer directs strategy (Example 3)	82
(vi) Buyer directs strategy (Example 4)	83
(vii) Buyer directs strategy (Example 5)	83
(viii) Buyer directs strategy (Example 6)	83
(ix) Buyer directs strategy (Example 7)	83
(x) Buyer directs strategy (Example 8)	84
(xi) Buyer directs strategy and communications (Example 1)	84
(xii) Buyer directs strategy and communications (Example 2)	84
(xiii) Buyer directs strategy and communications (Example 3)	85
(xiv) Buyer control of strategy, schedule, and filings (Example 1).....	85
(xv) Buyer lead of strategy, schedule, and filings (Example 2)	85
(xvi) Buyer with “principal responsibility” and seller approval for delays.....	86
(xvii) Buyer control with seller covenant to support buyer	86
(xviii) Expanded provision (with buyer control proviso)	86
(xix) Best efforts to cooperate but with buyer control	87
(xx) Buyer control of appeals, consent decree negotiations, and general strategy.....	87
(xxi) Buyer control (but with special consultation provisions for certain jurisdictions)	88



(xxii)	Buyer control (with litigation exception)	89
(xxiii)	Buyer control (with obligation to incorporate Seller's reasonable comments).....	89
(xxiv)	Mutual notice	90
m.	Buyer ability to exclude seller from agency meetings.....	90
n.	Protecting attorney-client and other privileges.....	90
o.	Redactions.....	90
(i)	Contractual obligations and privilege.....	90
(ii)	Valuation, contractual obligation, privilege	91
(iii)	Valuation and outside counsel only.....	91
(iv)	Competitively sensitive information	91
(v)	Broad coverage (including personally sensitive information)	91
(vi)	Broad coverage (with explanations for withheld materials)	91
p.	Seller cooperation with integration planning	93
VIII.	Litigation Covenants	93
a.	Simple covenants	93
b.	Detailed obligation.....	94
c.	Detailed obligation (with reasonable best efforts defined).....	95
d.	Buyer election	96
e.	Buyer control of litigation strategy	96
f.	Obligation (with buyer control of strategy).....	98
g.	Buyer option to litigate.....	100
h.	Buyer option to litigate with reasonable good faith belief	101
i.	Requirement to litigate (except as otherwise agreed).....	101
j.	No obligation to continue to litigate past termination date.....	101
k.	Provision of witnesses and documents.....	101
IX.	Risk-Shifting Covenants	102
a.	No obligation to make divestitures proviso.....	102
b.	Unconditional obligation to propose and accept settlements ("hell or high water" obligation)	104
c.	Limitation of obligation to make divestitures	110
(i)	Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 1)	110
(ii)	Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 2)	110
(iii)	Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 3)	110
(iv)	Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 4)	111
(v)	Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 5)	112
(vi)	Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 6)	113
(vii)	Divestiture obligation excluding certain assets	113
(viii)	Divestiture obligation capped by revenues and excluding certain assets	114
(ix)	Divestiture obligation capped by EBITDA of all divestitures.....	115
(x)	Divestiture obligation limited to acquiring company's assets and capped by revenues ..	115
(xi)	Divestiture obligation limited to acquired company's assets & capped by percent of revenues ..	116
(xii)	Divestiture obligation capped by identified products and limitation on other products ..	117
(xiii)	Divestiture obligation proviso capped separate limitations on Company and Parent	117
(xiv)	Divestiture obligation proviso capped by diminished revenues, profits, or value	118
(xv)	Divestiture obligation proviso capped by multiple criteria (Example 1).....	118
(xvi)	Divestiture obligation proviso capped by multiple criteria (Example 2).....	119
(xvii)	Divestiture obligation capped by revenues and material adverse impact	119
(xviii)	Divestiture obligation capped by definition of "reasonable best efforts"	121



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(xix)	Divestiture obligation capped by a named business plus other assets capped by revenue	121
(xx)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 1)	122
(xxi)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 2)	122
(xxii)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 3)	123
(xxiii)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 4)	123
(xxiv)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 5)	123
(xxv)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 6)	124
(xxvi)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 7)	125
(xxvii)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with EBITDA defined).....	125
(xxviii)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with synergies considered) (Example 1) .	126
(xxix)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with synergies considered) (Example 2) .	127
(xxx)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with synergies considered) (Example 3) .	128
(xxxi)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with carve-out for specified business) (Ex. 1)	128
(xxxii)	Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with carve-out for specified business) (Ex. 2)	129
(xxxiii)	Divestiture obligation capped by dollar thresholds and carve-outs	129
(xxxiv)	Divestiture obligation capped by definition of MAC defined in a disclosure letter	131
(xxxv)	Divestiture obligation capped by a “Substantial Detriment”	131
(xxxvi)	Divestiture obligation capped by a “Detriment Limit”	132
(xxxvii)	Divestiture obligation capped by a “Gross Economic Value” w/ arbitration of disputes	133
(xxxviii)	Divestiture obligation capped by number of stores and EBITDA “impact”.....	136
(xxxix)	Divestiture obligation capped by “Burdensome Condition”	137
(xl)	Divestiture obligation capped by a “Regulatory Adverse Impact”	138
(xli)	Divestiture obligation capped according to reason for divestiture (Example 1).....	139
(xlii)	Divestiture obligation capped according to reason for divestiture (Example 2).....	140
(xliii)	Divestiture obligation with multiple carve-outs (including Substantial Detriment)	141
(xliv)	No obligation to divest with carveouts (Example 1)	142
(xlv)	No obligation to divest with carveouts (Example 2)	143
(xlvi)	Proviso excluding buyer’s assets from divestiture obligation	143
(xlvii)	Divestiture obligation with protected business.....	143
(xlviii)	Divestiture obligation as provided in a prior consent decree.....	144
(xlix)	Divestiture obligation as provided in a draft consent decree.....	145
d.	Seller cooperation in fashioning remedies	145
(i)	General covenant.....	145
(ii)	Assistance in selling Company facilities (Example 1)	145
(iii)	Assistance in selling Company facilities (Example 2)	146
e.	Buyer’s right to direct Seller to divest.....	146
f.	Savings clause that divestiture obligations do not indicate antitrust violation	147
g.	Buyer responsible for making settlement offers.....	147
h.	Seller responsible for settlement negotiations	147
i.	Timing for entering into consent settlement.....	148
(i)	Consent negotiations must conclude to permit closing no later than Termination Date (Ex. 1) .	148
(ii)	Consent negotiations must conclude to permit closing no later than Termination Date (Ex. 2) .	148
(iii)	Delay to minimize scope of relief	149
j.	Right to oppose through litigation.....	149
(i)	General right.....	149
(ii)	Right subject to timing requirement to offer fix	150
X.	Specific Performance.....	151
a.	Simple provision	151



XI. Antitrust Reverse Termination Fees	151
a. Reverse termination fee for failure to obtain clearance	151
(i) Simple provision (Example 1)	151
(ii) Simple provision (Example 2)	151
(iii) Simple provision (Example 3)	152
(iv) Simple provision (Example 4)	153
(v) Simple provision (Example 5)	154
(vi) Simple provision (Example 6)	154
(vii) Simple provision (Example 7)	154
(viii) Simple provision (Example 8)	155
(ix) Simple provision (Example 9)	156
(x) With ticking fee and increase in termination fee is outside date extended	156
(x) With carve-out for Seller breach	157
(xi) Sole and exclusive remedy (Example 1)	158
(xii) Sole and exclusive remedy (Example 2)	159
(xiii) Sole and exclusive remedy (Example 3)	159
(xiv) Sole and exclusive remedy proviso	159
(xv) Liquidated damages (Example 1)	160
(xvi) Liquidated damages (Example 2)	160
(xvii) Mutual reservation of right to sue for breach of contract	161
(xviii) Provisions for collection of reverse termination fee	162
b. Antitrust reverse termination fee with recoupment	163
c. Antitrust reverse termination fee equal to transaction costs (with cap)	163
d. Interest on reverse termination fee	164
e. Reimbursement of expenses for collection	164
XII. Purchase Price Adjustments for Divestitures	165
a. Adjustment after a threshold	165
XIII. Damages for Breach	165
a. Willful breach	165
XIV. Ticking Fees	166
a. Example 1	166
b. Example 2	166
c. Example 3	167
d. Example 4	168
XV. “Take or Pay” Provisions	169
a. “Take or pay” covenant	169
b. Business to be put in trust if clearance not obtained	169
XVI. Expenses	170
a. Bear own expenses	170
XVII. Termination Provisions	171
a. Failure to close by End Date or due to final prohibition order	171
b. Termination if FTC has not acted	172
c. Termination on issuance of second request	173
d. Termination following prohibition of the transaction	173
e. Extension if antitrust conditions have not been satisfied	175
(i) Extension at election of buyer	175
(ii) Extension at election of seller	175



(iii)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 1).....	176
(iv)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 2).....	176
(v)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 3).....	176
(vi)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 4).....	177
(vii)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 5).....	178
(viii)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 6).....	178
(ix)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 7).....	178
(x)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 8).....	179
(xi)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller multiple indeterminate times up to set date	179
(xii)	Extension at election of either buyer or seller in event of an injunction	180
(xiii)	Extension on reasonable belief of extending party.....	180
(xiv)	Extension where regulatory condition “reasonably capable of being satisfied” (Ex. 1)..	181
(xv)	Extension where regulatory condition “reasonably capable of being satisfied” (Ex.2)...	181
(xvi)	Extension where regulatory condition “reasonably likely of being satisfied”	182
(xvii)	Multiple extensions	183
(xviii)	Automatic extension (Example 1).....	184
(xix)	Automatic extension (Example 2).....	184
f.	Extension with buyer’s rights to terminate.....	185
g.	Accelerated termination by buyer	185
g.	No termination during specific performance litigation	187

I. Definitions

a. Antitrust laws

(i) *Competition laws (expansive) (Example 1)*

“Antitrust Law” shall mean the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1-7, as amended; the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 12-27, 29 U.S.C. §§ 52-53, as amended; the HSR Act; the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58, as amended; and all other federal, state and foreign statutes, rules, regulations, Orders, decrees, administrative and judicial doctrines, and other Laws that are designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade.

(ii) *Competition laws (expansive) (Example 2)*

“Antitrust Laws” mean any antitrust, competition or trade regulation Laws that are designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade or lessening competition through merger or acquisition, including the HSR Act.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(iii) *Includes non-U.S. competition laws (Example 1)*

the HSR Act, the Sherman Act, as amended, the Clayton Act, as amended, the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, and any other federal, state or foreign law, regulation or decree designed to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions for the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade (collectively “Antitrust Laws”),

(iv) *Includes non-U.S. competition laws (Example 2)*

As used in this Agreement, the term “Antitrust Laws” means the Sherman Antitrust Act, the Clayton Antitrust Act of 1914, the HSR Act and all other federal, state and foreign statutes, rules, regulations, orders, decrees and other Laws and Orders that are designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade or competition.

(v) *Includes non-U.S. competition laws (Example 3)*

For purposes of this Agreement, “Regulatory Law” means the Sherman Act, as amended, the Clayton Act, as amended, the HSR Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, and all other federal, state or foreign statutes, rules, regulations, orders, decrees, administrative and judicial doctrines and other Laws, including without limitation any antitrust, competition or trade regulation Laws, that are designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade or lessening competition through merger or acquisition.

(vi) *Includes non-U.S. competition laws (Example 4)*

“Antitrust Laws” means the HSR Act, the Clayton Act of 1914, the Sherman Antitrust Act of 1890, the Federal Trade Commission Act, the Federal Economic Competition Law (*Ley Federal de Competencia Económica*) of Mexico and any other United States, Mexican, Belgian or other foreign, supranational, federal or state Laws that are designed to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade, including any applicable merger control rules.

(vii) *Includes non-U.S. competition laws (Example 5)*

(f) For purposes of this Agreement, “Regulatory Laws” means the Sherman Act, as amended, the Clayton Act, as amended, the HSR Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, the EC Merger Regulation, and all other federal, state or foreign statutes, rules, regulations, orders, decrees, administrative and judicial doctrines and other Applicable Laws, including without limitation any antitrust, competition or trade regulation laws, that are designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

of monopolization or restraint of trade or lessening competition through merger or acquisition.

(viii) *Includes non-U.S. competition laws (Example 6)*

... the applicable Laws or other legal restraints designed to govern competition or trade regulation or to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions with the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade (collectively, “Antitrust Laws” and each such law individually an “Antitrust Law”)

(ix) *Includes non-U.S. competition laws (Example 7)*

“Competition Laws” means applicable supranational, national, federal, state, provincial or local Law designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolizing or restraining trade or lessening competition in any other country or jurisdiction, including the HSR Act, the Sherman Act, the Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act, in each case, as amended and other similar competition or antitrust laws of any jurisdiction other than the United States.

(x) *Separate definition for non-U.S. antitrust laws*

For purposes of this Agreement, (A) “Antitrust Law” means the Sherman Act, as amended, the Clayton Act, as amended, the HSR Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, Foreign Antitrust Laws and all other federal, state and foreign, if any, statutes, rules, regulations, Orders, decrees, administrative and judicial doctrines and other Laws that are designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade or lessening of competition through merger or acquisition and (B) “Foreign Antitrust Laws” means the applicable requirements of antitrust, competition or other similar Laws, rules, regulations and judicial doctrines of jurisdictions other than the United States.

b. HSR Act

(i) *Example 1*

“HSR Act” means the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *Example 2*

“HSR Act” means the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, and any successor to such statute, rules or regulations.

c. Laws

(i) *Example 1*

“Law” means any federal, state or local law (statutory, common or otherwise), constitution, treaty, convention, ordinance, code, rule, regulation, order, injunction, judgment, decree, ruling or other similar requirement enacted, adopted, promulgated or applied by a Governmental Entity.

(ii) *Example 2*

“Law” shall mean any domestic, federal, state, municipal, local, national, supranational or foreign statute or law (whether statutory or common law), constitution, code, ordinance, rule, regulation, order, writ, judgment, decree, binding directive (including those of any applicable self-regulatory organization), arbitration award, agency requirement or any other enforceable requirement of any Governmental Authority.

d. Regulatory Laws

For purposes of this Agreement, “Regulatory Laws” means the Sherman Act, as amended, the Clayton Act, as amended, the HSR Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, the EC Merger Regulation, and all other federal, state or foreign statutes, rules, regulations, orders, decrees, administrative and judicial doctrines and other applicable Laws, including any antitrust, competition or trade regulation laws, that are designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade or lessening competition through merger or acquisition or any Laws with respect to foreign investment (including the approvals described in part B of Annex 8.01(b)).

e. Governmental authority

(i) *Example 1*

“Governmental Authority” means any federal, national, foreign, supranational, state, provincial, county, local or other government, governmental, regulatory or administrative



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

authority, agency, instrumentality or commission or any court, tribunal, or judicial or arbitral body of competent jurisdiction.

(ii) *Example 2*

“Governmental Entity” means any state, nation or international body or governmental organization, whether federal, state, county, local or foreign, or multinational, including but not limited to any agency, authority, official or instrumentality of any such government or political subdivision or any court, tribunal or arbitrator(s) of competent jurisdiction[, self-regulatory organization] or any statutory authority.

(iii) *Example 3*

“Governmental Entity” means any governmental, administrative, judicial or regulatory (including any stock exchange or other self-regulatory organization) authority, agency, commission, court, body, entity or authority, whether supranational, foreign or domestic, of one or more countries, nations, republics, federations or similar entities or any states, counties, parishes or municipalities, jurisdictions or other political subdivisions thereof;

(iv) *Example 4*

“Governmental Entity” means (i) any national, federal, state, county, municipal, local, or foreign government or any entity exercising executive, legislative, judicial, regulatory, taxing, or administrative functions of or pertaining to government, (ii) any public international governmental organization, or (iii) any agency, division, bureau, department, or other political subdivision of any government, entity or organization described in the foregoing clauses (i) or (ii) of this definition.

(v) *Example 5*

“*Governmental Authority*” means any federal, state, local or foreign government, any transnational governmental organization or any court of competent jurisdiction, arbitral tribunal, mediator, administrative agency or commission or other governmental authority or regulatory body, agency, instrumentality or authority, domestic or foreign, or any national securities exchange or national quotation system or any SRO.

f. Antitrust approvals

“Antitrust Approvals” shall mean the expiration or termination of any waiting period under the HSR Act and the applicable merger control Laws of Austria, Germany, Ukraine, and such other jurisdictions as Buyer Parent reasonably determines are required in connection with the consummation of the Transactions.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

g. Government authorizations

“Governmental Authorization” means any license (including any license or authorization issued by the FCC), permits (including construction permits), certificates, waivers, amendments, consents, Franchises (including similar authorizations or permits), exemptions, variances, expirations and terminations of any waiting period requirements (including pursuant to the HSR Act), other actions by, and notices, filings, registrations, qualifications, declarations and designations with, and other authorizations and approvals issued by or obtained from a Government Authority.

II. Representations and Warranties

a. Antitrust-related consents and approvals

(i) *Merger control requirements (no material adverse effect/no material delay) (Example 1)*

(a) Other than in connection with or in compliance with (i) the provisions of the DGCL, (ii) the Securities Act, (iii) the Exchange Act, (iv) the HSR Act, (v) any applicable requirements of other Antitrust Laws, and (vi) any applicable requirements of NASDAQ and the Parent Stock Exchange, no authorization, consent or approval of, or filing with, any Governmental Entity is necessary, under applicable Law, for the consummation by the Company of the Transactions, except for such authorizations, consents, approvals or filings that, if not obtained or made, would not have or reasonably be expected to (x) have, individually or in the aggregate, a Company Material Adverse Effect, or (y) prevent or materially delay the Company from consummating the Merger.

(ii) *Merger control requirements (no material adverse effect) (Example 1)*

Other than in connection with or in compliance with (i) the provisions of the DGCL, (ii) the Exchange Act, (iii) the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder (the “HSR Act”), (iv) any applicable requirements under Council Regulation (EC) No. 139/2004 of 20 January 2004 on the control of concentrations between undertakings (published in the Official Journal of the European Union on January 29, 2004 at L 24/1) (the “EC Merger Regulation”), (v) any applicable requirements of Laws in other foreign jurisdictions governing antitrust or merger control matters, and (vi) the approvals set forth on Section 3.3(b) of the Disclosure Schedule (collectively, the “Company Approvals”), no authorization, consent or approval of, or filing with, any United States or foreign governmental or regulatory agency, national securities exchange, commission, court, body, entity or authority (each, a “Governmental Entity”) is necessary, under applicable Law, for the consummation by the Company of the



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

transactions contemplated by this Agreement, except for such authorizations, consents, approvals or filings (x) that, if not obtained or made, would not reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Company Material Adverse Effect or (y) as may arise in connection with the Financing or as a result of facts, circumstances relating to Parent or its affiliates (as defined in Section 8.13(a)) or Laws or contracts binding on Parent or its affiliates.

(iii) *Merger control requirements (no material adverse effect) (Example 2)*

(b) The execution and delivery of this Agreement by the Company do not, and the performance of this Agreement by the Company and the consummation of the Merger will not, require any consent, approval, authorization or permit of, or filing with or notification to, any Governmental Authority, except (i) for applicable requirements, if any, of Blue Sky Laws, any filings required to be made with the SIX, the premerger notification requirements of the HSR Act, the requirements of the EU Merger Regulation or other applicable foreign, federal, state or supranational antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Laws and the filing and recordation of the Certificate of Merger as required by the DGCL, and (ii) where the failure to obtain such consents, approvals, authorizations or permits, or to make such filings or notifications, would not have a Company Material Adverse Effect.

b. Buyer representations on the fair market value of U.S. assets (for HSR valuation purposes)

(i) *Example 1*

Fair Market Value of US Assets. The Purchaser has determined in good faith that the aggregate fair market value of the non-exempt assets, as defined in the HSR Act, of the Acquired Companies and the Subsidiaries does not exceed \$70.9 million.

(ii) *Example 2*

Hart-Scott-Rodino Act. Buyer has determined, in good faith and in accordance with 16 CFR 801.10(c)(3), that the fair market value of the U.S. assets to be acquired that are included in the Acquired Assets is not greater than \$70.9 million. This determination is made solely for the purpose of determining the applicability of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act to the transaction.

c. Seller representation of assets and sales in or into the U.S.

HSR. As determined in accordance with the United States Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the regulations thereunder, the Company and its Subsidiaries: (a) do not hold assets located in the United States having an aggregate fair



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

market value in excess of US \$70.9 million, and (b) did not have aggregate sales in or into the United States in excess of US \$70.9 million in the Company's most recent fiscal year.

d. No investigations (Seller representation)¹

Investigation; Litigation. As of the date of this Agreement, (a) there is no investigation or review pending (or, to the knowledge of the Company, threatened) by any Governmental Entity with respect to the Company or any Company Subsidiary or any of their respective properties, rights or assets, and (b) there are no claims, actions, suits or proceedings pending (or, to the knowledge of the Company, threatened) against the Company or any Company Subsidiary or any of their respective properties, rights or assets before, and there are no orders, judgments or decrees of, any Governmental Entity, which, in the case of clause (a) or (b), would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Company Material Adverse Effect.

III. Conditions Precedent

a. HSR Act waiting period

(i) *Example 1*

Governmental Approvals. Any waiting period (and any extensions thereof) under the HSR Act shall have expired or have been terminated;

(ii) *Example 2*

6.3. *HSR Clearance* . The applicable approvals, clearances or waiting periods under the HSR Act shall have been obtained, expired or been earlier terminated without the imposition of a Burdensome Condition.^[2] [Applicable to the buyer]

¹ Representations of this type assure the buyer that the seller is not being investigated, for example, for price fixing or other non-merger-related antitrust violation.

² Technically, there is no "HSR clearance." The waiting period simply ends by its own accord or early termination by in the investigating agency. The waiting period can end, say 30 days after all merging parties have submitted sufficient responses to their respective second requests, even though the investigation may continue for months because of a timing agreement with the parties or the need for a regulatory approval. Critically, the DOJ or FTC may challenge a transaction long after the waiting period has ended, even if the agency investigated the transaction during the waiting period and closed the investigation without taking enforcement action.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

7.3. *HSR Clearance*. The applicable approvals, clearances or waiting periods under the HSR Act shall have been obtained, expired or been earlier terminated. [Applicable to the seller]^[1]

b. HSR Act waiting period plus any voluntary commitment not to close

(i) *Example 1*

The waiting period applicable to the consummation of the Merger under the HSR Act shall have expired or been terminated, and there shall not be in effect any voluntary agreement between Parent or the Company and the Federal Trade Commission or the Department of Justice pursuant to which Parent or the Company has agreed not to consummate the Merger for any period of time.

(ii) *Example 2*

(c) *Antitrust Approvals and Waiting Periods*. Any waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the consummation of the Merger under the HSR Act and any agreement with a Governmental Authority not to consummate the Transactions shall have expired or been terminated.

c. HSR “approval”

HSR Approval Condition. The HSR Approval shall have been obtained and shall remain in full force and effect (the “HSR Approval Condition”).

“HSR Approval” means the expiration or early termination of the applicable waiting periods required pursuant to the HSR Act;^[2]

d. All “antitrust approvals”

(i) *Simple provision*

(b) *Antitrust Approvals*. All Antitrust Approvals shall have been made or obtained, as the case may be.

^[1] This definition can easily be modified to encompass more than the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the HSR Act.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

“Antitrust Approvals” shall mean the expiration or termination of any waiting period under the HSR Act and the applicable merger control Laws of Austria, Germany, Ukraine, and such other jurisdictions as Buyer Parent reasonably determines are required in connection with the consummation of the Transactions.

(ii) *Approvals without Burdensome Condition*

(b) (i) any applicable waiting period (or extensions thereof) under the HSR Act relating to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have expired or been terminated and (ii) any applicable waiting period (or extensions thereof) or approvals under each other applicable Competition Law relating to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and set forth on Section 9.01(b) of the Company Disclosure Schedule shall have expired, been terminated or been obtained (solely with respect to the obligations of Parent and Merger Subsidiary, in each case without the imposition of any Burdensome Condition);

e. United States, EU or member states, and other scheduled jurisdictions

(i) *Example 1*

(b) *Regulatory Approvals.*

(i) The waiting period applicable to the consummation of the Merger under the HSR Act (or any extension thereof) shall have expired or early termination thereof shall have been granted,

(ii) to the extent that the Merger constitutes a concentration within the scope of the EC Merger Regulation or is otherwise a concentration that is subject to the EC Merger Regulation, the European Commission shall have taken a decision (or been deemed to have taken a decision) under Article 6(1) (b) or, if the European Commission has initiated proceedings pursuant to Article 6(1)(c), under Article 8, of the EC Merger Regulation, declaring the Merger compatible with the common market,

(iii) to the extent that the Merger does not constitute a concentration within the scope of the EC Merger Regulation or is not otherwise a concentration that is subject to the EC Merger Regulation, all approvals required to be obtained from or any waiting or review period required by any EU member states having jurisdiction over the Merger shall have been obtained or observed and

(iv) all approvals or observance of any waiting or review period required for the consummation of the Merger in the jurisdictions set forth on Section 6.01(b) of the Company Disclosure Letter (it being agreed that Parent can eliminate jurisdictions from Section 6.01(b) of the Company Disclosure Letter in its sole discretion),



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

to the extent required to be obtained or observed at or prior to the Effective Time in respect of the Merger, shall have been obtained or observed at or prior to the Effective Time (clauses (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), collectively, the “Required Regulatory Approvals”).

f. HSR Act and multinational regulatory approvals (without materiality proviso)

(i) *Including Canada*

(c) *Foreign Approvals.* (i) To the extent that the Mergers constitute a concentration within the scope of the EC Merger Regulation or are otherwise a concentration that is subject to the EC Merger Regulation, the European Commission approving the transaction; (ii) if required, the Canada Competition Act Clearance shall have been received; (iii) if required, the approval by the Chinese Ministry of Commerce of the Mergers and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have been obtained; and (iv) if required, Brazil’s Council for Economic Defence shall have approved the consummation of the Mergers (together with the matters contemplated by Section 7.1(b), the “*Requisite Regulatory Approvals*”). For purposes of this Agreement, “*Canada Competition Act Clearance*” means (A) the issuance of an Advance Ruling Certificate, (B) Orion and Diamond have given the notice required under section 114 of the Canada Competition Act with respect to the Mergers and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement, and the applicable waiting period under section 123 of the Canada Competition Act has expired or has been terminated in accordance with the Competition Act, or (C) the obligation to give the requisite notice has been waived pursuant to paragraph 113(c) of the Canada Competition Act; and in the case of (B) or (C), Orion and Diamond have been advised in writing by the Commissioner that he does not, at such time, intend to make an application under section 92 of the Canada Competition Act in respect of the Mergers and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(ii) *Including the EU*

(b) *Government Consents.* (i) Any waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the Merger under the HSR Act shall have been terminated or shall have expired and (ii) the European Commission shall have issued a decision finding the Merger to be compatible with the common market under the Council Regulation, or been deemed to have done so pursuant to Article 10(6) of the Council Regulation.

(iii) *Including Canada, EU, and China*

Example 1:

(b)(i) Any waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have been terminated or shall have expired, and any



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

approvals, consents or clearances required in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have been obtained, in each case, under or in relation to the HSR Act, Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 of 20 January 2004 of the European Commission (and/or, to the extent applicable, the applicable Antitrust Laws of any Member State of the European Union or of the European Economic Area), the Chinese Anti-Monopoly Law (AML) and the Laws set forth in Section 6.1(b) of the Company Disclosure Schedule, (ii) either (A) the Commissioner of Competition appointed under the Canadian Competition Act or a person authorized by him (the “Commissioner”) shall have issued an advance ruling certificate under section 102 of the Canadian Competition Act with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, or (B) any applicable waiting period under Part IX of the Canadian Competition Act with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have expired or been waived or terminated by the Commissioner and the Commissioner shall have advised Parent in writing that the Commissioner does not, at that time, intend to make an application under section 92 of the Canadian Competition Act with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement; provided, however, the requirement that the Commissioner shall have advised Parent in writing that the Commissioner does not intend to make an application under section 92 of the Canadian Competition Act with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall be deemed satisfied if all other conditions to Closing set forth in this Section 6.1(b) have been satisfied or waived and (iii) any agreement entered into with a Governmental Authority under any Antitrust Laws, which agreement provides that the parties will not consummate the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, shall have expired or been terminated.

Example 2:

(A) The applicable waiting period (and any extension thereof) under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder (the “HSR Act”), shall have expired or been earlier terminated (and no action to enjoin or restrain the consummation of the Tender Offer, based on US competition law by the US Department of Justice or Federal Trade Commission shall be pending and there shall not be in effect an agreement or commitment not to close the Tender Offer), (B) the European Commission shall have issued a decision pursuant to the EC Merger Regulation declaring the transactions contemplated hereby compatible with the common market (or compatibility being deemed under Article 10(6) of the EC Merger Regulation), (C) the applicable waiting period shall have expired or been waived and the Commissioner of the Canadian Competition Bureau shall have advised the Offeror that he does not intend to oppose the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement or shall have issued an advance ruling certificate in respect of such transactions pursuant to Section 102 of the Competition Act (Canada), (D) the approvals of the other Merger Control Authorities shall have been received and any applicable waiting periods shall have expired or have been terminated or waived.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

g. HSR Act and multinational regulatory approvals (with materiality proviso)

(i) *Example 1*

HSR Act. All applicable waiting periods (and any extensions thereof) under the HSR Act and under any similar foreign statutes and regulations applicable to the Merger shall have expired, terminated or where applicable, approvals have been obtained (except where the failure of which to expire, terminate or be obtained would not reasonably be expected to, individually or in the aggregate, materially and adversely affect the Company and Parent, taken as a whole, or would not reasonably be expected to result in criminal liability);

(ii) *Example 2*

Antitrust Waiting Periods. (i) Any waiting period (and any extensions thereof) applicable to consummation of the Merger under the HSR Act and, to the extent material, under any foreign antitrust, competition or pre-merger notification law shall have expired or been terminated, and (ii) all other material foreign antitrust, competition, trade, pre-merger notification or other regulatory approvals as may be required to consummate the Merger shall have been made or obtained, as applicable.

(iii) *Example 3*

(i) Any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act shall have expired or been earlier terminated, (ii) the European Commission shall have issued a decision under the EC Merger Regulation declaring the Merger compatible with the common market, and (iii) all applicable waiting and other time periods under other applicable foreign, federal antitrust, competition or fair trade Laws or applicable Laws, other than the HSR Act and the EC Merger Regulation, shall have expired, lapsed or been terminated (as appropriate) and all regulatory clearances in any relevant jurisdiction shall have been obtained, in each case, in respect of the Merger unless otherwise waived by Parent (the “Foreign Antitrust Condition”); *provided, however*, that with respect to the Foreign Antitrust Condition, the failure of such condition shall not relieve either Parent or Merger Sub of its obligation to consummate the Merger unless consummation of the Merger without obtaining any of the regulatory clearances referred to in this subclause (iii) would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Company Material Adverse Effect.

(iv) *Example 4*

(d) Regulatory Approvals. (i) any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act shall have expired or been terminated; (ii) the European Commission shall have taken a decision (or been deemed to have taken a decision) under Article 6(1)(b) or, if the European Commission has initiated proceedings pursuant to Article 6(1)(c), under Article 8 of the EC



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Merger Regulation, declaring the Merger compatible with the common market or any national competition authority of any European Union member state with jurisdiction shall have taken a decisions clearing or approving the transaction under any applicable antitrust, competition or fair trade Laws of any European Union member state or any applicable waiting period under such Laws shall have expired, lapsed or been terminated; and (iii) all applicable waiting and other time periods under other applicable foreign, federal or state antitrust, competition or fair trade Laws or applicable Laws having expired, lapsed or been terminated (as appropriate) and all regulatory clearances in any relevant jurisdiction having been obtained, in each case, in respect of the Merger unless otherwise waived by Purchaser (the “Foreign Antitrust Condition”); *provided, however*, that with respect to the Foreign Antitrust Condition, the failure of such condition shall not relieve either Parent or Purchaser of its obligation to consummate the Merger if the failure of a waiting period to expire or be terminated or the failure to obtain any required approval would not reasonably be expected to result in material limitations on the operation by Parent of the assets of Parent, its subsidiaries or the Company or its subsidiaries or the failure of a waiting period to expire or be terminated or the failure to obtain any required approval would not subject Parent or Purchaser to the payment of a material fine or penalty.

(v) *Example 5*

Section 4.5 *Conflicts; Consents and Approvals*. Neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement by Parent or Merger Sub nor the consummation of the Merger will:

(d) require any action or consent or approval of, or review by, or registration or filing by Parent or any of its Affiliates with, any third party or any Governmental Authority, other than . . . (iii) actions required by the HSR Act, . . . and (vi) consents or approvals of, or notifications to, any Governmental Authority (including under Antitrust Laws) set forth in Section 4.5(d)(vi) to the Parent Disclosure Schedule;

except in the case of clauses (b), (c) and (d) above for any of the foregoing that would not, individually or in the aggregate, reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect on Parent or a material adverse effect on the ability of Parent to consummate any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(vi) *Example 6 (with provision for timing agreement with DOJ)*

(i) Any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act, Mexico’s Federal Law on Economic Competition, or imposed by any agreement with the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice shall have expired or been earlier terminated, and (ii) all applicable waiting and other time periods under other applicable state or foreign antitrust, competition or fair trade Laws or applicable Laws, other than those referred to in the foregoing clause (i), shall have expired, lapsed or been terminated (as appropriate) and all regulatory



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

clearances in any relevant jurisdiction shall have been obtained, in each case, in respect of the Merger unless otherwise waived by Parent; *provided* that with respect to the condition set forth in this clause (ii), the failure of such condition shall not relieve either Parent or Purchaser of its obligation to consummate the Merger unless consummation of the Merger without obtaining any of the regulatory clearances referred to in this clause (ii) would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Regulatory Material Adverse Effect or result in criminal liability for any officer or director of Parent, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries.

(vii) *Example 7 (with provision for referral to EU Member States)*

(a) *Regulatory Consents.* (i) The waiting period (and any extensions thereof) applicable to the consummation of the Transaction under the HSR Act shall have expired or been earlier terminated; (ii) all Governmental Consents required to be obtained from the FCC for the consummation of the Transaction shall have been obtained; and (iii) the European Commission shall have adopted a decision pursuant to the EC Merger Regulation declaring that the Transaction is compatible with the common market (or such compatibility shall have been deemed to exist under Article 10(6) of the EC Merger Regulation), or, in the event that that the European Commission adopts a decision pursuant to Article 9(3)(b) of the EC Merger Regulation (or is deemed to have done so pursuant to Article 9(5) of the EC Merger Regulation) referring the review of all or part of the Transaction to a Governmental Entity of a member state of the European Union, such Governmental Entity (or any other Governmental Entity of such member state) shall have granted approval of the transactions or part thereof that were so referred.

h. Condition precedent with agreed list of jurisdictions in exhibit to Agreement

(i) *Specified list (Example 1)*

Antitrust Consents. (i) Any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act shall have expired or been earlier terminated and (ii) any affirmative approval of a Governmental Authority required under any other Antitrust Law set forth in Section 8.01(b) of the Company Disclosure Schedule shall have been obtained or deemed to have been obtained under such applicable Antitrust Law.

(ii) *Specified list (Example 2)*

(d)(i) any applicable waiting period (and any extension thereof) under the HSR Act relating to the consummation of the Merger shall have expired or early termination thereof shall have been granted and (ii) any authorization or consent from a Governmental Authority required to be obtained with respect to the Merger under any Antitrust Law as set forth on



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Section 6.1(d) of the Parent Disclosure Letter hereto shall have been obtained and shall remain in full force and effect;

(iii) *Specified list (Example 3)*

Foreign Approvals. The waiting periods under any applicable foreign antitrust or competition-related Legal Requirement in the jurisdictions set forth on Section 6.1(d) of the Disclosure Schedule shall have expired or been terminated, and any Consent of a Governmental Body required under any applicable foreign antitrust or competition-related Legal Requirement in such jurisdictions in connection with the Merger shall have been obtained and shall be in full force and effect.

(iv) *Specified list (Example 4)*

(d) *Regulatory Approvals/HSR Act.* (i) All waiting periods (and extensions thereof) applicable to the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements under the HSR Act and any other applicable Antitrust Laws, as set forth on Schedule 9.1(d), shall have expired or been terminated, and (ii) the clearances, approvals and consents required to be obtained under applicable Antitrust Laws to permit the Parties to consummate the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements, as set forth on Schedule 9.1(d), shall have been obtained ((i) and (ii) together, the “Antitrust Approvals”).

(v) *Specified list (Example 5)*

(c) *No Order.* No Governmental Authority shall have enacted, issued, promulgated, enforced or entered any Law or Order, whether temporary, preliminary or permanent, which is then in effect and has the effect of enjoining, restraining, prohibiting or otherwise preventing the consummation of the Transactions (collectively, a “Restraint”); *provided, however,* that any antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Law or Order (whether temporary, preliminary or permanent) which has such an effect shall constitute a “Restraint” only if it arises in the United States, the European Union or a jurisdiction specified in Section 8.01(d) of the Company Disclosure Schedule.

(d) *Regulatory Approvals.* (i) Any waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the consummation of the Merger under the HSR Act shall have expired or been terminated; (ii) the approval of the European Commission of the Transactions shall have been obtained pursuant to the EU Merger Regulation (or the approval by those national competition authorities in the European Union that have jurisdiction as a result of a referral of the Transactions under the EU Merger Regulation); and (iii) any approval or waiting period with respect to those jurisdictions set forth in Section 8.01(d) of the Company Disclosure Schedule shall have been obtained or terminated or shall have expired.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(vi) *Specified list (Example 6)*

(c) Antitrust Laws.

(i) All applicable waiting periods under (A) the HSR Act, and (B) the German Act Against Restraints of Competition (*Gesetz gegen Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen*), with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have expired or been terminated.

(ii) All applicable approvals and authorizations under the Spanish Defense of Competition Law (*Ley 15/2007, de 3 de julio, de Defensa de la Competencia*) with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall have been obtained (whether implicitly through the expiration of any waiting periods or explicitly by resolution).

(vii) *Specified list (Example 7)*

(b) *Antitrust/Competition.* (i) Any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act shall have expired or been earlier terminated, (ii) the European Commission shall have issued a decision under the EC Merger Regulation declaring the Merger compatible with the common market, or, if the European Commission has adopted any decision under Article 9 of the EC Merger Regulation to refer the Merger in part to any Member State of the European Economic Area, the European Commission shall have issued a decision declaring the part of the Merger not so referred to that Member State compatible with the common market and every Member State to which part of the Merger has been referred under Article 9 having issued a decision clearing the Merger and (iii) all applicable waiting and other time periods under Regulatory Laws specified on Schedule 8.1(b), other than the HSR Act and the EC Merger Regulation, shall have expired, lapsed or been terminated (as appropriate) and all regulatory clearances set forth on Schedule 8.1(b) shall have been obtained.

(viii) *Specified list with additional material jurisdictions*

(c) *Antitrust Laws; Consents and Approvals.* Unless the Offer Closing shall have occurred, (i) all applicable waiting periods under the HSR Act with respect to the Merger shall have expired or been terminated, (ii) all consents required under any other Antitrust Law of the jurisdictions set forth on Section 6.01(c) of the Company Disclosure Letter shall have been obtained or any applicable waiting period thereunder shall have expired or been terminated, (iii) all other consents, approvals and authorizations of any Governmental Entity required of Parent, the Company or any of their Subsidiaries to consummate the Merger, the failure of which to be obtained, individually or in the aggregate, would have a Parent Material Adverse Effect or a Material Adverse Effect, shall have been obtained and shall be in full force and effect and (iv) the conditions of any agreement entered into by any



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Party with any Governmental Entity the effect of which is to prohibit the Merger pending the completion of the Governmental Entity's review or investigation of the Merger shall have been satisfied or waived in accordance with the terms thereof.

i. Condition precedent incorporating no "burdensome condition"

Example 1

(d) *Governmental Approvals.* (i) The waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated pursuant to this Agreement under the HSR Act shall have been terminated or shall have expired and (ii) the approvals or consents of the Governmental Entities set forth on Section 8.1(d) of the Company Disclosure Schedule, subject to Section 7.3(c), shall have been received and shall be in full force and effect, in the case of each of (i) and (ii) without the imposition, individually or in the aggregate, of a Burdensome Condition.

Example 2

(e) no Governmental Authority of competent jurisdiction shall have issued or entered any Order after the date of this Agreement, and no Law shall have been enacted or promulgated after the date of this Agreement, in each case, that (whether temporary or permanent) is then in effect and has the effect of (i) enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the consummation of the Merger (a "Restraint") or (ii) resulting, individually or in the aggregate, in an Unacceptable Condition.

j. Condition precedent with list of jurisdictions to be negotiated

(c) *Antitrust Laws.* Any applicable waiting period (or extension thereof) under the HSR Act and any other applicable Antitrust Law that, within fifteen (15) days following the date of this Agreement, the parties identify and agree is applicable to the Merger shall have expired or been terminated and the European Commission shall have issued a decision under the EC Merger Regulation declaring the Merger compatible with the common market and all approvals, clearances, filings and notices required under any other applicable Antitrust Law that, within fifteen (15) days following the date of this Agreement, the parties identify and agree is applicable to the Merger shall have been obtained or made

k. No law, order or injunction

(i) *Example 1*

(c) *No Injunctions or Restraints.* There shall be no Law, injunction, judgment, order, or decree of any Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction that is in effect which temporarily or permanently prohibits or enjoins the consummation of the Merger.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *Example 2*

(c) No outstanding judgment, injunction, order or decree of a competent Governmental Authority shall have been entered and shall continue to be in effect, and no Law shall have been adopted or be effective, in each case that prohibits, enjoins or makes illegal the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(iii) *Example 3*

No Legal Restraints. No Law or injunction (whether temporary, preliminary or permanent) shall have been enacted, entered, promulgated or enforced by any Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction (collectively, the “*Legal Restraints*”) which prevents, makes illegal, prohibits, restrains or enjoins the consummation of the Merger

(iv) *Example 4*

No Injunctions or Restraints. No Law, injunction, judgment or ruling enacted, promulgated, issued, entered, amended or enforced by any Governmental Authority (collectively, “*Restraints*”) shall be in effect enjoining, restraining, preventing or prohibiting consummation of the Transactions or making the consummation of the Transactions illegal;

(v) *Example 5*

(e) no Governmental Authority of competent jurisdiction shall have issued or entered any Order after the date of this Agreement, and no Law shall have been enacted or promulgated after the date of this Agreement, in each case, that (whether temporary or permanent) is then in effect and has the effect of enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the consummation of the Merger or the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(vi) *Example 6*

(a) *No Laws.* No Governmental Entity shall have enacted, issued, promulgated, enforced or entered any Applicable Law which is in effect and which has the effect of making any of the material transactions contemplated by this Agreement or any of the Ancillary Agreements illegal or otherwise prohibiting the consummation of any of the material transactions contemplated by this Agreement or any of the Ancillary Agreements.

(b) *No Injunctions.* No temporary restraining order, preliminary or permanent injunction or other Order issued by any court of competent jurisdiction or other similar legal restraint shall be in effect that has the effect of prohibiting the consummation of any of the material transactions contemplated by this Agreement or any of the Ancillary Agreements.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(vii) *Example 7*

(c) *No Legal Prohibition.* No Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction shall have (i) enacted, issued, promulgated, entered, enforced or deemed applicable to the Merger any Applicable Law that is in effect and has the effect of making the Merger illegal in any jurisdiction or which has the effect of prohibiting or otherwise preventing the consummation of the Merger in any jurisdiction or (ii) issued or granted any Order (whether temporary, preliminary or permanent) that has the effect of making the Merger illegal in any jurisdiction or which has the effect of prohibiting or otherwise preventing the consummation of the Merger in any jurisdiction.

(viii) *Example 8*

No Injunctions or Restraints. No temporary restraining order, preliminary or permanent injunction or other judgment, Order or decree (a “Restraint”) of any court or agency of competent jurisdiction located in the United States or in any other jurisdiction outside of the United States in which the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, or Parent or any of its Subsidiaries, engage in business activities that prohibits the consummation of the Merger shall have been issued and remain in effect, and no Law shall have been enacted, issued, enforced, entered, or promulgated and remains in effect that prohibits or makes illegal the consummation of any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

1. No pending actions or litigation

(i) *No threatened or pending litigation*

No Threatened or Pending Litigation. There shall be no Proceeding, Order, injunction or final judgment relating thereto, pending before any Governmental Authority in which it is sought to restrain or prohibit or to obtain damages or other relief in connection with this Agreement or the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby, and to the knowledge of Sellers or Purchaser and Purchaser Ohio Affiliate, there shall be no threatened Proceeding or Order, which Sellers or Purchaser and Purchaser Ohio Affiliate in good faith reasonably believe could result in the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby being restrained or prohibited or the award of damages or other relief in connection with this Agreement or the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby.

(ii) *No threatened or pending litigation by U.S. or Canadian antitrust authorities*

No Litigation. There shall not be instituted or pending any suit, action or proceeding by the United States Federal Trade Commission or the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice under any U.S. Antitrust Law or the Commissioner of Competition



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

appointed pursuant to Section 7 of the Competition Act (Canada) under the Competition Act (Canada) (the “Commissioner”) (i) challenging or seeking to make illegal, to delay materially or otherwise directly or indirectly to prohibit the consummation of the Merger or any of the other transactions contemplated hereby, (ii) seeking to prohibit Parent’s ability to vote, transfer, receive dividends or otherwise exercise full rights of ownership with respect to the stock of the Surviving Entity or (iii) seeking to prohibit, limit, restrain or impair Parent’s ability to own, control, direct, manage, or operate or to retain or change any portion of the assets, licenses, operations, rights, product lines, businesses or interests therein of the Company or its Subsidiaries from and after the Effective Time or any of the assets, licenses, operations, rights, product lines, businesses or interests therein of Parent or its Subsidiaries, except, in each case, where the remedy sought by such Governmental Authority is one that Parent would be required to accept consistent with its obligations under Section 6.03(a).

(iii) *No government actions*

No Governmental Actions. There shall be no Action of any kind or character pending by a Governmental Entity against Micron, the Buyer, the Sellers or the Company, any of their respective properties or assets, or any of their respective Directors or Officers (in their capacities as such) that seeks to prohibit the consummation of any of the material transactions contemplated by this Agreement or any of the Ancillary Agreements

(iv) *No U.S. or EC actions*

No Action. There shall not be pending any Action instituted or initiated by any federal Governmental Authority in the United States or any Governmental Authority in the European Union or any European Union member state seeking a Restraint with respect to the consummation of the Merger which would enjoin, restrain, prohibit or make illegal the consummation of the Merger;

(v) *No pending actions in specified jurisdictions (Example 1)*

No Litigation. There shall not be pending any suit, action or proceeding with respect to any antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Law by any Governmental Authority in the United States, the European Union or in any jurisdiction specified in Section 8.01(d) of the Company Disclosure Schedule (i) seeking to restrain or prohibit the consummation of the Merger or any other transaction contemplated by this Agreement or seeking to obtain from the Company, Parent, Merger Sub or any other Subsidiary or Affiliate of Parent any damages that would meet the materiality standard set forth in the last sentence of Section 7.08(c), (ii) seeking to impose limitations on the ability of Parent or any Subsidiary or Affiliate of Parent to hold, or exercise full rights of ownership of, any shares of capital



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

stock of the Surviving Corporation, including the right to vote such shares on all matters properly presented to the stockholders of the Surviving Corporation.

(vi) *No pending actions in specified jurisdictions (Example 2)*

(d) *No Injunctions or Restraints.* No judgment, order, decree, statute, law, ordinance, rule or regulation, entered, enacted, promulgated, enforced or issued by any court or other Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction or other legal restraint or prohibition (collectively, “*Restraints*”) shall be in effect preventing the consummation of the Orion Merger or the Diamond Merger; (it being understood and agreed by the parties that, with respect to any Restraints, only a court of competent jurisdiction or other Governmental Entity in the jurisdictions identified on Section 7.1(d) of the Orion Disclosure Letter shall constitute a court of competent jurisdiction or other Governmental Entity for purposes of this Section 7.1(d))

(vii) *More detailed provision*

No Restraints. There shall not be instituted or pending any suit, action or proceeding in which a Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction is seeking (i) an Order or (ii) to (A) prohibit, limit, restrain or impair Parent’s ability to own or operate or to retain or change all or a material portion of the assets, licenses, operations, rights, product lines, businesses or interest therein of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries or other Affiliates from and after the Effective Time or any of the assets, licenses, operations, rights, product lines, businesses or interest therein of Parent or its Subsidiaries (including by requiring any sale, divestiture, transfer, license, lease, disposition of or encumbrance or hold separate arrangement with respect to any such assets, licenses, operations, rights, product lines, businesses or interest therein) or (B) prohibit or limit in any respect Parent’s ability to vote, transfer, receive dividends or otherwise exercise full ownership rights with respect to the stock of the Surviving Corporation, and no Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction shall have enacted, issued, promulgated, enforced or entered any Law deemed applicable to the Merger individually or in the aggregate resulting in, or that is reasonably likely to result in, any of the foregoing.

(viii) *No pending litigation for a temporary restraining order*

No Restraints. No temporary restraining order, preliminary or permanent injunction or other Order preventing the consummation of the Merger shall have been issued by any court of competent jurisdiction or other Governmental Body in the United States or in any Specified Foreign Jurisdiction and remain in effect, and there shall not be pending any motion for a temporary restraining order brought by a United States Governmental Body under applicable United States Antitrust Law, and there shall not be any Legal



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Requirement enacted or deemed applicable to the Merger in the United States or in any Specified Foreign Jurisdiction that makes consummation of the Merger illegal.

(ix) *No pending litigation that may impose a Substantial Detriment*

No Antitrust Suits, Orders or Laws. (i) No suit, action or other proceeding under any Antitrust Law by any Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction shall be pending that would reasonably be expected to restrain, enjoin or otherwise prevent or make illegal the consummation of the Merger or that would reasonably be expected to impose a Substantial Detriment and (ii) no temporary restraining order, preliminary or permanent injunction or other judgment, order or decree or other legal restraint or prohibition issued by any Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction under any Antitrust Law shall be in effect that imposes or, individually in the aggregate, would reasonably be expected to impose, a Substantial Detriment, and no Antitrust Law shall have been enacted, entered, promulgated or enforced by any Governmental Entity that, in any case, imposes or, individually or in the aggregate, is reasonably expected to impose a Substantial Detriment.

(x) *No pending litigation that may impose a Company Material Adverse Effect*

Litigation. There is no claim, suit, action, investigation, arbitration or proceeding (each, a “*Proceeding*”) pending or, to the knowledge of the Company, threatened against the Company or any Company Subsidiary that, if adversely determined (in accordance with the claimant’s demands, if applicable), would reasonably be expected to, individually or in the aggregate, have a Company Material Adverse Effect, nor is there any Judgment outstanding against the Company or any Company Subsidiary that would reasonably be expected to, individually or in the aggregate, have a Company Material Adverse Effect.

(xi) *Closing if appeal is pending*

If there is no decree, order or injunction restricting or prohibiting the Mergers but an appeal is pending, Parent shall not be obligated to proceed to close the Mergers until the Termination Date, as such date may be extended pursuant to Section 8.1(b), and if such appeal remains pending on such Termination Date, Parent shall be obligated to close the Mergers on such date, provided that on such date all other conditions to Closing have then been satisfied.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

IV. General Efforts Covenants

a. General form of provision

The Purchaser shall make any necessary filings with respect to, and use its [best efforts/reasonable best efforts/commercially reasonable efforts] promptly to obtain, all authorizations, consents, orders and approvals of all Governmental Authorities and officials that may be or become necessary for its execution and delivery of, and the performance of its obligations pursuant to, this Agreement and will cooperate fully with the other parties in promptly seeking to obtain all such authorizations, consents, orders and approvals. Each party hereto agrees to make any necessary filings for which it is responsible pursuant to the HSR Act, the EU Merger Regulation, and any other Law that requires a mandatory merger control filing with respect to the transaction contemplated by this Agreement (“Applicable MC Law”), within five (5) Business Days of the date hereof (unless otherwise agreed by the parties) and to supply as promptly as practicable to the appropriate Governmental Authorities any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act, the EU Merger Regulation and any other Applicable MC Law. The Sellers shall not be required to pay any fees or other payments to any Governmental Authorities in connection with any such authorization, consent, order or approval (other than normal filing fees that are imposed by Law on the Seller).

b. “Reasonable best efforts”

Subject to the terms and conditions hereof, each party hereto shall use its reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all actions and to do, or cause to be done, all things reasonably necessary, proper or advisable under applicable Law to consummate and make effective the transactions contemplated hereby as promptly as practicable, including using its reasonable best efforts to obtain or make all necessary or appropriate filings required under applicable Law and to lift any injunction or other legal bar to the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement as promptly as practicable after the date of this Agreement. None of the parties shall knowingly take, cause or permit to be taken any action which such party reasonably expects is likely to materially delay or prevent consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

c. “Reasonable actions”

(a) Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement (including Section 5.7(d)),^[1] prior to the Closing, Parent, Merger Sub and the Company shall use their respective

^[1] The divestiture obligation.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all reasonable actions, and to do, or cause to be done, all reasonable things necessary, proper or advisable under any applicable Laws to consummate and make effective the Merger as promptly as practicable, including (i) the preparation and filing of all forms, registrations and notifications required (whether by Law or in order to satisfy the condition set forth in Section 6.1(c)) to be filed to consummate the Merger, (ii) using reasonable best efforts to satisfy the conditions to consummating the Merger, (iii) using reasonable best efforts to obtain (and to cooperate with each other in obtaining) any consent, authorization, expiration or termination of a waiting period, permit, Order or approval of, waiver or any exemption by, any Governmental Entity (which actions shall include furnishing all information and documentary material required under the HSR Act) required to be obtained or made (whether by Law or in order to satisfy the condition set forth in Section 6.1(c)) by Parent, Merger Sub, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries in connection with the Merger or the taking of any action contemplated by this Agreement, (iv) defending any lawsuits or other legal proceedings, whether judicial or administrative, challenging this Agreement or the consummation of the Merger, (v) using reasonable best efforts to obtain (and to cooperate with each other in obtaining) any consent, approval of, waiver or any exemption by, any non-governmental third party, in each case, to the extent necessary, proper or advisable to consummate the Merger, and (vi) the execution and delivery of any reasonable additional instruments necessary to consummate the Merger and to fully carry out the purposes of this Agreement.

d. “Commercially reasonable efforts

Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, prior to the Effective Time, each of the Company, Parent and Merger Sub shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all other actions and do, or cause to be done, all other things necessary, proper or advisable under applicable Law to consummate the Transactions, including, (i) making all appropriate filings and submissions (and filings and submissions considered by Parent to be advisable) under the HSR Act and with any other Governmental Authority pursuant to any other applicable Antitrust Laws or otherwise as determined by Parent, as promptly as practicable, but in no event later than ten (10) Business Days after the date hereof with respect to filing under the HSR Act, and shall make as promptly as practicable any other appropriate submissions under other applicable Antitrust Laws, (ii) obtaining as promptly as practicable the termination of any waiting period under the HSR Act and any applicable foreign Antitrust Laws, (iii) cooperating and consulting with each other in (A) determining which filings are required to be made prior to the Effective Time with, and which material consents, approvals, permits, notices or authorizations are required to be obtained prior to the Effective Time from, Governmental Authorities in connection with the execution and delivery of this Agreement and related agreements and consummation of



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby and (B) timely making all such filings and timely seeking all such consents, approvals, permits, notices or authorizations.

e. “Best efforts”

(i) “Best efforts” (Example 1)

(a) Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement (including Section 5.4(d) [regarding proposing and accepting consent agreements]), each of the parties hereto shall cooperate with the other parties and use (and shall cause their respective Subsidiaries to use) their respective best efforts to (i) take, or cause to be taken, all actions, and do, or cause to be done, all things, necessary, proper or advisable to cause the conditions to Closing to be satisfied as promptly as practicable (and in any event no later than the Extended Walk-Away Date) and to consummate and make effective, in the most expeditious manner practicable, the Transactions, including preparing and filing promptly and fully all documentation to effect all necessary filings, notifications, notices, petitions, statements, registrations, submissions of information, applications and other documents (including any required or recommended filings under applicable Antitrust Laws), (ii) obtain promptly (and in any event no later than the Extended Walk-Away Date) all approvals, consents, clearances, expirations or terminations of waiting periods, registrations, permits, authorizations and other confirmations from any Governmental Authority or third party necessary, proper or advisable to consummate the Transactions, (iii) defend any lawsuits or other legal proceedings, whether judicial or administrative, challenging this Agreement or the consummation of the Transactions and (iv) obtain all necessary consents, approvals or waivers from third parties. For purposes of this Agreement, “Antitrust Laws” means the Sherman Act, as amended, the Clayton Act, as amended, the HSR Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, all applicable Foreign Antitrust Laws and all other applicable Laws issued by a Governmental Authority that are designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade or lessening of competition through merger or acquisition.

(ii) “Best efforts” (Example 2)

(a) Subject to Section 5.5(b) the Company, the Parent and Merger Sub shall use their best efforts to (i) take, or cause to be taken, all appropriate action and do, or cause to be done, and to assist and cooperate with the parties in doing, all things necessary, proper or advisable under applicable Law or otherwise to consummate and make effective the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement as promptly as practicable, (ii) take such actions as may be required to cause the expiration of the notice periods under Competition Laws with respect to such transactions as promptly as practicable after the execution of this Agreement, (iii) obtain from any Governmental



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Entities any consents, licenses, permits, waivers, approvals, authorizations or Orders required to be obtained by the Parent, Merger Sub or the Company, or any of their respective Subsidiaries in order to effect the Closing by not later than two Business Days prior to the Outside Date (or if extended, the Extended Outside Date or Second Extended Outside Date, as then applicable) and to avoid any action or proceeding by any Governmental Entity (including those in connection with Competition Laws), in connection with the authorization, execution and delivery of this Agreement and the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby, including the Merger, (iv) cause the satisfaction of all conditions set forth in Article 6, (v) vigorously defend all lawsuits or other legal, regulatory or other proceedings to which it is a party challenging or affecting this Agreement or the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, in each case until the issuance of a final, non-appealable Order, (vi) seek to have lifted or rescinded any injunction or restraining order which may adversely affect the ability of the parties to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby, in each case until the issuance of a final, non-appealable Order, (vii) as promptly as practicable, make or cause to be made all necessary applications and filings (and in any event file all required HSR Act notifications within ten Business Days after the date hereof), and thereafter make any other required submissions, and pay any fees due in connection therewith (all such fees to be solely the responsibility of, and to be paid by, the Parent and Merger Sub), with respect to this Agreement or the Merger required under any Competition Laws, and (viii) as promptly as reasonably practicable after the date hereof, make all necessary filings, and thereafter make any other required submissions, and pay any fees due in connection therewith, with respect to this Agreement, the Merger required under any Competition Laws and any other applicable Law.

f. Efforts extend to assisting other party

Section 6.3 *Reasonable Best Efforts.* (a) Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement, each of the parties agrees to use reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all actions, and to do, or cause to be done, and to assist and cooperate with the other parties in doing, all things necessary, proper or advisable to consummate and make effective, as soon as possible following the date hereof, the Mergers and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement, including . . .

V. Conduct of Business Covenants

a. “Ordinary course” covenant

Conduct of Business of the Company. Except for matters set forth in Section 4.01 of the Company Disclosure Letter or otherwise required, expressly contemplated or expressly



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

permitted by this Agreement or required by applicable Law or with the prior written consent of Parent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned), from the date of this Agreement to the Effective Time, the Company shall, and shall cause each Company Subsidiary to, conduct its business in the ordinary course and, to the extent consistent therewith, use commercially reasonable efforts to preserve intact its present business organization and preserve its present relationships with customers, suppliers, licensors, licensees, distributors and others having material business dealings with it. In addition, except for matters set forth in Section 4.01 of the Company Disclosure Letter or otherwise required, expressly contemplated or expressly permitted by this Agreement or required by applicable Law or required to consummate the Transactions, from the date of this Agreement to the Effective Time, the Company shall not, and shall not permit any Company Subsidiary to, do any of the following without the prior written consent of Parent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned):

b. Covenants to avoid material delay or increased antitrust risk in closing

(i) *General prohibition (Example 1)*

(h) Each of the Company and Parent shall not, and shall not permit their respective Affiliates to, take any action or enter into any transaction, the effect of which is to impair, delay or prevent any required approvals, or expiration of the waiting period, under the Antitrust Laws.

(ii) *General prohibition (Example 2)*

(e) Parent and Merger Sub agree that, between the date of this Agreement and the earlier of the Effective Time and the termination of this Agreement in accordance with Section 7.1, each of Parent and Merger Sub shall not, and shall ensure that none of their Subsidiaries shall, consummate, or enter into any agreement providing for, any acquisition, divestiture or merger that would reasonably be expected to materially delay or prevent the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(iii) *General prohibition (Example 3)*

Neither party shall, and each party shall cause its Affiliates not to, enter into any transaction, or any agreement to effect any transaction (including any merger or acquisition), that might reasonably be expected to make it more difficult, or to increase the time required, to (i) obtain the expiration or termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act or any other applicable Antitrust Law applicable to the Transactions or (ii) obtain



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

all other authorizations, consents, orders, and approvals of Governmental Authorities necessary for the consummation of the Transactions.

(iv) *General prohibition (Example 4)*

Neither party shall, nor shall it permit any of its Subsidiaries to, acquire or agree to acquire any business, Person or division thereof, or otherwise acquire or agree to acquire any assets if the entering into of a definitive agreement relating to or the consummation of such acquisition would be reasonably likely to result in the material delay or impairment of (i) the ability of the parties to obtain the applicable clearance, approval or waiver from a Governmental Entity or Self-Regulatory Organization charged with the enforcement of any Antitrust Law with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement or (ii) the expiration or termination of any applicable waiting period.

(v) *General prohibition (Example 5)*

Neither party shall enter into any agreement, transaction, or any agreement to effect any transaction (including any merger or acquisition) that would reasonably be expected to make it materially more difficult, or to materially increase the time required, to (i) obtain the expiration or termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act, or any other Competition Law applicable to the transaction contemplated hereby, (ii) avoid the entry of, the commencement of litigation seeking the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any injunction, temporary restraining order or other order that would materially delay or prevent the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby, or (iii) obtain all authorizations, consents, orders and approvals of Governmental Authorities necessary for the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby.

(vi) *General prohibition (Example 6)*

Neither the Company nor Parent shall, and each of them shall cause its Subsidiaries not to, directly or indirectly (whether by merger, consolidation or otherwise), acquire, purchase, lease or license (or agree to acquire, purchase, lease or license) any business, corporation, partnership, association or other business organization or division or part thereof, or any securities or collection of assets, if doing so would reasonably be expected to: (i) impose any material delay in the obtaining of, or materially increase the risk of not obtaining, any Consent, or exemption of any Governmental Authority necessary to consummate the Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby or the expiration or termination of any applicable waiting period; (ii) materially increase the risk of any Governmental Authority entering an order prohibiting the consummation of the Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby; or (iii) materially increase the risk of not being able to remove any such order on appeal or otherwise.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(vii) *General prohibition (Example 7)*

None of the parties shall, nor shall it permit any of its Subsidiaries to, take or agree to take any action that would reasonably be expected to impose any material delay in the obtaining of, or materially increase the risk of not obtaining, any required Consent under Antitrust Laws from any Governmental Authority with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement. Nothing set forth in this Section 5.6 limits, modifies, waives, amends or otherwise adversely affects (x) either party's ability to make acquisitions or investments otherwise permitted under Section 5.1 (Conduct of Business), (y) the Company's rights under Section 5.3 (Company Acquisition Proposals) or (z) either party's rights under ARTICLE VII (Termination).

(viii) *General prohibition (for delay and increased risk)*

(c) Neither the Company nor Parent shall, and each shall cause its Subsidiaries not to, directly or indirectly (whether by merger, consolidation or otherwise), acquire, purchase, lease or license (or agree to acquire, purchase, lease or license) any business, corporation, partnership, association or other business organization or division or part thereof, or any securities or collection of assets, if doing so would reasonably be expected to: (i) impose any material delay in the obtaining of, or materially increase the risk of not obtaining, any Consent of any Governmental Authority necessary to consummate the Mergers or the other transactions contemplated hereby or the expiration or termination of any applicable waiting period; (ii) materially increase the risk of any Governmental Authority entering an Order prohibiting the consummation of the Mergers or the other transactions contemplated hereby; (iii) materially increase the risk of not being able to remove any such Order on appeal or otherwise; or (iv) otherwise prevent or materially delay the consummation of the Mergers or the other transactions contemplated hereby.

(ix) *General prohibition with Purchaser proviso*

The Company, Parent and Merger Sub and any of their respective Affiliates shall not take any action with the intention to or that could reasonably be expected to hinder or delay the obtaining of clearance or any necessary approval of any Antitrust Authority under an Premerger Notification Rule or Antitrust Law or the expiration of the required waiting period under the Premerger Notification Rules or any other Antitrust Laws; *provided, however*, that Parent may take any reasonable action to resist or reduce the scope of a Divestiture Action, even if it delays such expiration to a date not beyond the Termination Date.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(x) *No investments that would increase antitrust risk*

None of Acquiror, any Subsidiary of Acquiror or the Company shall acquire or make any investment in any corporation, partnership, limited liability company or other business organization or any division or assets thereof, that would reasonably be expected to materially delay the satisfaction of the conditions contained in Article VI or materially adversely affect the consummation of the Merger.

(xi) *No acquisitions that would increase antitrust risk (Example 1)*

Neither the Company nor Parent shall, nor shall they permit their respective Subsidiaries or Affiliates to, acquire or agree to acquire any business, person or division thereof, or otherwise acquire or agree to acquire any assets, if, upon advice of such party's outside legal counsel, the entering into of a definitive agreement relating to or the consummation of such acquisition, (i) would reasonably be expected to delay or to increase the likelihood of not obtaining the applicable action, nonaction, waiver, clearance, consent or approval under the HSR Act or applicable requirements of the Competition Act (Canada) (including the regulations thereunder, as each may be amended from time to time, the "Competition Act") in connection with the Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby prior to the Outside Date or (ii) would reasonably be expected to require any action, nonaction, waiver, clearance, consent or approval of any other Governmental Authority with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby.

(xii) *No acquisitions that would increase antitrust risk (Example 2)*

The Buyer shall not, and shall cause its Affiliates not to, enter into any merger, acquisition or similar transaction, or any agreement to effect any such transaction, to acquire an index management business that competes with the Company's [XXX] business or the Buyer's [YYY] business, that will make it materially more difficult, or materially increase the time required, to (i) obtain the expiration or termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act applicable to the Transactions, or (ii) avoid the commencement of litigation seeking the entry of any injunction or order that would materially delay or prevent the consummation of the Transaction. For the avoidance of doubt, the fact that a merger, acquisition or similar transaction requires approval under the HSR Act shall not in and of itself restrict such transaction under this Section 6.7(d).

(xiii) *No acquisitions or investments that would increase antitrust risk*

Neither Parent nor Company shall, and each of them shall cause its respective Subsidiaries not to, acquire or agree to acquire, by merging with or into or consolidating with, or by purchasing a portion of the assets of or equity in, any business or any corporation, partnership, association or other business organization or division thereof, in each case, that



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

operate in the specific industries in which Company and its Subsidiaries operate, if the entering into of a definitive agreement relating to, or the consummation of such acquisition, merger or consolidation would reasonably be expected to: (i) materially increase the risk of any Governmental Entity seeking or entering an order prohibiting the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement; (ii) materially increase the risk of not being able to remove any such order on appeal or otherwise; or (iii) otherwise prevent the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(xiv) *Strict prohibition on acquisitions above a specified size (Example 1)*

From the date of this Agreement until the Effective Time, except (A) as otherwise expressly contemplated by this Agreement, (B) as Schlumberger may approve in writing (such approval not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed), (C) as set forth in Section 7.1 of the Smith Disclosure Letter, or (D) as required by Applicable Law, Smith will not and will not permit any of its Subsidiaries to:

...

(viii) acquire or agree to acquire by merging or consolidating with, or by purchasing an equity interest in or a substantial portion of the assets or properties of, or by any other manner, any business or any corporation, partnership, association or other business organization or division thereof, in each case (1) for consideration in excess of \$30 million in respect of any one acquisition or for aggregate consideration for all such acquisitions in excess of \$100 million in 2010 and \$30 million in the aggregate in 2011 or (2) where a filing under the HSR Act or any non-U.S. competition, antitrust or premerger notification laws is required;

(xv) *Strict prohibition on acquisitions above a specified size (Example 2)*

Conduct of Business by the Company Pending the Closing. The Company agrees that between the date of this Agreement and the Effective Time or the time, if any, at which this Agreement is terminated pursuant to Section 8.1, except (a) as set forth in Section 5.1 of the Company Disclosure Letter, (b) as specifically required by this Agreement, (c) as required by Law or (d) as consented to in writing by Parent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned), the Company (i) shall and shall cause each Company Subsidiary to, conduct its business in all material respects in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, including by using reasonable best efforts to preserve intact its and their present business organizations and to preserve its and their present relationships with Governmental Entities and with customers, suppliers and other Persons with whom it and they have material business relations; *provided*, however, that no action that is specifically permitted by any of clauses (a) through (p) of Section 5.1(ii) shall be deemed a breach of this clause (i) and (ii) agrees that between the date of this



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Agreement and the Effective Time or the date, if any, on which this Agreement is terminated pursuant to Section 8.1, the Company shall not, and shall not permit any Company Subsidiary to:

...

(e) authorize or announce an intention to authorize, or enter into agreements providing for, any acquisitions of an equity interest in or a substantial portion of the assets of any Person or any business or division thereof, in each case whether by merger, consolidation, business combination, acquisition of stock or assets, license or formation of a joint venture or otherwise, except for (i) such transactions for consideration (including assumption of liabilities) that does not exceed \$50,000,000 in the aggregate or (ii) transactions between the Company and a wholly owned Company Subsidiary or between wholly owned Company Subsidiaries;

(xvi) No acquisitions with a seven-month carve-out

The Parties shall not, and shall not permit any of their respective subsidiaries to, engage in, publicly propose or enter into any transaction that would reasonably be expected to (x) result in any material delay in the obtaining or materially increase the risk of not obtaining any required Consent from any Governmental Entity with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement or (y) materially increase the risk of any Governmental Entity entering a Legal Restraint prohibiting or materially delaying the consummation of the Merger or the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement; *provided* that nothing in this Agreement shall limit the ability of (I) the parties to acquire prescription and customer records in connection with “file buy” acquisitions in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice and (II) Parent or its subsidiaries to (i) take the actions set forth on Section 6.4(a)(i) of the Parent Disclosure Schedule, (ii) take the actions with respect to commercial Contracts described on Section 6.4(a)(ii) of the Parent Disclosure Schedule, (iii) engage in or enter into any agreement providing for any acquisition (whether by merger, consolidation, business combination or otherwise) of the assets or equity interests of any Person that does not engage in commerce or affect commerce in the U.S. or (iv) following the seven (7) month anniversary of the date of this Agreement, engage in or enter into any agreement providing for any acquisition (whether by merger, consolidation, business combination or otherwise) of the assets or equity interests of any Person, other than an acquisition of Retail Pharmacies (any such transaction described in this clause (iv), a “Parent Permitted Transaction”) (it being understood that a transaction described in clause (I) or (II) (i) through (iii) above that also would fall within the description in this clause (iv) shall not be considered a Parent Permitted Transaction);



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

c. Covenants with respect to contracts

(i) *Example 1*

(b) Without limiting the generality of clause (a) above and in furtherance thereof, from and after the date hereof until the Effective Time, except (1) as expressly set forth in Section 5.1 of the Company Disclosure Letter, (2) as expressly contemplated or permitted by this Agreement, (3) as required by Law or (4) as consented to in writing by Parent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed), the Company shall not, and shall not permit any of its Subsidiaries to:

...

(xii) (A) prematurely terminate or enter into any Material Contract or Contract that, if in effect on the date hereof, would have been a Material Contract, except in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, (B) materially amend or modify (other than extensions at the end of term or in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice) any Material Contract, (C) waive any term of or any material default under, or release, settle or compromise any material claim against the Company or any of its Subsidiaries or liability or obligation owing to the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, under any Material Contract or (D) enter into any Contract which contains a change of control or similar provision in favor of the other party or parties thereto or would otherwise require a payment or give rise to any rights to such other party or parties in connection with the Offer, the Merger or the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement;

(ii) *Example 2*

In addition, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, except for matters set forth in the GeoEye Disclosure Letter or otherwise expressly permitted or expressly contemplated by this Agreement or with the prior written consent of DigitalGlobe (which shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed), from the date of this Agreement to the Effective Time, GeoEye shall not, and shall not permit any GeoEye Subsidiary to, do any of the following:

...

(xii)(A) terminate or cancel any GeoEye Material Contract or (B) enter into or amend any material Contract (including any Contract that, if entered into on the date hereof, would have been a GeoEye Material Contract), unless in the case of this clause (B), (1) such Contract is terminable by GeoEye on no more than 90 days' written notice, (2) such Contract is entered into in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice, and (3) such Contract, or in the case of an amendment, such amendment, does not require GeoEye or any of its Subsidiaries to make payments in excess of \$10,000,000 over the



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

term of such Contract or in excess of \$500,000 in connection with the termination of such Contract;

(iii) *Example 3*

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, except with the prior written consent of Parent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed) or as expressly contemplated by this Agreement or set forth in Schedule 6.01 of the Company Disclosure Schedule, the Company shall not, nor shall it permit any of its Subsidiaries to:

...

(q) except as required by Applicable Law or the transactions contemplated hereby, amend, modify or terminate any Material Contract or Lease, or knowingly waive, release or assign any material rights, claims or benefits under any Material Contract or Lease or with respect to any investment in any Person (including without limitation, the right to designate one or more members to the board of directors or similar governing body of any Person (or its Affiliates) or other governance rights), or enter into (i) any Lease (whether as lessor, sublessor, lessee or sublessee) or (ii) any new Contract that, if entered into prior to the date of this Agreement, would constitute a Material Contract; or

(iv) *Example 4*

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, and subject to the exceptions set forth in clauses (i) through (iv) of the preceding sentence, during the period from the date of this Agreement to the Effective Time, the Company shall not, and shall not permit any of its Subsidiaries to:

...

(ix) (A) (1) enter into, (2) modify or amend in any material respect or modify or amend outside the ordinary course of business or (3) terminate any Specified Contract or (B) waive, release or assign any material rights or claims thereunder;

(x) enter into, modify, amend or terminate any Contract, which if so entered into, modified, amended or terminated would reasonably be expected to (A) adversely affect in any material respect the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, (B) impair in any material respect the ability of the Company to perform its obligations under this Agreement or (C) prevent or materially delay the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement;



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

d. Covenants with respect to capital expenditures

(i) *Capped according to schedule*

In addition, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, except for matters set forth in Section 5.01 of the Company Disclosure Schedule or as otherwise expressly required by this Agreement, or as required by Law or Judgment, from the date of this Agreement until the Effective Time, the Company shall not, and shall not permit any Company Subsidiary to, directly or indirectly do any of the following without the prior written consent of Parent (which consent may be given or withheld in its sole discretion):

...

(ix) make or agree to make any capital expenditure or expenditures, or incur any obligations or liabilities in connection therewith, that in the aggregate are in excess of the aggregate amount set forth in Schedule 5.01(ix) of the Company Disclosure Schedule;

(ii) *Capped by forecast plan or not in excess of \$1,000,000*

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, except (A) as expressly contemplated by this Agreement, (B) as set forth in Section 7.1 of the Company Disclosure Letter, (C) as required by applicable Law or (D) to the extent Parent otherwise consents in writing (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed), the Company agrees that neither the Company nor any Subsidiary shall, between the date of this Agreement and the Effective Time, do any of the following:

...

(u) make or commit to make any capital expenditure other than in respect of those capital expenditure projects that are (i) contemplated by the Company's fiscal year 2011 forecast or (ii) not in excess of \$1,000,000 in the aggregate;

(iii) *Capped by multiple criteria*

(ii) Except (i) as expressly permitted or required by this Agreement, (ii) as set forth in the corresponding Section of the Disclosure Letter, or (iii) as may be agreed in writing by Parent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld), during the period specified in the preceding sentence, the Company will not and will not permit any of its Subsidiaries to:

...

(u) incur any material capital expenditure or any obligations, liabilities or indebtedness in respect thereof, except for any capital expenditures not exceeding (i) \$50 million individually, (ii) \$225 million in the aggregate in 2011 (taking into account any



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

expenditures incurred prior to the date hereof in 2011) and (iii) \$250 million per year for 2012 and after;

(iv) *Capped by business plan and set limits*

Except with Purchaser's prior written consent (not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed), Seller shall cause each of the Company and its Subsidiaries (i) to conduct its business in the ordinary course and, to the extent consistent therewith, use its commercially reasonable efforts to (A) preserve its business organizations intact, (B) maintain existing relations and goodwill with Governmental Entities, customers, suppliers, distributors, creditors, lessors, employees and business associates, and (C) keep available the services of its present employees and agents; and (ii) not to (other than as set forth in the corresponding section of the Seller Disclosure Letter):

...

except as contemplated by the capital budget set forth in the business plan set forth on Schedule 4.16 of the Seller Disclosure Letter, make or authorize any payment of, or accrual or commitment for, capital expenditures in excess of \$25,000,000.00 in the aggregate in any consecutive six-month period (or \$50,000,000.00 in the event of an increase in data demand in the Business significantly in excess of the demand anticipated on the date hereof);

(v) *Capped by business plan and set limits (with ordinary course exception for supplies)*

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, and except with the prior written consent of Parent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed), as required by applicable Laws, as otherwise contemplated or permitted by this Agreement or as set forth on Section 7.1 of the Company Disclosure Schedule, during the period from the date of this Agreement to the Control Time, the Company will not, and will cause its Subsidiaries not to:

...

(m) make any capital expenditures, except (i) capital expenditures made in accordance with the Company's annual budget and capital expenditure plan, as furnished to Parent, (ii) other capital expenditures in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$250,000 or (iii) purchases of supplies in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice; . . .

(vi) *Capped by CapEx plan plus overrun limits*

(b) Without limiting the generality of Section 5.2(a), and notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 5.2(a), except as expressly permitted by this Agreement, or with the prior written consent of Parent (which consent may be withheld or conditioned in Parent's



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

absolute discretion), or as required by applicable Law, during the period from the date of this Agreement to the Effective Time, the Company shall not, and shall not permit any of its Subsidiaries to:

...

(v) make any capital expenditure or expenditures which (A) involves the purchase of real property (other than that real property set forth on Section 5.2(e) of the Company Disclosure Letter that the Company is presently obligated by Contract to purchase) or (B) is not provided for in the CapEx Plan (provided, however, that the Company may make capital expenditures (x) for any particular item in excess of the amount specified for such item on the page entitled "Summary of Spending by Improvement Type" included in the CapEx Plan in an amount not to exceed 20% of the amount specified for such item on the page entitled "Summary of Spending by Improvement Type" included in the CapEx Plan and/or (y) for any particular items not contemplated by the CapEx Plan, in an aggregate amount for all such items in clauses (x) and (y) not to exceed 10% of the total amount of capital expenditures contemplated by the CapEx Plan);

(vii) *Capped by set limit (with ordinary course exception)*

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, except as expressly provided or permitted herein or as set forth in Schedule 6.1, during the Pre-Closing Period, the Company shall not, directly or indirectly, other than in the ordinary course of business, do any of the following without the prior written consent of Parent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed):

...

(k) make any material acquisition or capital expenditure in excess of \$25,000 in the aggregate for the Company, taken as a whole, other than in the ordinary course of business or as provided for in the Company's annual budget;

e. Collective bargaining agreements

(i) *Example 1*

From the date of this Agreement through the earlier of termination of this Agreement or the Effective Time:

...

(c) Except as consented to in writing by Parent or as set forth in Section 5.1(c) of the Disclosure Schedule, the Company will not:

...



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(viii) enter into, extend, renew, modify, or amend any collective bargaining agreement;

(ii) *Example 2*

In addition to and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, during the period from the date of this Agreement to the Effective Time, except as otherwise set forth in Section 4.01(a) of the Company Disclosure Schedule, the Company shall not, and shall not permit any of its Subsidiaries to, without Parent's prior written consent:

...

(xiv) except as otherwise contemplated by this Agreement or as required to ensure that any Company Benefit Plan or Company Benefit Agreement is not then out of compliance with applicable law or to comply with any Contract or Company Benefit Agreement entered into prior to the date hereof (complete and accurate copies of which have been heretofore delivered to Parent), (A) adopt, enter into, terminate or amend (I) any collective bargaining agreement or Company Benefit Plan or

(iii) *Example 3*

(b) In addition, and without limiting the generality of Section 5.2(a), RH agrees that, during the period from the date of this Agreement until the earlier of the Closing or the termination of this Agreement pursuant to its terms, except as (i) otherwise expressly permitted or required under or by this Agreement (including as contemplated by Section 6.16), (ii) set forth in Section 5.2(b) of the RH Disclosure Schedule, (iii) consented to by Battery in writing (it being understood that any request for a consent shall be considered in good faith by Battery) or (iv) required by any Law, RH shall not, and shall not permit any of its Subsidiaries to, directly or indirectly, do, or agree to do, any of the following:

...

(xviii) enter into, renew or amend any collective bargaining agreement, except in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

f. Access to Company information

(i) *General provision*

From the date hereof to the Effective Time or the earlier termination of this Agreement, upon reasonable prior written notice from Parent, the Company shall, and shall use its reasonable best efforts to cause its subsidiaries, officers, directors and employees to, afford the officers, employees, auditors and other authorized representatives of Parent reasonable access, to the extent permitted by applicable Law, to its officers, employees, properties, offices, other



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

facilities and books and records (including personnel records). Any such access shall be at reasonable times during normal business hours and under supervision of a designee of the Company, at the Company's discretion. Notwithstanding the foregoing, (i) any such access shall be in such a manner as not to interfere unreasonably with the business or operations of the Company or its subsidiaries; (ii) neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries shall be required to provide access where the Company determines in its reasonable judgment that such access would be reasonably likely to (A) result in the loss of the protection of any attorney-client or other privilege held by the Company or any of its subsidiaries, (B) violate any applicable Law or (C) breach any binding agreement entered into prior to the date of this Agreement of the Company or any of its subsidiaries with any third party, *provided* that the Company shall have used commercially reasonable efforts to make appropriate substitute arrangements under circumstances in which the restrictions of this clause would apply.

g. Other conduct of business covenants

(i) *Exclusive dealing arrangements*

Except as contemplated by or otherwise permitted under this Agreement, or required by Applicable Law, or described in Company Disclosure Schedule 4.1 or to the extent that Parent shall otherwise consent in writing, from the date of this Agreement until the Closing, the Company covenants and agrees with Parent that the Company shall not, and shall cause the Retained Subsidiaries not to:

...

(p) enter into any agreement or arrangement that materially limits the freedom of the Company or any Retained Subsidiary to engage or participate, or compete with any other Person, in any line of business, market or geographic area, or prohibits the sale of products or services by the Company or any Retained Subsidiary, or commits to an exclusive dealing agreement of any type, except for agency or distributorship agreements or similar agreements entered into in the ordinary course of business that in each case are terminable by the Company or a Retained Subsidiary by the giving of notice of sixty (60) days or less without post-termination obligations, termination penalties, purchase options or similar obligations;

h. Savings clause

(i) *Example 1*

Nothing contained in this Agreement is intended to give Parent or Merger Sub, directly or indirectly, the right to control or direct the Company's or its Subsidiaries' operations prior to the Acceptance Time, and nothing contained in this Agreement is intended to give the



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Company, directly or indirectly, the right to control or direct Parent's or its Subsidiaries' operations prior to the Acceptance Time. Prior to the Acceptance Time, each of the Company, Parent and Merger Sub shall exercise, consistent with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, complete control and supervision over its and its Subsidiaries' respective operations.

(ii) *Example 2*

5.02 Control of the Operations. Nothing contained in this Agreement shall give Parent, directly or indirectly, rights to control or direct the Company's operations prior to the Effective Time. Prior to the Effective Time, the Company shall exercise, consistent with the terms of this Agreement, complete control and supervision of its and the Company Subsidiaries' operations for purposes of the HSR Act or any other applicable antitrust Law prior to the expiration or termination of any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act or any other applicable antitrust Law waiting period, or prior to the receipt of any applicable approval under any antitrust or competition law.

(ii) *Example 3*

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties to this Agreement acknowledge and agree that (i) nothing contained in this Agreement shall give Parent or Merger Subsidiary, directly or indirectly, the right to control or direct the Company's operations for purposes of the HSR Act or any other applicable Antitrust Law prior to the expiration or termination of any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act or any other applicable Antitrust Law waiting period, or prior to receipt of any applicable approval under any antitrust or competition law; and (ii) notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this Agreement, no consent of Parent or Merger Subsidiary will be required with respect to any matter set forth in this Agreement to the extent the requirement of such consent would violate any Applicable Law.

VI. Merger Control Filing Covenants

a. Covenant to make HSR filings and comply with any second request

(i) *Example 1*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing, each party hereto agrees to (x) make an appropriate filing of a Notification and Report Form pursuant to the HSR Act with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement within ten (10) Business Days of the date of this Agreement, (y) supply as promptly as reasonably practicable any



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act and (z) use its reasonable best efforts to take or cause to be taken all other actions necessary, proper or advisable consistent with this Section 7.2 to cause the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting periods, or receipt of required authorizations, as applicable, under the HSR Act as soon as practicable. Without limiting the foregoing, the parties shall request and shall use reasonable best efforts to obtain early termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act.

(ii) *Example 2*

Without limiting the foregoing, each of the Company and Parent shall . . . (iii) as promptly as reasonably practicable following the receipt thereof, respond to (or properly reduce the scope of) any formal or informal request for additional information or documentary material received by the Company, Parent or any of their respective Affiliates from any Governmental Authority whether received prior to or after the date of this Agreement;

(iii) *Example 3*

The Company and Parent will, at the time determined by the Parent (but in any event no earlier than ten (10) Business Days after the date hereof) and on no less than five (5) Business Days' notice to the Company, file with the United States Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") and the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice (the "DOJ") the notification and report forms required for the transactions contemplated hereby, and subsequent to such filings, Parent and the Company will provide any supplemental information that may be requested in connection therewith pursuant to the HSR Act, which notification and report forms and supplemental information will comply in all material respects with the requirements of the HSR Act.

(iv) *Example 4*

The parties shall (i) respond as promptly as practicable to any inquiries or requests for documentation or information or any request for additional information (a "second request") received from the FTC or the DOJ and to all inquiries and requests received from any other Governmental Authority in connection with Competition Law matters, and (ii) use their reasonable best efforts to resolve objections, if any, as may be asserted by any Governmental Authority with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement under any Competition Laws and to cause the waiting periods, approvals or other requirements under the HSR Act and all other Competition Laws to terminate or expire or be obtained prior to the Termination Date.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

b. Timing to make HSR Act filings

(i) *Ten days or “when advisable”*

The Company and Parent shall use reasonable best efforts to make or file with the appropriate Governmental Entity all filings, forms, registrations and notifications required (whether by Law or in order to satisfy the condition set forth in Section 6.1(c)) to be filed to consummate the Merger under any applicable Antitrust Law, and subsequent to such filings and subject to the terms and conditions of Section 5.7(b), the Company and Parent will, respond to inquiries from Governmental Entities, or provide any supplemental information that may be requested by Governmental Entities, in connection with filings made with such Governmental Entities. The Company and Parent shall file their notification and report forms under the HSR Act ten Business Days after the date of this Agreement or when advisable. Subject to Section 5.7(b) and the last sentence of this Section 5.7(c), in the event that the parties receive a request for information or documentary material pursuant to any Antitrust Law, including the HSR Act (any such request pursuant to the HSR Act, a “Second Request”), the parties will use their respective reasonable best efforts to submit an appropriate response to, and to certify compliance with, any such request for information or documentary material, and counsel for both parties will closely cooperate during the entirety of any review process pursuant to any Antitrust Law.

(ii) *Fifteen days or when “mutually agreed”*

(b) Subject to Section 5.6(c), each of Parent and the Company shall (i) make or cause to be made the filings required of such party or any of its Subsidiaries under the HSR Act with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement as promptly as practicable (and in any event within fifteen Business Days after the date of this Agreement, unless a later date is mutually agreed to by the parties), (ii) make or cause to be made such other filings as are required under applicable Law, or as set forth on Section 3.5(d)(v) of the Company Disclosure Schedule or Section 4.5(d)(vi) of the Parent Disclosure Schedule, in foreign jurisdictions governing antitrust or merger control matters with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement as soon as reasonably practicable after the date of this Agreement, (iii) comply at the earliest practicable and advisable date with any request for additional information, documents or other materials received by such party or any of its Subsidiaries from the Federal Trade Commission (the “FTC”), the Department of Justice (the “DOJ”) or any other Governmental Authority under the HSR Act or any other Antitrust Laws and (iv) subject to Section 5.6(e), cooperate in good faith with the other party in obtaining all approvals required under applicable Antitrust Laws and in connection with any such filing and in connection with resolving any investigation or other inquiry of any such agency or other Governmental Authority under any Antitrust Laws with respect to any such filing or any such transaction.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(iii) *Upon notice by Parent to Company*

The Company and Parent will, at the time determined by the Parent (but in any event no earlier than ten (10) Business Days after the date hereof) and on no less than five (5) Business Days' notice to the Company, file with the United States Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") and the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice (the "DOJ") the notification and report forms required for the transactions contemplated hereby, and subsequent to such filings, Parent and the Company will provide any supplemental information that may be requested in connection therewith pursuant to the HSR Act, which notification and report forms and supplemental information will comply in all material respects with the requirements of the HSR Act.

(iv) *When Buyer deems advisable*

Subject to Section 7.08(c) and the other terms and conditions herein provided and without limiting the foregoing, BHI and GE shall (and shall cause their respective Subsidiaries to):

(i) make their respective required filings (and filings considered by GE to be advisable after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, BHI) under the HSR Act, the EC Merger Regulation and any other Regulatory Laws (and GE shall be responsible for all filing fees incident thereto), which filings shall be made as promptly as GE deems advisable (after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, BHI), and thereafter shall make any other required submissions under the HSR Act or other such Laws as promptly as GE deems advisable (after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, BHI); without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each party shall use its reasonable best efforts to respond to and comply as promptly as GE deems advisable with any request for information regarding the Transactions or any such filings for any Governmental Entity charged with enforcing, applying, administering, or investigating any Regulatory Law;

(ii) use their reasonable best efforts to cooperate with one another in (A) determining which filings are required (or considered by GE to be advisable after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, BHI) to be made prior to the Closing with, and which consents, approvals, permits or authorizations are required to be obtained prior to the Closing from, Governmental Entities in connection with the execution and delivery of this Agreement, and the consummation of the Transactions and (B) timely making all such filings;

(v) *"Prompt" filing*

Each of Parent and Merger Sub (and their respective Affiliates, if applicable), on the one hand, and the Company (and its Affiliates, if applicable), on the other hand, will, to the extent required in the reasonable judgment of counsel to Parent and the Company, use their



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

respective reasonable best efforts to (i) file with the FTC and the Antitrust Division of the DOJ a Notification and Report Form relating to this Agreement and the Merger as required by the HSR Act promptly following the date of this Agreement; and (ii) promptly file comparable pre-merger or post-merger notification filings, forms and submissions with any Governmental Authority that are required by other applicable Antitrust Laws in connection with the Merger.

c. Covenant to make multinational filings

(i) *Example 1*

Each of the Purchaser and the Sellers agree to make, if applicable, an appropriate filing pursuant to the HSR Act with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby within [ten] Business Days after the date hereof and to supply promptly any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act. In addition, each party agrees to make, or to cause to be made, any filing that may be required under any other antitrust or competition law or by any other antitrust or competition authority, including any other requirements of the antitrust legislation of any other relevant jurisdiction, if applicable, within 30 days after the date hereof and to supply promptly any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant thereto. Each party shall have responsibility for its respective filing fees associated with the HSR filings and any other similar filings required in any other jurisdictions.

(ii) *Example 2*

Promptly following the execution of this Agreement, the parties shall file with all other appropriate Governmental Authorities the notifications and other information (if any) required to be filed under other applicable Antitrust Laws with respect to the transactions contemplated in the Transaction Documents. The Company and Parent shall, furthermore, proceed to promptly prepare and file with the appropriate Governmental Authorities such additional requests, reports or notifications as may be required in connection with this Agreement and shall diligently and expeditiously prosecute, and shall cooperate fully with each other in the prosecution of, such matters. Each of Parent and the Company shall furnish to the other such necessary information and reasonable assistance as the other may reasonably request in connection with its preparation of any filing or submission which is necessary under the applicable Antitrust Laws. Parent and the Company shall keep each other apprised of the status of any communications with, and any inquiries or requests for additional information from, the FTC, the DOJ and any other Governmental Authority with whom a filing has been made pursuant to Antitrust Laws.



(iii) *Example 3*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing, each party hereto agrees (i) to make an appropriate filing of a Notification and Report Form pursuant to the HSR Act and any other applicable Antitrust Law with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby as promptly as practicable after the date hereof, (ii) to supply as promptly as reasonably practicable any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act or any other applicable Antitrust Law and (iii) use its best efforts to take or cause to be taken all other actions necessary, proper or advisable to obtain applicable clearances, consents, authorizations, approvals or waivers and to cause the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting periods with respect to the approval of the Merger under the HSR Act, the German Act Against Restraints of Competition (*Gesetz gegen Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen*), the Spanish Defense of Competition Law (*Ley 15/2007, de 3 de julio, de Defensa de la Competencia*) and any other applicable Antitrust Laws so as to enable the Closing to occur no later than the Outside Date.

(iv) *Example 4*

Subject to the terms and conditions herein provided and without limiting the foregoing, the Company and Parent shall (i) promptly, and in any event no later than fifteen (15) business days after the date hereof, make all required filings of Notification and Report Forms pursuant to the HSR Act, (ii) as promptly as practicable make appropriate filings with the European Commission in accordance with the EC Merger Regulation, (iii) use reasonable best efforts to cooperate with each other in (x) determining whether any filings are required to be made with, or actions or nonactions, waivers, authorizations, expirations or terminations of waiting periods, clearances, consents or approvals are required to be obtained from, any other Governmental Entities (including any foreign jurisdiction in which the Company or its Subsidiaries are operating any business) or third parties in connection with the execution and delivery of this Agreement and the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby and (y) timely making all such filings and timely seeking all such actions or nonactions, waivers, authorizations, expirations or terminations of waiting periods, clearances, consents and approvals, (iv) supply as promptly as practicable such information or documentation that may be requested pursuant to any Regulatory Law (as defined in Section 5.6(f)) by any Governmental Entity, and (v) use reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all other actions and do, or cause to be done, all other things necessary, proper or advisable to consummate and make effective the transactions contemplated hereby.

(v) *Example 5*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing, each party hereto agrees to make (i) an appropriate filing of a Notification and Report Form pursuant to the HSR Act with



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

respect to the transactions contemplated hereby as promptly as practicable, (ii) appropriate filings, if any are required, pursuant to foreign Antitrust Laws as promptly as practicable and (iii) all other necessary filings with other Governmental Entities relating to the Merger, and, in each case, to supply as promptly as practicable any additional information and documentary material that may be formally or informally requested pursuant to the Antitrust Laws or by such authorities and to use reasonable best efforts to cause the expiration or termination of any applicable waiting periods under the Antitrust Laws and the receipt of the Required Consents as soon as practicable.

(vi) *Example 6*

(b) Without limiting the generality of the parties' obligations under Section 5.03(a), and in furtherance thereof, Parent and the Company shall, in consultation and cooperation with the other

(i) within ten business days of the date of this Agreement, file with the United States Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") and the United States Department of Justice (the "DOJ") the notification and report form, if any, required under the HSR Act for the Merger or any of the other Transactions,

(ii) as promptly as practicable after the date of this Agreement, take all actions necessary to submit a draft notification with the European Commission, if any, required under the EC Merger Regulation for the Merger or any of the other Transactions and

(iii) as promptly as practicable after the date of this Agreement make all appropriate filings with respect to all other applicable Foreign Merger Control Laws.^[1]

Any such filings shall be in substantial compliance with the requirements of the HSR Act, the EC Merger Regulation or the other applicable Foreign Merger Control Law, as the case may be.

(vii) *Example 7*

Each party shall use reasonable best efforts to file, as soon as practicable after the date of this Agreement, all notices, reports and other documents required to be filed by such party with any Governmental Body with respect to the Merger and the other Contemplated Transactions, and to submit promptly any additional information requested by any such Governmental Body. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Company and Parent shall, promptly after the date of this Agreement, prepare and file the notification and report forms required to be filed under the HSR Act and any notification or other document

^[1] Defined as "any other competition, merger control, antitrust or similar Law of any jurisdiction (collectively, other than the HSR Act and the EC Merger Regulation, the 'Foreign Merger Control Laws')."



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

required to be filed under any applicable foreign antitrust or competition-related Legal Requirement in the jurisdictions set forth on Section 6.1(d) of the Disclosure Schedule (including a Form CO with the European Commission based on Council Regulation 139/2004) in connection with, the Merger and the other Contemplated Transactions.

d. Covenant to make elective merger control filings

(i) *At buyer's election (Example 1)*

Subject to Section 7.08(c) and the other terms and conditions herein provided and without limiting the foregoing, BHI and GE shall (and shall cause their respective Subsidiaries to):

(i) make their respective required filings (and filings considered by GE to be advisable after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, BHI) under the HSR Act, the EC Merger Regulation and any other Regulatory Laws (and GE shall be responsible for all filing fees incident thereto), which filings shall be made as promptly as GE deems advisable (after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, BHI), and thereafter shall make any other required submissions under the HSR Act or other such Laws as promptly as GE deems advisable (after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, BHI); without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each party shall use its reasonable best efforts to respond to and comply as promptly as GE deems advisable with any request for information regarding the Transactions or any such filings for any Governmental Entity charged with enforcing, applying, administering, or investigating any Regulatory Law;

(ii) use their reasonable best efforts to cooperate with one another in (A) determining which filings are required (or considered by GE to be advisable after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, BHI) to be made prior to the Closing with, and which consents, approvals, permits or authorizations are required to be obtained prior to the Closing from, Governmental Entities in connection with the execution and delivery of this Agreement, and the consummation of the Transactions and (B) timely making all such filings;

(ii) *At buyer's election (Example 2)*

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions of this Agreement, each party hereto agrees to make any appropriate filings, if necessary or advisable (in the opinion of Parent), pursuant to the HSR Act, the EU Merger Regulation or other applicable foreign, federal, state or supranational antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Laws with respect to the Transactions as promptly as practicable and to supply as promptly as practicable and advisable to the appropriate Governmental Authorities any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act, the EU Merger



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Regulation or other applicable foreign, federal, state or supranational antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Laws. All antitrust filings to be made shall be made in substantial compliance with the requirements of the HSR Act, the EU Merger Regulation and other applicable foreign, federal, state or supranational antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Laws, as applicable.

(iii) *At buyer's election (Example 3)*

(b) Subject to Section 7.6(c) and the other terms and conditions herein provided and without limiting the foregoing, the Company and Parent shall (and shall cause their respective Subsidiaries to):

(i) make their respective required filings (and filings considered by Parent to be advisable after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, the Company) under the HSR Act, the EC Merger Regulation and any other Regulatory Laws (and Parent shall be responsible for all filing fees incident thereto), which filings shall be made as promptly as Parent deems advisable, and thereafter shall promptly make any other required submissions under the HSR Act or other such laws; without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each party shall use its reasonable best efforts to respond to and comply promptly with and expeditiously achieve substantial compliance with any request for information regarding the Merger or any such filings for any Governmental Entity charged with enforcing, applying, administering, or investigating any Regulatory Law;

(ii) use their reasonable best efforts to cooperate with one another in (A) determining which filings are required (or considered by Parent to be advisable after consulting with, and considering in good faith the views of, the Company) to be made prior to the Effective Time with, and which consents, approvals, permits or authorizations are required to be obtained prior to the Effective Time from, Governmental Entities in connection with the execution and delivery of this Agreement, and the consummation of the Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby and (B) timely making all such filings;

e. *Covenant to be in substantial compliance*

(i) *Example 1*

Any such filings shall be in substantial compliance with the requirements of the HSR Act, the EC Merger Regulation or the other applicable Foreign Merger Control Law, as the case may be.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *Example 2*

Any such additional information shall be in substantial compliance with the requirements of the HSR Act or the applicable Foreign Merger Control Law, as the case may be.

f. Pulling/refiling and timing agreements

(i) *Purchaser control (Example 1)*

(e) Each party hereto shall and shall cause its respective Subsidiaries to respond as promptly as reasonably practicable and advisable to any inquiries or requests for information and documentary material received from any Governmental Authority in connection with any antitrust or competition matters related to this Agreement and the Transactions. The Company and its Subsidiaries shall not, but Parent may if in its good faith judgment it determines (after consulting in advance with the Company and in good faith taking the Company's views into account) that the taking of such action would enhance the likelihood of obtaining any necessary antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar clearance by the Outside Date, extend any waiting period or agree to refile under the HSR Act, the EU Merger Regulation or any other applicable state, federal, foreign or supranational antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Laws.

(ii) *Purchaser control (Example 2)*

The Buyer agrees that, during the term of this Agreement, it will not withdraw its filing under the HSR Act without the prior written consent of the Seller Representative (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed).

(iii) *Mutual control (Example 1)*

The parties agree not to extend any waiting period under the HSR Act or enter into any agreement with any Governmental Authority to delay, or otherwise not to consummate as soon as practicable, any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement except with the prior written consent of the other parties hereto, which consent may be withheld in the sole discretion of the non-requesting party.

(iv) *Mutual control (Example 2)*

(e) Each Buyer and each Seller agrees that, during the term of this Agreement, it will not withdraw its filing under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act or any other applicable antitrust, competition or trade regulation law without the written consent of the other party.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(f) Each Buyer and each Seller agrees that it will not enter into any timing agreement with any Governmental Entity without the written consent of the other party.

g. Timing agreements

(i) *No timing agreements without mutual consent*

None of Parent, the Purchaser or the Company shall commit to or agree with any Governmental Authority to stay, toll or extend any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act or applicable competition Laws, without the prior written consent of the other parties.

(ii) *No timing agreements without mutual consent (no unreasonable withholding)*

Neither party will directly or indirectly extend any waiting period under the HSR Act or enter into any agreement with a Governmental Authority related to this Agreement or the transactions contemplated by this Agreement except with the prior written consent of the other party (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed).

(iii) *No buyer timing agreement without seller's consent*

The Buyer agrees that it will not enter into any timing agreement with any Governmental Authority without the prior written consent of the Seller Representative (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed).

(iv) *Capped discretion to enter into timing agreement*

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, neither Parent nor the Company, without the other party's prior written consent, shall (i) enter into any timing, settlement or similar agreement, or otherwise agree or commit to any arrangement, that would have the effect of extending, suspending, lengthening or otherwise tolling, beyond the date that is eleven months after the date hereof, the expiration or termination of the waiting period applicable to the Merger under the HSR Act or, (ii) enter into any timing or similar agreement, or otherwise agree or commit to any arrangement, that would bind or commit the parties not to complete the Merger (or that would otherwise prevent or prohibit the parties from completing the Merger) prior to any date that is after the date that is eleven months from the date hereof.

(v) *Timing agreement at purchaser's option with consultation*

Example 1:



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(e) The Company and its Subsidiaries shall not, but Parent may, if in its good faith judgment it determines (after consulting in advance with the Company and in good faith taking the Company's views into account) that the taking of such action would enhance the likelihood of obtaining any necessary antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar clearance by the Outside Date or the Extended Outside Date, as applicable, enter into an agreement with a Governmental Authority not to consummate the Transactions or agree to withdraw and refile under the HSR Act or any other applicable Antitrust Law.

Example 2:

Each of Seller Parent and Buyer Parent shall (and shall cause their respective Affiliates to) . . . (ii) not extend any waiting period under the HSR Act or any Foreign Antitrust Laws or enter into any agreement with any Governmental Authority to delay the Transactions, except (x) in the case of Seller Parent, with the prior written Consent of Buyer Parent or (y) in the case of Buyer Parent, with prior discussions with Seller Parent as described in Section 6.2(b).

h. Timing of second request compliance

(i) *Earliest practicable date*

Example 1:

If any request for additional information and documents, including a "second request" under the HSR Act, is received from any Governmental Authority then the Parties shall substantially comply with any such request at the earliest practicable date.

Example 2:

Each of Seller Parent and Buyer Parent shall (and shall cause their respective Affiliates to) (i) respond as promptly as reasonably practicable and advisable to any inquiries received from any Governmental Authority for additional information or documentation and to all inquiries and requests received from any Governmental Authority in connection with antitrust matters

(ii) *Promptly as practicable with outside date*

(iv) respond as promptly as practicable under the circumstances to any inquiries received from any Governmental Entity or any other authority enforcing applicable antitrust, competition, trade regulation or similar Laws for additional information or documentation in connection with antitrust, competition, trade regulation or similar matters;

(v) without limiting the generality of Section 6.3(d)(iv), (A) use its reasonable best efforts to achieve Substantial Compliance as promptly as practicable with any request for



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

additional information or documentary material issued by a Governmental Entity under 15 U.S.C. Sect. 18a(e) and in conjunction with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement (a “Second Request”), (B) certify Substantial Compliance with any Second Request as promptly as practicable after the date of such Second Request, but in no event later than September 30, 2010, (C) take all actions necessary to assert, defend and support its certification of Substantial Compliance with such Second Request;

(iii) *Reasonable best by a date certain (Example 1)*

Section 5.04(c). Each of the Company, Parent and Merger Sub shall use reasonable best efforts to certify compliance with any “second request” for additional information or documentary material from the Department of Justice or the Federal Trade Commission pursuant to the HSR Act within four (4) months after receipt of such second request and to produce documents on a rolling basis.

(iv) *Reasonable best by a date certain (Example 2)*

From the date of this Agreement through the date of termination of the required waiting period under any applicable Antitrust Laws, none of the Company, Parent or Merger Subsidiary shall take any action that could reasonably be expected to hinder or delay the obtaining of clearance or the expiration of the required waiting period under the HSR Act or any other applicable Antitrust Law. Without limiting the preceding sentence, each of the parties will use their reasonable best efforts to certify substantial compliance with any second request for information made by the DOJ (“Second Request Certification”) and certify that the response to any Supplementary Information Request made by the Canadian Competition Bureau is correct and complete in all material respects (“SIR Certification”) by November 28, 2012, or such later date as Parent and Company may mutually agree upon in writing (the “Antitrust Certification Date”).

(v) *Outside date (Example 1—60 days)*

In the event the Buyer or Seller receives a Second Request in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, such party will comply with such request as provided by Section 7A(e) of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act not more than 60 days from the date of service of the request. For purposes of this provision, a party shall be deemed to have complied with any such request by providing a response that the party in good faith believes to be in substantial compliance and by certifying its substantial compliance within the 60 day period. In the event that a party receives a subpoena or civil investigative demand requesting materials and information similar to that usually demanded in a Second Request, such Party shall comply with such subpoena or civil investigative demand not more than 60 days from the date of service of the subpoena or civil investigative demand.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

In the event the Governmental Entity disputes the adequacy of compliance by a party with respect to a Second Request, subpoena, or civil investigative demand, the party shall endeavor to satisfy the Governmental Entity so as to minimize any delay in the conduct or resolution of the investigation.

(vi) *Outside date (Example 2—60 days)*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing, Parent (and, as applicable, Sub and the ultimate parent entity of Parent) and Company shall (i) make an appropriate filing of a notification and report form pursuant to the HSR Act with respect to the Transactions as promptly as practicable, and in any event within 15 Business Days of the date hereof, (ii) supply as promptly as practicable any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act and in any event, “substantially comply” and certify substantial compliance with any request for additional information (also known as a “second request”) issued pursuant to the HSR Act within 60 days of such request and (iii) take all other actions necessary to cause the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the HSR Act as soon as practicable.

(vii) *Outside date (Example 3—60 days; tying timing to “reasonable best efforts”)*

[Reasonable best efforts obligation.] For the avoidance of doubt, American and US Airways agree that obligations relating to “reasonable best efforts” and “as soon as practicable” in the preceding sentence shall, among other things, mean, with respect to filing of the notification and required form under the HSR Act made by the parties prior to the date of this Agreement, using reasonable best efforts to be prepared to complete a certification of compliance with any request for additional information issued by the Department of Justice or Federal Trade Commission in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement (“Second Request”) no later than 60 days following the issuance of such Second Request.

(viii) *Outside date (Example 4—60 days)*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing [reasonable best efforts provision], Parent (and, as applicable, Sub and the ultimate parent entity of Parent) and INX shall (i) make an appropriate filing of a notification and report form pursuant to the HSR Act with respect to the Transactions as promptly as practicable, and in any event within 15 Business Days of the date hereof, (ii) supply as promptly as practicable any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act and in any event, “substantially comply” and certify substantial compliance with any request for additional information (also known as a “second request”) issued pursuant to the HSR Act within 60 days of such request and (iii) take all other actions necessary to cause the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the HSR Act as soon as practicable.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ix) *Outside date (Example 5—90 days)*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing, Parent (and, as applicable, Merger Sub and any ultimate parent entity of Parent) and the Company shall (A) make an appropriate filing of a Notification and Report Form pursuant to the HSR Act with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby as promptly as practicable, and in any event within 30 days of the date hereof, (B) supply as promptly as practicable any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act, and in any event, “substantially comply” and certify substantial compliance with any request for additional information (also known as a “second request”) issued pursuant to the HSR Act within 90 days of such request and (C) take all other actions necessary to cause the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the HSR Act as soon as practicable, including, subject to Section 7.1(c)(v), entering into any settlement, undertaking, consent decree, stipulation or agreement with any governmental authority in connection with the transactions contemplated hereby, and divesting, holding separate (including by establishing a trust or otherwise) or taking any other action with respect to any of Parent’s or any of its affiliate’s businesses, assets or properties as of the date of this Agreement; provided, however, that no Party shall be required to commit to or effect any action that is not conditioned upon the consummation of the Merger.

(x) *Outside date (Example 6—90 days)*

(b) In furtherance and not in limitation of the provisions of Section 6.3(a), each of the parties, as applicable, agrees to prepare and file as promptly as reasonably practicable any filings required to be made under any Antitrust Law or Foreign Merger Control Law; provided that, in any event, an appropriate Notification and Report Form pursuant to the HSR Act shall be prepared and filed as soon as reasonably practicable but in no event later than eight Business Days. Parent shall pay all filing fees for the filings required under any Antitrust Law or Foreign Merger Control Law by the Company and Parent. Each of Parent and the Company agrees to promptly comply with any Request for Additional Information and Documentary Materials (a “Second Request”) from the relevant Governmental Entity pursuant to the HSR Act and in any event within ninety calendar days of receipt of such Second Request.

(xi) *Outside date (Example 7—90 days)*

(c) Without limiting the generality of Section 6.09(b), in the event the Company or Parent receives a Second Request in connection with the Transactions, such party will comply with such Second Request as provided by the HSR Act not more than ninety (90) days from the date of service of the Second Request. For purposes of this provision, a party shall be deemed to have complied with any such request by providing a response that the party in good faith believes to be in substantial compliance notwithstanding any Governmental



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Authority's ultimate refusal to certify substantial compliance within the ninety (90) day period. In the event that a party receives a subpoena or civil investigative demand requesting materials and information similar to that usually demanded in a Second Request, such party shall comply with such subpoena or civil investigative demand not more than ninety (90) days from the date of service of the subpoena or civil investigative demand. In the event the Governmental Authority disputes the adequacy of compliance by a party with respect to a Second Request, subpoena, or civil investigative demand, the party shall endeavor to satisfy the Governmental Authority so as to minimize any delay in the conduct or resolution of the investigation. For purposes of determining the Additional Per Share Consideration (if any), the number of days included in the Ticking Fee Period shall be reduced by one day for each day following the later of (i) the sixtieth (60th) day from the date of service of the Second Request and (ii) the date on which Parent, but not the Company, has certified substantial compliance with a Second Request until, in each case, the day on which the Company certifies substantial compliance with such Second Request.

(xii) *Outside date (Example 8—120 days)*

Section 5.03(b)(ii). Request for Additional Information. Parent and the Company each shall, and shall cause their respective Subsidiaries to, supply as promptly as practicable any information and documentary material that may be requested by any Governmental Entity pursuant to Antitrust Laws or any other applicable Laws. If a request for additional information has been issued under the HSR Act or a civil investigative demand or subpoena has been issued pursuant to any other Antitrust Law, Parent and the Company shall supply, or cause to be supplied, the information necessary for such party to certify substantial compliance with the applicable Governmental Entity in connection with such a request as promptly as practicable such that Parent and the Company, as applicable, can, if required to do so, certify substantial compliance with such request as soon as practicable after the issuance thereof, but in no event later than 120 days of the issuance thereof.

(xiii) *Outside date for U.S. and EU*

Section 5.4(b). In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing: (i) each party hereto agrees to make an appropriate filing of a Notification and Report Form pursuant to the HSR Act with respect to the Transactions as soon as practicable and in any event within ten (10) business days of the date hereof (unless the parties otherwise agree to a different date), and to supply as soon as practicable any additional information and documentary material that may be requested pursuant to the HSR Act and use its reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all other actions consistent with this Section 5.4 necessary to cause the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting periods under the HSR Act (including any extensions thereof) as soon as practicable; (ii) Parent agrees to file with the European Commission as soon as practicable the Form CO, if any, required for the Transactions



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

pursuant to the EC Merger Regulation and to supply as soon as practical any additional information and documentary material that may be required or requested by the European Commission and use its reasonable best efforts to take or cause to be taken all other actions consistent with this Section 5.4 necessary to obtain any necessary approvals, consents, waivers, permits, authorizations or other actions or non-actions from the European Commission as soon as practicable. The parties agree that they will use their reasonable best efforts to ensure that they have “substantially complied” with any “second request” for information from the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) or U.S. Department of Justice (“DOJ”) or similar request by the European Commission or other Governmental Authority under any Foreign Antitrust Law by October 15, 2011 if such compliance is required for clearance or approval.^[1]

(xiv) *Deferral of compliance (with capped completion date)*

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Parent may, if Parent in good faith believes it to be necessary or advisable to do so in order to permit the satisfaction of the conditions set forth in Section 6.1(b) and Section 6.1(c) or in order to avoid a Triggering Divestiture, elect not to certify compliance with any such Second Request until the date that is seven months after the date hereof, but in no event shall Parent delay certification of compliance with any such Second Request beyond such date that is seven months after the date hereof.

i. Defining second request compliance

(i) *Simple provision*

For purposes of this provision, a party shall be deemed to have complied with any such request by providing a response that the party in good faith believes to be in substantial compliance as promptly as is practicable. In the event a Governmental Authority disputes the adequacy of compliance by a party with respect to a Second Request, the party shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to endeavor to satisfy the Governmental Authority so as to minimize any delay in the conduct or resolution of the investigation.

¹ An end date of October 15, 2011 would have provided approximately five months for compliance with a second request.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

j. Allocating filing and second request costs

(i) *Parent pays fees*

Parent shall pay all filing fees and other charges for the filings required under any Antitrust Law by the Company and Parent.

(ii) *Allocating fees for HSR filing (1/2 to each party)*

Fees and Expenses. (a) Except as set forth in this Section 8.03, all Expenses incurred in connection with this Agreement and the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall be paid by the party incurring such expenses, whether or not the Mergers or any other transaction is consummated, except that (i) Trulia and Zillow shall each pay one-half of all Expenses relating to (A) printing and mailing the Joint Proxy Statement and (B) the filing fee for the Notification and Report Forms filed under the HSR Act and . . .

(iii) *Allocating fees for merger control filings in all jurisdictions (1/2 to each party)*

Fees and Expenses. Except as set forth in this Section 6.5 and in Section 8.2, all fees and expenses incurred in connection with the Merger, this Agreement and the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall be paid by the party incurring such fees or expenses, whether or not the Mergers are consummated, except that each of Diamond and Orion shall bear and pay one-half of the costs and expenses (other than the fees and expenses of each party's attorneys and accountants, which shall be borne by the party incurring such expenses) incurred by the parties hereto in connection with (i) the filing, printing and mailing of the Form S-4 and the Joint Proxy Statement (including SEC filing fees) and (ii) the filings of the premerger notification and report forms under the HSR Act and similar laws of other jurisdictions (including filing fees).

(iv) *Allocating fees for second request costs*

The fees of legal counsel, economic consultants, eDiscovery and other professional service providers of the Company incurred in connection with any Second Request (the "Second Request Costs") shall be borne as follows: (A) Second Request Costs less than or equal to \$2,000,000 shall be borne by the Sellers; (B) Second Request Costs greater than \$2,000,000 and less than or equal to \$4,000,000 shall be borne by the Buyer; (C) Second Request Costs greater than \$4,000,000 and less than or equal to \$6,000,000 shall be borne by the Sellers; (D) Second Request Costs greater than \$6,000,000 and less than or equal to \$8,000,000 shall be borne by the Buyer; (E) Second Request Costs greater than \$8,000,000 and less than or equal to \$9,000,000 shall be borne by the Sellers; (F) Second Request Costs greater than \$9,000,000 and less than or equal to \$10,000,000 shall be borne by the Buyer; and (G) Second Request Costs greater than \$10,000,000 shall be borne as mutually



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

agreed by the Buyer and the Sellers. The aggregate amount of the Second Requests Costs borne by the Buyer pursuant to this Section 6.7(a) shall be the “Buyer Second Requests Costs”; provided, that, for the avoidance of doubt, the Buyer Second Requests Costs shall not exceed \$5,000,000. If the Closing occurs, the Buyer Second Request Costs shall be included in the calculation, and payable at the time of the payment, of the Estimated Closing Consideration. If this Agreement is terminated (other than a termination pursuant to Section 10.1(b)(ii) based on a breach of any of the Fundamental Representations or failure to perform the covenants or agreements set forth in Section 6.3 or otherwise based on Willful Breach or Fraud), the Buyer Second Request Costs shall be payable by the Buyer to the Seller promptly following such termination. The parties agree to use commercially reasonable efforts to cooperate to the extent reasonably practicable and to respond to a Second Request in an efficient and expeditious manner. Within ten (10) Business Days following the end of each month following a Second Request, the Company will deliver, or cause to be delivered, to the Buyer a detailed statement setting out the Second Request Costs incurred in such month and a good faith projection of the Second Request Costs they expect to occur in the next month.

Note: A subsequent provision in the Termination section provided for termination “by either the Seller Representative or the Buyer if (i) the Second Request Costs exceed \$10,000,000 or (ii) a Governmental Authority commences an Action pursuant to the HSR Act relating to the Transactions.

k. Representation on secondary filings

(i)

The Company does not own, directly or indirectly, any voting interest in any Person that requires an additional filing by Parent under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (the “HSR Act”).

VII. Cooperation Covenants

a. Detailed cooperation covenant

(i) *Example 1*

To the extent permitted by applicable Legal Requirements, each of Parent and the Company shall consult and cooperate with one another, and consider in good faith the views of one another, in connection with any analyses, appearances, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals made or submitted by or on behalf



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

of any party hereto in connection with proceedings under or relating to the HSR Act or any applicable foreign antitrust or competition-related Legal Requirement. Parent and the Company shall cooperate fully with each other in connection with the making of all such filings or responses.

(ii) *Example 2*

Parent and the Company shall each keep the other apprised of the status of matters relating to the completion of the Merger and work cooperatively in connection with obtaining all required (whether by Law or in order to satisfy the condition set forth in Section 6.1(c)) consents, authorizations, Orders or approvals of, or any exemptions by, any Governmental Entity undertaken pursuant to the provisions of this Section 5.7. In that regard, prior to the Closing, each party to this Agreement shall promptly

(i) consult with the other parties to this Agreement with respect to and provide any necessary information and assistance as the other parties may reasonably request with respect to (and, in the case of correspondence, provide the other parties (or their counsel) with copies of) all notices, submissions or filings made by or on behalf of such party with any Governmental Entity or any other information supplied by or on behalf of such party to, or correspondence with, a Governmental Entity in connection with this Agreement and the Merger, and

(ii) inform the other parties to this Agreement, and if in writing, furnish the other parties with copies of (or, in the case of oral communications, advise the other parties orally of) any Substantive Communication from or to any Governmental Entity regarding the Merger, and permit the other parties to review and discuss in advance, and consider in good faith the views of the other parties in connection with, any proposed communication or submission with any such Governmental Entity;

provided, however, that Parent shall have the principal responsibility for devising and implementing the strategy for obtaining any necessary antitrust consents or approvals and shall lead and direct all submissions to, meetings and communications with any Governmental Entity or other party in connection with antitrust matters, including litigation matters with respect to any Antitrust Law; *provided, however*, that no party shall participate in any meeting or Substantive Communication with any Governmental Entity in connection with this Agreement and the Merger unless it consults with the other party in advance and, to the extent not prohibited by such Governmental Entity, gives the other party the opportunity to attend and participate therein or thereat.

(iii) *Example 3*

Without limiting, and subject to, Section 5.04(b)(ii) the parties agree to use good faith efforts to (A) give each other reasonable advance notice of all meetings with any



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Governmental Entity relating to the Antitrust Laws, (B) to the extent not prohibited by such Governmental Entity, not participate independently in any such meeting without first giving the other party (or the other party's outside counsel) an opportunity to attend and participate in such meeting, (C) to the extent practicable, give the other party reasonable advance notice of all oral communications with any Governmental Entity relating to Antitrust Laws, (D) if any Governmental Entity initiates an oral communication regarding the Antitrust Laws, promptly notify the other party of the substance of such communication, (E) provide each other with a reasonable advance opportunity to review and comment upon and consider in good faith the views of the other in connection with all written communications (including any analyses, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals made or submitted by or on behalf of any party hereto relating to proceedings under the Antitrust Laws) with a Governmental Entity regarding the Antitrust Laws and (F) promptly provide each other with copies of all written communications to or from any Governmental Entity relating to the Antitrust Laws. The parties may, as they deem advisable and necessary, designate any competitively sensitive materials provided to the other under this Section 5.04 as "outside counsel only." Such materials and the information contained therein shall be given only to outside counsel and previously-agreed outside economic consultants of the recipient and will not be disclosed by such outside counsel or outside economic consultants to employees, officers, or directors of the recipient without the advance written consent of the party providing such materials.

(iv) *Example 4*

Each of the Company and Parent shall, in connection with the Offer, the Merger and the transactions contemplated hereby, with respect to actions taken on or after the date of this Agreement, without limitation: (1) promptly notify the other of, and if in writing, furnish the other with copies of (or, in the case of oral communications, advise the other of) any communications from or with any Governmental Authority with respect to the Offer, the Merger or the other transactions contemplated hereby, (2) permit the other to review and discuss in advance, and consider in good faith the view of the other in connection with, any proposed written or oral communication with any Governmental Authority, (3) not participate in any substantive meeting or have any substantive communication with any Governmental Authority unless it has given the other party a reasonable opportunity to consult with it in advance and, to the extent permitted by such Governmental Authority, gives the other the opportunity to attend and participate therein, (4) furnish the other party's outside legal counsel with copies of all filings and communications between it and any such Governmental Authority with respect to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby; *provided* that such material may be redacted as necessary (I) to comply with contractual arrangements, (II) to address good faith legal privilege or confidentiality concerns and (III) to comply with applicable Law and (5) furnish the other party's outside legal counsel with such necessary information and reasonable assistance as



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

the other party's outside legal counsel may reasonably request in connection with its preparation of necessary submissions of information to any such Governmental Authority.

(v) *Example 5*

(f) Each of the Company and Parent shall, in connection with the Transactions, with respect to actions taken on or after the date of this Agreement, without limitation:

(i) promptly notify the other of, and if in writing, furnish the other with copies of any communications from or with any Governmental Authority with respect to the Transactions;

(ii) permit the other to review and discuss in advance, and consider in good faith the view of the other in connection with, any proposed written or oral communication with any Governmental Authority;

(iii) not participate in any substantive meeting or have any substantive communication with any Governmental Authority unless it has given the other party a reasonable opportunity to consult with it in advance and, to the extent permitted by such Governmental Authority, gives the other the opportunity to attend and participate therein;

(iv) furnish the other party's outside legal counsel with copies of all filings and communications between it and any such Governmental Authority with respect to the Transactions;

provided, however, that such material may be redacted as necessary to (A) comply with contractual arrangements, (B) address legal privilege or confidentiality concerns and (C) comply with applicable Law; and (v) furnish the other party's outside legal counsel with such necessary information and reasonable assistance as the other party's outside legal counsel may reasonably request in connection with its preparation of necessary submissions of information to any such Governmental Authority. The Company and Parent may, as each deems advisable and necessary, reasonably designate any competitively sensitive material provided to the other under this Section 6.09 as "Antitrust Counsel Only Material". Such materials and the information contained therein shall be given only to the outside antitrust counsel of the recipient and designated in-house counsel of Parent approved by the Company and will not be disclosed by such outside counsel or in-house counsel to directors, officers, other employees, potential Financing Sources or other Representatives of the recipient unless express permission is obtained in advance from the source of the materials (the Company or Parent as the case may be) or its outside legal counsel. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 6.09, materials provided to the other party or its outside legal counsel may be redacted to remove references concerning the valuation of the Company and its Subsidiaries or as regards Parent's plans for conducting its business or that of the Company and its Subsidiaries after consummation of the Transactions.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(vi) *Example 6*

To the extent not prohibited by applicable Law, each party shall use its reasonable best efforts to furnish to the other parties all information required for any application, notification or other filing to be made pursuant to any applicable Laws in connection with the Merger and the transactions contemplated hereby. Parent and the Company shall give each other reasonable prior notice of any communication with, and any proposed understanding, undertaking or agreement with, any Governmental Entity regarding any such applications, notifications or filings or any such transaction. The parties hereto agree that both Parent and Company shall be represented at all in-person meetings and in all substantive conversations with any Governmental Entity regarding the matters set forth in this Section 7.5, except (i) if, and to the extent that, any Governmental Entity objects to any party's being represented at any such meeting or in any such conversation and (ii) to the extent that the communication or meeting relates to a national security risk mitigation agreement between Parent and CFIUS or any of its constituent agencies. The parties hereto will consult and cooperate with one another in connection with any analyses, appearances, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals made or submitted by or on behalf of any party hereto in connection with proceedings under or relating to the HSR Act, the Foreign Merger Control Laws, any other applicable foreign Competition Laws and Exon-Florio. Unless Parent and the Company agree otherwise, Parent shall take the lead in coordinating any applications, notifications or filings, obtaining any necessary approvals, and resolving any investigation or other inquiry of any such agency or other Governmental Entity under the HSR Act, the Foreign Merger Control Laws, any other applicable foreign Competition Laws and Exon-Florio.

(vii) *Example 7*

(b) Subject to Section 7.2(c) [litigation obligation] and Section 7.2(d) [obligation to fix], applicable Law and all applicable privileges (including the attorney-client privilege) and except as prohibited by any Governmental Entity, each of Parent and the Company shall, in connection with the efforts referenced in Section 7.2(a) to obtain all waiting period expirations or terminations, consents, clearances, waivers, licenses, orders, registrations, approvals, permits, and authorizations for the Transactions under the HSR Act or any other Antitrust Law,

(i) cooperate in all respects and consult with each other in connection with any filing or submission and in connection with any investigation or other inquiry, including any proceeding initiated by a private party, including by allowing the other Party to have a reasonable opportunity to review in advance and comment on drafts of filings and submissions;

(ii) promptly inform the other Party of any communication received by such Party from, or given by such Party to, the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice (the "DOJ"), the



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Federal Trade Commission (the “FTC”) or any other Governmental Entity, by promptly providing copies to the other Party of any such written communications, and of any material communication received or given in connection with any proceeding by a private party, in each case regarding any of the Transactions; and (iii) permit the other Party to review in advance any communication that it gives to, and consult with each other in advance of any meeting, substantive telephone call or conference with, the DOJ, the FTC or any other Governmental Entity, or, in connection with any proceeding by a private party, with any other Person, and to the extent not prohibited by the DOJ, the FTC or any other applicable Governmental Entity or other Person, give the other Party the opportunity to attend and participate in any in-person meetings with the DOJ, the FTC or any other Governmental Entity or other Person;

provided, however, that materials required to be provided pursuant to clauses (i) and (ii) may be redacted (A) to remove references concerning the valuation of Parent, Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries, (B) as necessary to comply with contractual arrangements, and (C) as necessary to address reasonable privilege or confidentiality concerns.

(viii) *Example 8*

(b) The parties shall jointly develop, and each of the parties shall consult and cooperate in all respects with one another, and consider in good faith the views of one another, in connection with the form and content of any analyses, appearances, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals made or submitted by or on behalf of any party, hereto in connection with proceedings under or relating to any Antitrust Law prior to their submission. Each of the parties shall (i) promptly notify the other party of any communication inquiry or investigation received by that party from, or given by it to, any Governmental Entity and, subject to Applicable Law, permit the other party to review in advance any proposed communication to any such Governmental Entity and incorporate the other party’s reasonable comments, (ii) not agree to participate in any meeting or discussion with any such Governmental Entity in respect of any filing, investigation or inquiry concerning this Agreement or the Mergers unless, to the extent reasonably practicable, it consults with the other party in advance and, to the extent permitted by such Governmental Entity, gives the other party the opportunity to attend and participate therein and (iii) promptly furnish the other party with copies of all correspondence, filings and written communications between them and their affiliates and their respective officers, directors, employees and Representatives, on one hand, and any such Governmental Entity or its respective staff on the other hand, with respect to this Agreement and the Merger in order for such other party to meaningfully consult and participate in accordance with the preceding clauses (i) and (ii), provided that materials furnished pursuant to this Section 6.3(b) may be redacted as necessary to address reasonable attorney-client or other privilege or confidentiality concerns.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

b. Joint cooperation (with escalation of disputes to particular named individuals)

(i) *Escalation to general counsels*

Parent and the Company shall coordinate with respect to Antitrust Laws and Communications Laws and with respect to the appropriate course of action with respect to obtaining the consents, approvals, permits, waiting period expirations or authorizations of any Governmental Entity required to consummate the Transactions prior to the Termination Date. In addition, the parties shall jointly develop, and each of the parties shall consult and reasonably cooperate with one another, and consider in good faith the views of one another, in connection with the form and content of any analyses, appearances, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals made or submitted by or on behalf of any party hereto in connection with proceedings under or relating to any Antitrust Law prior to their submission. In furtherance of the foregoing and to the extent permitted by applicable Law, (A) each party shall notify the other, as far in advance as reasonably practicable, of any filing or material or substantive communication or inquiry it or any of its Subsidiaries intends to make with any Governmental Entity relating to the matters that are the subject of this Section 5.06(a), (B) prior to submitting any such filing or making any such communication or inquiry, such party shall provide the other party and its counsel a reasonable opportunity to review, and shall consider in good faith the comments of the other party in connection with, any such filing, communication or inquiry, (C) promptly following the submission of such filing or making such communication or inquiry, provide the other party with a copy of any such filing or, if in written form, communication or inquiry, and (D) consult with the other party in connection with any inquiry, hearing, investigation or litigation by, or negotiations with, any Governmental Entity relating to the Transactions, including the scheduling of, and strategic planning for, any meetings with any Governmental Entity relating thereto; *provided* that materials furnished pursuant to this Section 5.06 may be redacted as necessary to address reasonable attorney-client or other privilege or confidentiality concerns. In exercising the foregoing rights, the Company and Parent each shall act reasonably and as promptly as reasonably practicable. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event of any dispute between the parties relating to the strategy or appropriate course of action or content of any submission made in connection with obtaining any clearances under applicable Antitrust Laws or Communications Laws with respect to the Transactions, the parties shall escalate such dispute to the general counsels of the Company and Parent for resolution. If such dispute is not resolved pursuant to the preceding sentence, Parent shall have the right, in its sole discretion, to make the final determination with respect to such matter.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *Escalation to named individuals*

(b) *Cooperation.* Buyer Parent and Seller Parent shall jointly control all communications with any Governmental Authority relating to Antitrust Laws, and determine and direct the strategy and process by which the Parties will seek required approvals relating to Antitrust Laws. If the Parties initially disagree upon any such proposed communication, strategy or process, the Parties agree to work together in good faith to resolve the disagreement and endeavor to implement such communication, strategy or process in a mutually acceptable manner; *provided* that to the extent that a disagreement is unresolved after good faith discussions between [Person A] of Buyer Parent and [Person B] of Seller Parent and other such executive officers as they may deem appropriate of Buyer Parent and Seller Parent, the implementation of such communication, strategy or process will be controlled by Buyer Parent after full consideration to the views of Seller Parent.

c. Provision for the exchange of filings and submissions

(i) *Example 1*

[Each party shall . . .] (iii) promptly notify each other of any communication concerning this Agreement or the Transactions to that party from any Governmental Entity, it being understood that correspondence, filings and communications received from any Governmental Entity shall be immediately provided to the other party upon receipt, subject in appropriate cases to appropriate confidentiality agreements to limit disclosure to outside lawyers and consultants;

(ii) *Example 2*

Within five (5) business days after the date hereof, to the extent legally permitted, each of the Company and Parent shall provide to counsel for the other party all filings and written submissions, including attachments thereto, made by the Company to any Governmental Entity regarding the Transactions, *provided* that each party shall be entitled to redact competitively sensitive information and any information relating to Company valuation and similar matters relating to the Transactions.

(iii) *Example 3*

Each of Parent and the Company will promptly furnish to the other (x) all necessary information as the other may reasonably request in connection with the preparation of any filing or submission pursuant to the HSR Act and (y) copies of all written communications (and memoranda setting forth the substance of any oral communication) with any Governmental Authority in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement; *provided, however*, that Parent or Company can redact discussions of the



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

transaction value and reasonably designate applicable materials as for review by the other's outside counsel only.

(iv) *Example 4*

(v) promptly furnish the other party, subject in appropriate cases to appropriate confidentiality agreements to limit disclosure to outside lawyers and consultants, with draft copies prior to submission to a Governmental Entity, with reasonable time and opportunity to comment and consult, of all correspondence, filings and communications (and memoranda setting forth the substance thereof) that they, their Affiliates or their respective representatives intend to submit to any Governmental Entity;

...

(vii) deliver to the other party's outside counsel complete copies of all documents furnished to any Governmental Entity as part of any filing, subject to appropriate confidentiality agreements.

d. Notification of communications

Each of Parent and the Company shall notify the other promptly upon the receipt of: (i) any communication from any official of any Governmental Body in connection with any filing made pursuant to this Agreement; (ii) knowledge of the commencement or threat of commencement of any Legal Proceeding by or before any Governmental Body with respect to the Contemplated Transactions (and shall keep the other party informed as to the status of any such Legal Proceeding or threat); and (iii) any request by any official of any Governmental Body for any amendment or supplement to any filing made pursuant to this Agreement or any information required to comply with any Legal Requirements applicable to the Contemplated Transactions. Whenever any event occurs that is required to be set forth in an amendment or supplement to any filing made pursuant to Section 5.3(a), Parent or the Company, as the case may be, shall (promptly upon learning of the occurrence of such event) inform the other of the occurrence of such event and cooperate in filing with the applicable Governmental Body such amendment or supplement.

e. Provision for the furnishing information to other side and governmental authorities

(i) *Example 1*

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, the Company and Parent shall, as promptly as practicable, (i) upon the reasonable request of the other party, furnish to such party and upon any reasonable request from a Governmental Authority, furnish to such Governmental Authority, any information or documentation concerning themselves, their



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Affiliates, directors, officers and securityholders, information or documentation concerning the Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby and such other matters as may be requested and (ii) make available their respective personnel and advisers to each other and, upon reasonable request, any Governmental Authority, in connection with (A) the preparation of any Filing made by or on their behalf to any Governmental Authority in connection with the Merger or the other transactions contemplated hereby or (B) any investigation, review or approval process.

(ii) *Example 2*

(vi) promptly furnish the other party, subject in appropriate cases to appropriate confidentiality agreements to limit disclosure to outside lawyers and consultants, with such necessary information and reasonable assistance as such other party and its Affiliates may reasonably request in connection with their preparation of necessary filings, registrations or submissions of information to any Governmental Entity, including, without limitation, any filings necessary or appropriate under the provisions of Regulatory Laws;

f. Coordination of second request response

If any Party or Affiliate thereof receives a request for additional information or documentary material from any Governmental Authority with respect to the Merger pursuant to the HSR Act or any other Antitrust Laws applicable to the Merger, then such Party will use reasonable best efforts to make (or cause to be made), as soon as reasonably practicable and after consultation with the other Parties, an appropriate response in compliance with such request.

g. Right to review submissions to third parties as well as government agencies

Subject to applicable Laws relating to the exchange of information, Parent shall have the right to devise and implement the strategy and timing for obtaining any clearances required under any Antitrust Law in connection with the Merger; *provided*, that such strategy shall be designed to obtain such clearances as promptly as reasonably practicable but in no event later than the Outside Date; *provided, further*, that Parent and the Company shall have the right to review in advance and, to the extent reasonably practicable, each will consult with the other on and consider in good faith the views of the other in connection with, all of the information relating to Parent or the Company, as the case may be, and any of their respective Subsidiaries, that appears in any filing made with, or written materials submitted or communications made to, any third party and/or any Governmental Authority in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

h. Provisions for agency meetings

(i) *Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 1)*

The parties hereto agree that both Parent and Company shall be represented at all in person meetings and in all substantive conversations with any Governmental Entity regarding the matters set forth in this Section 7.4, except if, and to the extent, that any Governmental Entity objects to any party's being represented at any such meeting or in any such conversation and such objection has not been withdrawn after the parties' have used their reasonable best efforts to contest such objection.

(ii) *Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 2—explicitly includes conference calls)*

In addition, except as may be prohibited by any Governmental Authority or by any applicable Law each party hereto will permit authorized representatives of the other parties to be present at each meeting, conference or telephone call and to have access to and be consulted in connection with any document, opinion or proposal made or submitted to any Governmental Authority in connection with such request or proceeding.

(iii) *Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 3—if “reasonably practicable”)*

To the extent permitted by applicable Law, each party shall provide the other with copies of all material written correspondence between it (or its advisors) and any Governmental Entity relating to the Mergers and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement and, to the extent reasonably practicable, all telephone calls and meetings with a Governmental Entity regarding the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall include representatives of Parent and the Company.

(iv) *Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 4—with consent decree negotiations)*

Each party shall (i) consult and cooperate with the other party regarding, allow the other party to have a reasonable opportunity to review in advance prior to their submission (if applicable) and consider in good faith the views of the other party regarding the form and content of, any filings, correspondence, written communications, analyses, appearances, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals made or submitted by or on behalf of either party in connection with proceedings under or relating to any Antitrust Laws, (ii) promptly furnish the other party with copies of all correspondence, filings and written communications between them and their Affiliates and their respective representatives, on the one hand, and any such Governmental Authority or its respective staff on the other hand, with respect to this Agreement and the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and (iii) give the other party the opportunity to attend and participate in any in-person meetings, and to the extent reasonably practicable, substantive telephone



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

calls with the DOJ, the FTC or any other Governmental Authority (to the extent permitted by the DOJ, the FTC or such Governmental Authority) with respect to the subject matter of this Section 5.6 (including with respect to any of the actions referred to in Section 5.6(d) and Section 5.6(e) [consent decree negotiations]) and, if the other party is prohibited by applicable Laws or by the DOJ, the FTC or such Governmental Authority from attending and participating in any such meetings or calls, keep the other party reasonably apprised with respect thereto to the extent permitted under applicable Law.

(v) *Reciprocal right to attend meetings (Example 5—with some asymmetry)*

The Company will consult with Parent prior to any meetings, by telephone or in person, with the staff of a Governmental Authority in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, and Parent will have the right to have a representative present at any such meeting to the extent permitted by such Governmental Authority and reasonably practical. Parent will consult with the Company prior to any meetings, by telephone or in person, with the staff of a Governmental Authority in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, and the Company will have the right to have a representative present at any such meeting to the extent permitted by such Governmental Authority and reasonably practical.

(vi) *Right to attend meetings (with prior written consent exception)*

Unless prohibited by Applicable Law or by the applicable Governmental Authority, each of the Company and Parent shall (i) to the extent reasonably practicable, not participate in or attend any meeting, or engage in any substantive conversation with any Governmental Authority in respect of the Merger (including with respect to any of the actions referred to in Section 8.01(a)) without the other (provided that, subject to Section 8.01(c), either party may participate in or attend any such non-substantive meeting), (ii) to the extent reasonably practicable, give the other reasonable prior notice of any such meeting or conversation and (iii) in the event one party is prohibited by Applicable Law or by the applicable Governmental Authority from participating or attending any such meeting or engaging in any such conversation, keep such party reasonably apprised with respect thereto; *provided that*, Parent or its representatives may conduct such a meeting or conversation without the Company or its representatives present upon the prior written consent of the Company (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed).

(vii) *Right to attend meetings (with limited carveout)*

[Each party shall not . . .] (iv) not participate or agree to participate in any meeting or substantive discussion (including any discussion relating to the antitrust merits, any potential remedies, commitments or undertakings, the timing of any waivers, consents,



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

approvals, permits, orders or authorizations, and any agreement regarding the timing of consummation of the Transactions) with any Governmental Entity relating to any filings or investigation concerning this Agreement or the Transactions unless it consults with the other party and its Representatives in advance and invites the other party's Representatives to attend, subject in appropriate cases to appropriate confidentiality agreements to limit disclosure to outside lawyers and consultants, unless the Governmental Entity prohibits such attendance; *provided, however*, that the requirements of this clause (iv) shall not apply to any meeting or substantive discussion between GE or its Representatives, on the one hand, and any Governmental Entity, on the other hand, to the extent (1) such a meeting or discussion relates to the sale or disposal of a GE business to the extent that it is not required by a Governmental Entity as part of a potential remedy, commitment or undertaking, and (2) GE has sought BHI's consent to a waiver of the requirements of this clause (iv), which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld;

(viii) *Efforts not to preclude opportunity to attend*

In connection with the parties' obligations under this Section 7.02(b), each party shall use its reasonable best efforts to ensure that the other parties are not prohibited by any Governmental Authority from participating in any meetings, discussions, negotiations, conferences or other communications with such Governmental Authority.

(ix) *No independent meetings*

No party hereto shall independently participate in any formal meeting with any Governmental Body in respect of any such filings, investigation, or other inquiry without giving the other parties hereto prior notice of the meeting and, to the extent permitted by such Governmental Body, the opportunity to attend and/or participate.

(x) *No participation unless both sides attend*

Each of Parent and the Company shall . . . (C) unless prohibited by applicable Law or by the applicable Governmental Entity, and to the extent reasonably practicable, (1) not participate in or attend any meeting, or engage in any substantive conversation, with any Governmental Entity in respect of the Merger or any of the other Transactions without the other party, (2) give the other party reasonable prior notice of any such meeting or conversation, (3) in the event one party is prohibited by applicable Law or by the applicable Governmental Entity from participating in or attending any such meeting or engaging in any such conversation, keep such party apprised with respect thereto, (4) cooperate in the filing of any substantive memoranda, white papers, filings, correspondence or other written communications explaining or defending this Agreement, the Merger or any of the other Transactions, articulating any regulatory or competitive argument or responding to requests or objections made by any Governmental



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Entity and (5) furnish the other party with copies of all filings, submissions, correspondence and communications (and memoranda setting forth the substance thereof) between it and its affiliates and their respective Representatives, on the one hand, and any Governmental Entity or members of any Governmental Entity's staff, on the other hand, with respect to this Agreement, the Merger and the other Transactions.

(xi) *No participation by executives unless both sides attend*

(d) *Meetings.* Subject to applicable Law, neither Seller Parent nor Buyer Parent (nor any of their respective Affiliates) shall permit any of its officers or any other representatives or agents to participate in any substantive meeting or discussion with any Governmental Authority with jurisdiction over enforcement of any applicable antitrust or competition Laws ("Government Antitrust Authority") in respect of any filings, investigation or other inquiry unless it consults with the other in advance and, to the extent permitted by such Government Antitrust Authority, gives the other the opportunity to attend and participate.

i. Outside counsel information carveouts

(i) *Example 1*

Notwithstanding the foregoing and subject to the Confidentiality Agreement and the Joint Defense Agreement between Parent, the Company and their respective counsel dated February 3, 2016, Parent and the Company may, as each deems advisable and necessary, reasonably designate any competitively sensitive material provided to the other under this Section 5.7(b) as "Outside Counsel Only Material." Such materials and the information contained therein shall be given only to the outside counsel of the recipient and will not be disclosed by such outside counsel to employees, officers or directors of the recipient unless express permission is obtained in advance from the source of the materials (Parent or the Company, as the case may be) or its legal counsel. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 5.7, materials provided pursuant to this Section 5.7 may be redacted (i) to remove references concerning the valuation of the Company and the Merger, (ii) as necessary to comply with contractual arrangements and (iii) as necessary to address reasonable privilege concerns.

(ii) *Example 2*

Each of the Company and Parent may, as each deems advisable and necessary, reasonably designate any competitively sensitive material provided to the other under this Section 8.01 as "Outside Counsel Only Material." Such materials and the information contained therein shall be given only to the outside counsel of the recipient and, subject to any additional confidentiality or joint defense agreement the parties may mutually propose and enter into,



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

will not be disclosed by such outside counsel to employees, officers or directors of the recipient unless express permission is obtained in advance from the source of the materials (the Company or Parent, as the case may be) or its legal counsel. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 8.01, materials provided to the other party or its outside counsel may be redacted (i) to remove references concerning valuation, (ii) as necessary to comply with contractual arrangements and (iii) as necessary to address reasonable attorney-client or other privilege or confidentiality concerns.

j. Seller cooperation in Purchaser filings

(i) *Example 1*

The parties hereto shall cooperate and assist one another in connection with all actions to be taken pursuant to Section 7.08(a), including the preparation and making of the filings referred to therein and, if requested, amending or furnishing additional information hereunder. The Company shall use its reasonable best efforts to provide or cause to be provided promptly to Parent all necessary information and assistance as any Governmental Authority may from time to time require in connection with obtaining the relevant waivers, permits, consents, approvals, authorizations, qualifications, Orders or expiration of waiting periods in relation to these filings or in connection with any other review or investigation of the Transactions by a Governmental Authority. The Company shall use its reasonable best efforts to provide or cause to be provided promptly all assistance and cooperation to allow Parent to prepare and submit any filings or submissions under the HSR Act, the EU Merger Regulation or other applicable foreign, federal, state or supranational antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Laws, including providing to Parent any information that Parent may from time to time require for the purpose of any filing, notification, application or request for further information made in respect of any such filing.

(ii) *Example 2*

(iv) respond as promptly as practicable under the circumstances to any inquiries received from any Governmental Entity or any other authority enforcing applicable antitrust, competition, trade regulation or similar Laws for additional information or documentation in connection with antitrust, competition, trade regulation or similar matters;

(v) without limiting the generality of Section 6.3(d)(iv), (A) use its reasonable best efforts to achieve Substantial Compliance as promptly as practicable with any request for additional information or documentary material issued by a Governmental Entity under 15 U.S.C. Sect. 18a(e) and in conjunction with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement (a "Second Request"), (B) certify Substantial Compliance with any Second Request as promptly as practicable after the date of such Second Request, but in no event



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

later than September 30, 2010 [approximately 5 months from the date of the agreement], (C) take all actions necessary to assert, defend and support its certification of Substantial Compliance with such Second Request and (D) not extend any waiting period under the HSR Act or enter into any agreement with such Governmental Entities or other authorities to delay, or otherwise not to consummate as soon as practicable, any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement except with the prior written consent of the other parties hereto, which consent may be withheld in the sole discretion of the non-requesting party;

k. Seller obligations to participate in defense

(i) *General obligation*

The parties hereto will consult and cooperate with one another in connection with any analyses, appearances, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals made or submitted by or on behalf of any party hereto in connection with proceedings under or relating to the HSR Act and applicable foreign Competition Laws. Parent shall take the lead in coordinating any filings, obtaining any necessary approvals, and resolving any investigation or other inquiry of any such agency or other Governmental Entity under the HSR Act and applicable foreign Competition Laws.

(ii) *Obligation to attend meetings if requested by Purchaser*

If reasonably requested by ABI or its Subsidiaries, and if not prohibited from doing so by the relevant Governmental Authority, the Company and its Subsidiaries shall, upon reasonable notice, cause an informed representative thereof to attend any one or more meetings, either by phone or in person, with ABI or its Subsidiaries before a Governmental Authority in support of obtaining the Required Approvals.

l. Buyer control of strategy and tactics

(i) *Consult on any government agreements w/Buyer final determination*

Each party shall consult with the other party and consider in good faith the views of the other party prior to entering into any agreement, arrangement, undertaking or understanding (oral or written) with any Governmental Authority relating to any Antitrust Law with respect to the Merger or the other transactions contemplated hereby; *provided*, that subject to its undertakings in Section 6.03(c), the final determination as to the appropriate course of action shall be made by Parent.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *Consult on actions and filing w/Buyer final determination*

The parties hereto shall cooperate fully with each other in connection with (y) assessing whether any action by or in respect of, or filing with, any Governmental Entity is required, in connection with the consummation of the Transactions and (z) seeking any such Consents or making any such filings; *provided, however*, that Buyer shall make the ultimate determination about which actions or filings, if any, are necessary.

(iii) *Buyer directs strategy (Example 1)*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, Parent shall determine the strategy to be pursued for obtaining and lead the effort to obtain all necessary actions or nonactions and Consents from Governmental Entities in connection with the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement and the Company shall take all reasonable actions to support Parent in connection therewith.

(iv) *Buyer directs strategy (Example 2)*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, Parent shall, on behalf of the parties, control and lead all communications and strategy for dealing with the FTC, the DOJ, and any other Governmental Entity under the HSR Act or the Foreign Merger Control Laws.

(v) *Buyer directs strategy (Example 3)*

(c) Parent shall be entitled to direct the antitrust defense of the transaction contemplated by this Agreement in any investigation or litigation by, or negotiations with, any Governmental Entity or other person relating to the Merger or regulatory filings under applicable Regulatory Law, subject to the provisions of Section 7.6(a), Section 7.6(b), Section 7.6(d) and Section 7.6(e) and provided that Parent shall consult with, and consider in good faith the views of, the Company throughout the antitrust defense of the transaction contemplated by this Agreement, including by providing the Company with reasonable opportunity to evaluate, as promptly as practicable, steps to be taken in pursuit of such defense. The Company shall not make any offer, acceptance or counter-offer to or otherwise engage in negotiations or discussions with any Governmental Entity with respect to any proposed settlement, consent decree, commitment or remedy, or, in the event of litigation, discovery, admissibility of evidence, timing or scheduling, except as specifically requested by or agreed with Parent. The Company shall use its reasonable best efforts to provide full and effective support of Parent in all material respects in all such investigations, litigation, negotiations and discussions to the extent requested by Parent.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(vi) *Buyer directs strategy (Example 4)*

(d) Parent shall, in consultation with the Company, be entitled to direct the defense of the transactions contemplated hereby before any Governmental Authority and to take the lead in the scheduling of, and strategic planning for, any meetings with, and the conducting of negotiations with, Governmental Authorities regarding the clearances and approvals of the Mergers described in clauses (x), (y) and (z) of the first sentence of Section 8.02(b), so long as Parent's actions in connection therewith are otherwise in accordance with Parent's obligations under this Section 8.02.

(vii) *Buyer directs strategy (Example 5)*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, with respect to the matters covered in this Section 6.4, it is agreed that Parent shall make all strategic decisions and lead all discussions, negotiations and other proceedings, and coordinate all activities with respect to any requests that may be made by, or any actions, consents, undertakings, approvals, or waivers that may be sought by or from, any Governmental Entity, including determining the strategy for contesting, litigating or otherwise responding to objections to, or Proceedings challenging, the consummation of the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement, in each case subject to good faith consultations with the Company reasonably in advance and consideration of the Company's views and subject to the provisions of Section 6.4(b). The Company shall not, and shall not permit any of its Representatives to, make any offer, acceptance or counter-offer to or otherwise engage in negotiations or discussions with any Governmental Entity with respect to any proposed settlement, consent decree, commitment or remedy or, in the event of litigation, discovery, admissibility of evidence, timing or scheduling, except as specifically requested by or agreed with Parent.

(viii) *Buyer directs strategy (Example 6)*

(c) Parent and the Company shall coordinate with respect to the overall strategy relating to the Antitrust Laws, including with respect to any filings, notifications, submissions and communications with or to any antitrust regulatory authority; *provided, however*, that (x) subject to the other provisions of this Section 5.6, Parent shall make the final determination as to the appropriate course of action and (y) neither Parent nor the Company shall be constrained from complying with applicable Law.

(ix) *Buyer directs strategy (Example 7)*

(f) The parties shall jointly develop, consult and cooperate with one another regarding, the strategy for obtaining any necessary approval of, or responding to any request from, inquiry by, or investigation by (including directing the timing, nature and substance of all



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

such responses), any Governmental Entity in connection with this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby, including determining the timing and content of any registrations, filings, agreements, forms, notices, petitions, statements, submissions of information, applications and other documents, communications and correspondence contemplated by, made in accordance with, or subject to this Section 7.3; *provided*, if the parties cannot agree on a jointly developed strategy, notwithstanding their respective good faith attempts to do so, Parent shall have the final say with respect to the strategy. Parent shall have the final authority to direct and implement (or direct the implementation by the Company of) the regulatory strategy; *provided*, however, that Parent will consult with the Company and consider in good faith the views of the Company in advance of making any decisions with respect to such strategy.

(x) *Buyer directs strategy (Example 8)*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement, but subject to Parent's obligations set forth in this Section 5.03, Parent shall have the right, following consultation with the Company and after giving due consideration to its views and acting reasonably and in good faith, to direct all matters with any Governmental Entity consistent with its obligations hereunder and Parent shall have the principal responsibility for devising and implementing the strategy for obtaining any necessary antitrust or competition clearances.

(xi) *Buyer directs strategy and communications (Example 1)*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary: . . . (ii) Parent shall, on behalf of the parties, control and lead all communications and strategy relating to the Antitrust Laws and litigation matters relating to the Antitrust Laws (provided that the Company is not prohibited from complying with applicable Law), subject to good faith consultations with the Company and the inclusion of the Company at meetings with Governmental Entities with respect to any discussion related to the Merger under the Antitrust Laws.

(xii) *Buyer directs strategy and communications (Example 2)*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, Parent and Purchaser shall, on behalf of the parties, control and lead all communications and strategy relating to any litigation or to obtaining all approvals, consents, waivers, registrations, permits, authorizations and other confirmations from any Governmental Entity or third party necessary, proper or advisable to consummate the Merger; *provided, however*, that Parent shall consult in advance with the Company and in good faith take the Company's views into account regarding the overall strategic direction of any such litigation or approval process, as applicable, and consult with the Company prior to taking any material



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

substantive positions, making dispositive motions or other material substantive filings or submissions or entering into any negotiations concerning such litigation or approvals, as applicable.

(xiii) *Buyer directs strategy and communications (Example 3)*

Parent shall, on behalf of the Parties, control and lead all communications and strategy relating to the Antitrust Laws (provided that the Company is not constrained from complying with applicable Law), provided, further, that the Parties shall consult and cooperate with one another, and consider in good faith the views of one another, regarding the form and content of any analyses, appearances, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals made or submitted by or on behalf of either Party in connection with proceedings under or relating to any Antitrust Law prior to their submission.

(xiv) *Buyer control of strategy, schedule, and filings (Example 1)*

Parent shall take the lead in (i) the scheduling of, and strategic planning for, any meeting with any Governmental Authority under the HSR Act or any other applicable Competition Law, (ii) the making of any filings, including the initial filings under the HSR Act, (iii) the process for the receipt of any necessary approvals and (iv) the resolution of any investigation or other inquiry of any such Governmental Authority. Without limiting the foregoing sentence, except as prohibited by Applicable Law, each of Parent and the Company shall, (A) to the extent reasonably practicable, consult with each other prior to taking any material substantive position with respect to the filings under the HSR Act or any other Competition Law in discussions with or filings to be submitted to any Governmental Authority, (B) to the extent reasonably practicable, permit the other to review and discuss in advance, and consider in good faith the views of the other in connection with, any analyses, presentations, memoranda, briefs, arguments, opinions and proposals to be submitted to any Governmental Authority with respect to filings under the HSR Act or any other Competition Law, and (C) to the extent reasonably practicable, coordinate with the other in preparing and exchanging such information and promptly provide the other (and its counsel) with copies of all filings, presentations or submissions (and a summary of any oral presentations) made by such party with any Governmental Authority relating to this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby under the HSR Act or any other Competition Law.

(xv) *Buyer lead of strategy, schedule, and filings (Example 2)*

Parent shall take the lead with respect to (i) the scheduling of, and strategic planning for, any meeting with any Governmental Authority under the HSR Act or any other Applicable



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Law, (ii) the making of any filings under the HSR Act or any other Applicable Law, (iii) the process for the receipt of any necessary approvals and (iv) the resolution of any investigation or other inquiry of any such Governmental Authority.

(xvi) *Buyer with “principal responsibility” and seller approval for delays*

provided, that, Parent, after prior, good faith consultation with the Company and after considering, in good faith, the Company’s views and comments, shall have the principal responsibility for devising and implementing the strategy for obtaining any of the Antitrust Approvals or Required Gaming Approvals and shall take the lead in all meetings and communications with, or Proceeding involving, any Governmental Authority in connection with obtaining the Antitrust Approvals and the Required Gaming Approvals; *provided, however*, that the consent of each party shall be required prior to the taking of any action (including the failure to take any such action) in connection with obtaining any Antitrust Approvals or Required Gaming Approvals if such action (or failure to act) would be reasonably likely to materially delay, or materially impair the likelihood of obtaining, any such approvals.

(xvii) *Buyer control with seller covenant to support buyer*

Parent shall be entitled to direct the antitrust defense of the Offer, the Mergers or any other transactions contemplated thereby, or negotiations with, any Governmental Authority or other Third Party relating to the Offer, the Mergers or regulatory filings under applicable competition Law, subject to the provisions of this Section 5.5. The Company shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to provide full and effective support of Parent in all material respects in all such negotiations and other discussions or actions to the extent requested by Parent.

(xviii) *Expanded provision (with buyer control proviso)*

Each party hereto will consult and cooperate with the other parties and will consider in good faith the views of the other parties in connection with any filing, analysis, appearance, presentation, memorandum, brief, argument, opinion, or proposal made or submitted in connection with the Transactions, *provided, however*, that Buyer shall have responsibility for determining the strategy for dealing with the FTC, the DOJ, and any other Governmental Authority regarding antitrust matters. In addition, except as may be prohibited by any Governmental Entity or by any applicable Law, in connection with any such request or Legal Proceeding, to the extent reasonably practicable, each party hereto will permit authorized Representatives of the other parties to be present at each substantive meeting or conference relating to such request or Legal Proceeding and to have access to and be consulted in connection with any substantive document, opinion or proposal made



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

or submitted to any Governmental Entity in connection with such request or Legal Proceeding.

(xix) *Best efforts to cooperate but with buyer control*

(b) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable Law, each of Parent and HoldCo, on the one hand, and the Company, on the other hand, shall, in connection with the efforts referenced in Section 6.04(a), use its best efforts to (i) cooperate in all respects with each other in connection with any filing or submission and in connection with any investigation or other inquiry, including any proceeding initiated by a private party; (ii) seek the Company's review and comments on strategy and submissions in a timely manner; (iii) consider in good faith the views of the other party and keep the other party reasonably informed of the status of matters related to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, including furnishing the other with any written notices or other communications received by such party from, or given by such party to, the Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC"), the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice (the "DOJ") or any other U.S. or foreign Governmental Entity or Self-Regulatory Organization and of any communication received or given in connection with any proceeding by a private party, in each case regarding any of the transactions contemplated hereby; and (iii) permit the other party to review in draft any communication to be submitted by it to, give reasonable consideration to the other party's comments thereon, and consult with each other in advance of any in-person or telephonic meeting or conference with, the FTC, the DOJ or any other Governmental Entity or Self-Regulatory Organization or, in connection with any proceeding by a private party, with any other Person, and to the extent permitted by the FTC, the DOJ or such other applicable Governmental Entity or Self-Regulatory Organization or other Person, give the other party or its Representatives the opportunity to attend and participate in such meetings and conferences in accordance with Antitrust Law; *provided, however*, that in the event of any disagreement concerning any such filing, submission, investigation, inquiry, proceeding, communication or meeting, the determination of Parent shall be final and conclusive; *provided, further*, that nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a party from responding to or complying with a subpoena or other legal process required by Law or submitting documents or factual information in response to a request therefor.

(xx) *Buyer control of appeals, consent decree negotiations, and general strategy*

Parent shall have the right, but not the obligation, to (i) appeal an adverse decision on the merits, (ii) propose, negotiate, offer to commit and effect, by consent decree, hold separate order or otherwise, the divestiture of such assets of Parent, the Surviving Corporation, or either's respective Subsidiaries as may resolve such objections, suits, orders, decrees, decisions, determinations or judgments and (iii) determine and direct the strategy and



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

process by which the Parties will seek required approvals under the Antitrust Laws. In furtherance (but not limitation) of the foregoing, the Company shall not, without the prior written consent of Parent, enter into any Contract with any Governmental Agency relating to such Governmental Agency's review or investigation of the Offer or the Merger under the Antitrust Laws.

(xxi) *Buyer control (but with special consultation provisions for certain jurisdictions)*

Parent shall have the principal responsibility for devising and implementing the strategy for obtaining any necessary antitrust or competition clearances and shall take the lead in all meetings and communications with any Governmental Authority in connection with obtaining any necessary antitrust or competition clearances; *provided, however*, that, with respect to the jurisdictions referenced in Section 8.01(d) [jurisdictions in which antitrust clearance is a condition precedent], Parent shall consult in advance with the Company and in good faith take the Company's views into account regarding the overall strategic direction of obtaining antitrust or competition clearance in those jurisdictions and consult with the Company prior to taking any material substantive position in any written submissions or, to the extent practicable, discussions with Governmental Agencies in those jurisdictions. The Company shall consult with Parent prior to taking any material substantive position with respect to the filings under the HSR Act, the EU Merger Regulation or other applicable foreign, federal, state or supranational antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Laws, in any written submission to, or, to the extent practicable, in any discussions with, any Governmental Authority. With respect to the jurisdictions referenced in Section 8.01(d), each party shall permit the other party to review and discuss in advance, and shall consider in good faith the views of the other party in connection with, any analyses, presentations, memoranda, briefs, written arguments, opinions, written proposals or other materials to be submitted to the Governmental Authorities in those jurisdictions with respect to such filings. Each party shall keep the other apprised of the material content and status of any material communications with, and material communications from, any Governmental Authority with respect to the Transactions, including promptly notifying the other of any material communication it receives from any Governmental Authority relating to any review or investigation of the Transactions under the HSR Act, the EU Merger Regulation or other applicable foreign, federal, state or supranational antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar Laws. The parties to this Agreement shall, and shall cause their respective Affiliates to use their reasonable best efforts to, provide each other with copies of all material, substantive correspondence, filings or communications between them or any of their respective Representatives, on the one hand, and any Governmental Authority or members of its staff, on the other hand, with respect to this Agreement and the Transactions; *provided, however*, that materials may be redacted (i) to remove references concerning the valuation of the Company and its Subsidiaries; (ii) as necessary to comply



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

with contractual arrangements or applicable Laws; and (iii) as necessary to address reasonable attorney-client or other privilege or confidentiality concerns.

(xxii) *Buyer control (with litigation exception)*

For the avoidance of doubt, Parent shall direct and fully control any lawsuits or other legal proceedings described in this Section 6.04(c); *provided, however*, that, subject to Parent's overall direction and control, if the Company is a party to any such lawsuit or other legal proceeding, it shall have the right to act independently in a reasonable manner with respect to any matter to the extent that such matter would reasonably be expected to result in an Order that would have an adverse effect on the Company as a separate company if the Merger were not consummated (*provided* that in such circumstance the Company shall consult with Parent and consider Parent's views and comments in good faith).

(xxiii) *Buyer control (with obligation to incorporate Seller's reasonable comments)*

Each of Parent and the Company shall (A) promptly notify the other party of any written or oral communication to that party or its Subsidiaries or Representatives from any Governmental Entity regarding the parties' collaborative efforts to obtain the Consents, (B) subject to applicable Law and to the extent reasonably practicable, permit the other party to review and comment on any written communication regarding such efforts prior to providing such communication to any Governmental Entity and (C) to the extent reasonably practicable, not agree to participate, or permit its Subsidiaries or Representatives or, in the case of the Company, the Controlled Affiliate, to participate, in any substantive meeting or discussion with any Governmental Entity in respect of any filings, investigation or inquiry concerning Consents to the Merger unless it consults with the other party in advance and, to the extent permitted by such Governmental Entity and reasonably practicable, gives the other party the opportunity to attend and participate. Without limiting the foregoing, neither party shall make any filings, submissions or written communications to any Governmental Entity to obtain the Consents without first providing a written copy of such filing, submission or communication to the other party (or as appropriate to such party's outside counsel) and allowing the other party a reasonable opportunity to provide comments on such filing, submission or communication prior to submission. Parent and the Company covenant and agree to incorporate all reasonable comments of the other party (or as appropriate such party's outside counsel) with respect to such filings, submissions and communications prior to delivery of the same to any Governmental Entity.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(xxiv) *Mutual notice*

Neither party shall initiate, or participate in any meeting or discussion with any Governmental Entity with respect to any filings, applications, investigation, or other inquiry regarding the Merger or filings under any Premerger Notification Rules without giving the other party reasonable prior notice of the meeting or discussion and, to the extent permitted by the relevant Governmental Entity, the opportunity to attend and participate (which, at the request of either party, shall be limited to outside antitrust counsel only); *provided, however*, that neither the Company nor Parent shall engage in any substantive communication with any Governmental Entity with respect to any proposed Divestiture Action without the consent of the other party.

m. Buyer ability to exclude seller from agency meetings

(d) With respect to the jurisdictions referenced in Section 8.01(d) [jurisdictions in which antitrust approval is a condition precedent], Parent shall, to the extent practicable and permitted by the relevant Governmental Authority, give the Company (through its counsel) the opportunity to attend and participate in all substantive meetings, telephone calls or discussions in respect of any filings, investigation (including settlement of the investigation), litigation or other inquiry; *provided* that, Parent or its representatives may conduct such a meeting, telephone call or discussion without the Company or its representatives present if Parent determines in good faith, taking into account the relevant facts and circumstances at the time (including the nature of the jurisdiction and the relevant Governmental Authority in question), that the taking of such action would enhance the likelihood of obtaining any necessary antitrust, competition, fair trade or similar clearance by the Outside Date; and *provided further* that to the extent practicable, Parent shall consult with the Company in advance and consider in good faith the view of the Company in making any such determination.

n. Protecting attorney-client and other privileges

The parties shall take reasonable efforts to share information protected from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, joint defense privilege or any other privilege pursuant to this section so as to preserve any applicable privilege.

o. Redactions

(i) *Contractual obligations and privilege*

provided that such material may be redacted as necessary (I) to comply with contractual arrangements, (II) to address good faith legal privilege or confidentiality concerns and (III) to comply with applicable Law.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *Valuation, contractual obligation, privilege*

Notwithstanding any provisions of this Section 5.6 to the contrary, (x) materials provided to the other party pursuant to this Section 5.6 may be redacted (A) to remove references concerning the valuation of Parent, the Company or any of their Subsidiaries, (B) as necessary to comply with contractual arrangements, and (C) as necessary to address privilege or confidentiality concerns and (y) each party shall have the right to designate any information provided to the other party as subject to review by a clean team only pursuant to and in accordance with the Clean Team Agreement.

(iii) *Valuation and outside counsel only*

provided, however, that Parent or Company can redact discussions of the transaction value and reasonably designate applicable materials as for review by the other's outside counsel only.

(iv) *Competitively sensitive information*

provided that each party shall be entitled to redact competitively sensitive information and any information relating to Company valuation and similar matters relating to the Transactions

(v) *Broad coverage (including personally sensitive information)*

Each party may, as it deems advisable and necessary, reasonably designate material provided to the other party as "Outside Counsel Only Material," and also may redact the material as necessary to (A) remove personally sensitive information; (B) remove references concerning valuation, (C) comply with contractual arrangements, (D) address legal privilege or other confidentiality concerns, or (E) comply with applicable Law.

(vi) *Broad coverage (with explanations for withheld materials)*

Example 1

6.4. *Access; Confidentiality.* During the Pre-Closing Period, each Seller shall and shall cause its Representatives to, upon reasonable prior notice, free of charge, give Buyers, their officers, their authorized Representatives and a reasonable number of their employees, reasonable access during normal business hours to the Business Contracts, Books and Records, analysis, projections, plans, systems, management and other personnel, the Seller's Representatives, commitments, offices and other facilities and properties to the extent related to the Business, the Acquired Assets and the Assumed Liabilities. The terms of the Confidentiality Agreement shall apply to any information provided to Buyer Parent pursuant to this Section 6.4. The right of Buyers to access pursuant to this Section 6.4 shall



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

not modify in any way any representation or warranty in Article IV. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth herein, no Seller shall be required to provide access to, or to disclose information, where such access or disclosure would (a) jeopardize the attorney-client privilege of such Seller, (b) contravene any applicable Law or (c) give a third party the right to terminate or accelerate the rights under a contract to which a Seller is a party as of the date of this Agreement or otherwise bound as of the date of this Agreement; provided that in each case, Seller Parent shall: (A) give reasonable notice to Buyer Parent of the fact that it is restricting or otherwise prohibiting access to any documents or information pursuant to this Section 6.4), (B) inform Buyer Parent with sufficient detail of the reason for such restriction or prohibition, and (C) cause the applicable Seller to use its reasonable best efforts to cause the documents or information that are subject to such restriction or prohibition to be provided in a manner that would not reasonably be expected to violate such restriction or prohibition.

Example 2

The foregoing provisions of this Section 6.6 shall not require either the Company or Parent to permit any access to any of its officers, employees, agents, contracts, books or records, or its stores, offices, distribution facilities or other facilities, or to permit any inspection, review, sampling or audit, or to disclose or otherwise make available any information that, in the reasonable judgment of the Company or Parent, would (i) unreasonably disrupt the operations of such party or any of its Subsidiaries, (ii) result in the disclosure of any trade secrets of any third parties or violate the terms of any confidentiality provisions in any agreement with a third party entered into prior to the date of this Agreement or any applicable Law or duty, (iii) result in the disclosure of any information referencing the valuation of the Company and its Subsidiaries conducted in connection with the approval of this Agreement or (iv) reasonably be expected to cause the loss or waiver of the protection of any attorney-client privilege, attorney work product or other relevant legal privilege. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Parent and its Representatives shall not be permitted to perform any on-site procedures (including an on-site study) with respect to any property of the Company or its Subsidiaries without the Company's prior written consent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned).

In the event that Parent or the Company objects to any request submitted pursuant to and in accordance with this Section 6.6 and withholds information on the basis of the foregoing clauses (ii) through (iv), the Company or Parent, as applicable, shall promptly inform the other party as to the general nature of what is being withheld and the Company and Parent shall use their respective commercially reasonable efforts to make appropriate substitute arrangements to permit reasonable disclosure as promptly as reasonably practicable that does not suffer from any of the foregoing impediments, including through the use of commercially reasonable efforts (without payment of any consideration, fees or expenses) to (A) obtain the required consent or waiver of any third party required to provide such information and (B) implement appropriate and mutually agreeable measures to permit the



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

disclosure of such information in a manner to remove the basis for the objection, including by arrangement of appropriate clean room procedures, redaction of text from documents or entry into a customary joint defense agreement with respect to any information to be so provided, if the parties determine that doing so would reasonably permit the disclosure of such information without such impediments. Each of Parent and the Company, as it deems advisable and necessary, may reasonably designate competitively sensitive material provided to the other as “*Outside Counsel Only Material*” or with similar restrictions. Such materials and the information contained therein shall be given only to the outside counsel of the recipient, or otherwise as the restriction indicates, and be subject to any additional confidentiality or joint defense agreement between the parties. All requests for information made pursuant to this Section 6.6 shall be directed to the Persons designated by the Company or Parent, as the case may be. All information exchanged or made available shall be governed by the terms of the Non-Disclosure Agreement, dated as of April 27, 2017, by and between Parent and the Company, as amended by the Confidentiality Supplement, dated May 7, 2017 (as it may be further amended from time to time, the “Confidentiality Agreement”).

p. Seller cooperation with integration planning

Subject to applicable Law, the Company and Parent shall, and shall cause each of their respective Subsidiaries to, cooperate to ensure an orderly transition and integration process in connection with the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement in order to minimize the disruption to, and preserve the value of, the business of the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries.

VIII. Litigation Covenants

a. Simple covenants

(i) *Example 1*

Each of the parties hereto agrees to cooperate and use its reasonable best efforts to vigorously contest and resist any action or proceeding, including administrative or judicial action or proceeding, and to have vacated, lifted, reversed or overturned any decree, judgment, injunction or other order (whether temporary, preliminary or permanent) that is in effect and that restricts, prevents or prohibits consummation of the Merger and the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, including reasonably pursuing administrative and judicial appeal.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *Example 2*

Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and Section 5.04(b) and Section 5.04(d) below and except with regard to matters related to the Antitrust Laws and clearances and litigation thereunder, each of the parties hereto shall cooperate with the other parties and use (and shall cause their respective Subsidiaries to use) their respective reasonable best efforts to promptly . . . (vi) defend or contest any claim, suit, action or other proceeding brought by a third party that would otherwise prevent or materially impede, interfere with, hinder or delay the consummation of the transactions described herein.

(iii) *Example 3*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the covenants of the parties contained in this Section 5.4, if any administrative or judicial action or proceeding, including any proceeding by a private party, is instituted (or threatened to be instituted) challenging any transaction contemplated by this Agreement as violative of any Antitrust Law, each of the Company and Parent shall use best efforts to contest and resist any such action or proceeding and to have vacated, lifted, reversed or overturned any decree, judgment, injunction or other order, whether temporary, preliminary or permanent, that is in effect and that prohibits, prevents or restricts consummation of the Transactions.

(iv) *Example 4*

(c) In furtherance and not in limitation of the covenants contained in Section 7.2(a) and Section 7.2(b), the Parties shall use their reasonable best efforts to contest and defend against the entry of, or to have vacated, lifted, reversed or overturned any decree, judgment, injunction or other order, whether temporary, preliminary or permanent, that would restrain, prevent or delay the Closing on or before the Outside Date, including defending through litigation on the merits any claim asserted in any court with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement by the FTC, the DOJ or any other applicable Governmental Entity or any private party.

b. Detailed obligation

In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing, Parent shall contest and litigate and defend against any Legal Proceeding brought by or pending before any Governmental Body and promptly and expeditiously appeal any order, writ, injunction, judgment or decree in any Legal Proceeding,



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(i) challenging or seeking to make illegal, delaying materially or otherwise directly or indirectly restraining or prohibiting the consummation of the Contemplated Transactions;

(ii) seeking to prohibit or limit in any respect, or place any conditions on, the ownership or operation by the Company, Parent or any of their respective affiliates of all or any portion of the business or assets of Parent or the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries or to require any such person to dispose of, license (whether pursuant to an exclusive or nonexclusive license) or hold separate all or any portion of the business or assets of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries or of any of the Acquired Companies, in each case as a result of, or in connection with, the Contemplated Transactions;

(iii) seeking, directly or indirectly, to impose or confirm limitations on the ability of Parent or any of its affiliates to acquire or hold, or exercise full rights of ownership of, any shares of Company Common Stock or any shares of capital stock of the Surviving Corporation on all matters properly presented to the stockholders of the Company or the Surviving Corporation, respectively;

(iv) seeking to require divestiture by Parent or any of its Subsidiaries or of any of the Acquired Companies of any shares of Company Common Stock or any business or assets of Parent or its Subsidiaries or any of the Acquired Companies; or

(v) that would reasonably be expected to impede, interfere with, prevent or materially delay the Contemplated Transactions or that would reasonably be expected to dilute materially the benefits to Parent of the Contemplated Transactions,

except so far as any of the prohibitions, limitations, conditions or requirements referred to in this Section 5.3(e) are covered by any commitments made to any Governmental Body in order to satisfy the conditions set forth in this Agreement.

c. Detailed obligation (with reasonable best efforts defined)

(d) In the event that any investigation or administrative or judicial action or proceeding is instituted (or threatened to be instituted) by a Governmental Entity or private party challenging the Offer, the Merger or any other transaction contemplated by this Agreement, or any other agreement contemplated hereby, each of Parent, Merger Sub and the Company shall cooperate with each other and use its respective reasonable best efforts to respond to and contest and resist any such investigation, action or proceeding and to have vacated, lifted, reversed or overturned any decree, judgment, injunction or other Order, whether temporary, preliminary or permanent, that is in effect and that prohibits, prevents or restricts consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement. For the purposes of this Section 6.03(d), reasonable best efforts shall include, without limitation, the defense through litigation on the merits of any claim asserted in any court, agency or



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

other proceeding by any person, entity or Governmental Entity, seeking to delay, restrain, prevent, enjoin or otherwise prohibit consummation of such transactions, however, in no event shall any of Parent, Merger Sub or the Company be required to make or agree to any divestiture, hold separate agreement, sale or other disposition of the assets or businesses of the Parent, its subsidiaries, Merger Sub or the Company or its subsidiaries to meet their respective obligations under this Agreement.

d. Buyer election

(i) *With delay of closing for appeal*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, Parent shall have the right, but not the obligation, to oppose by refusing to consent to, through litigation or otherwise any request, attempt or demand by any Governmental Authority or other Person for any divestiture, hold separate condition or any other restriction with respect to any assets, businesses or product lines of either Parent or the Company and shall have the obligation to defend litigation instituted by such Governmental Authority or other Person with respect to the legality of the Mergers under applicable Competition Laws. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Parent shall take all actions required under this Section 5.5, in a timely manner, as are necessary to achieve the clearance or approval of the Governmental Authority or other Person prior to the Termination Date, *provided, however*, that Parent shall not be required to take actions that would amount to a Burdensome Condition. If there is no decree, order or injunction restricting or prohibiting the Mergers but an appeal is pending, Parent shall not be obligated to proceed to close the Mergers until the Termination Date, as such date may be extended pursuant to Section 8.1(b), and if such appeal remains pending on such Termination Date, Parent shall be obligated to close the Mergers on such date, provided that on such date all other conditions to Closing have then been satisfied. The parties shall take reasonable efforts to share information protected from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, joint defense privilege or any other privilege pursuant to this section so as to preserve any applicable privilege.

e. Buyer control of litigation strategy

(i) *Example 1*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in any other provision of this Agreement, the Buyer shall retain sole discretion in deciding whether to litigate, defend against, or otherwise contest any challenge, claim, lawsuit or other cause of action relating to the Transactions or this Agreement pursuant to or under the antitrust laws of the United States,



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

the antitrust laws of any State, or the antitrust laws or other competition laws of any other jurisdiction.

(ii) *Example 2*

(g) In the event of any Action by a Governmental Authority or other third party challenging the Transactions, each of Parent, Merger Sub and the Company shall cooperate with each other and use their respective reasonable best efforts to respond to and contest and resist any such Action and to have vacated, lifted, reversed or overturned any Order, whether temporary, preliminary or permanent, that is in effect and that prohibits, prevents or restricts consummation of the Transactions prior to the Extended Outside Date. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 6.09, with respect to Antitrust Laws, Parent shall be entitled to direct and control all communications, strategy and defense of the Transactions in any Action by, or negotiations with, any Governmental Authority or other Person relating to the Transactions or regulatory filings. For avoidance of doubt, neither the Company nor any of its Subsidiaries shall make any offer, acceptance or counter-offer or otherwise engage in negotiations or discussions with any Governmental Authority or other Person with respect to any Divestiture Action required to permit the consummation of the Transactions by the Extended Outside Date or any sale or other disposal or hold separate required to comply with Wisconsin Statutes §§ 157.067(2) and 445.12(6), except in either case as required by the Governmental Authority or as specifically requested by or agreed with Parent. Nothing herein shall relieve Parent of its obligations under Section 6.09(f).

(iii) *Example 3*

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, Acquiror shall have the absolute right to contest any challenge to this Agreement by any Governmental Entity, including contesting through a litigation proceeding initiated by the Commissioner of Competition, the U.S. Department of Justice or the U.S. Federal Trade Commission to enjoin the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, and to control all aspects of said litigation for the Parties, provided that (x) such actions do not prevent the satisfaction of the condition referred to in Section 6.1(f), as it relates to the approvals or clearances described in paragraphs 1 and 3 of Schedule E hereto, by the Outside Date, nor limit the obligations of Acquiror to take the actions set forth in Section 9.5(a) if required to allow satisfaction of the condition referred to in Section 6.1(f), as it relates to the approvals or clearances described in paragraphs 1 and 3 of Schedule E hereto, by the Outside Date, and (y) Acquiror affords Company with reasonable advance written notice of any such contest or litigation, an opportunity to participate in the development and formulation of the legal strategy of such contest or litigation, an opportunity to participate in all associated proceedings, and Acquiror shall consider in good faith Company's views and opinions in



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

all material respects related to such matters (provided, that, notwithstanding clause (y) above, Acquiror shall retain full discretion and authority with respect to the aspects of any such litigation described in clause (y) above).

f. Obligation (with buyer control of strategy)

(i) *Example 1*

(d) In the event that any administrative or judicial action or proceeding is instituted (or threatened to be instituted) by a Governmental Authority or private party challenging the Merger or any other Transaction, each of Parent, Merger Sub and the Company shall cooperate in all respects with each other and use its respective commercially reasonable efforts to contest and resist any such action or proceeding and to have vacated, lifted, reversed or overturned any decree, judgment, injunction or other order, whether temporary, preliminary or permanent, that is in effect and that prohibits, prevents or restricts consummation of the Transactions. Parent shall be entitled to direct the antitrust defense of the Merger or any other Transactions, or negotiations with, any Governmental Authority or other Person relating to the Merger or regulatory filings under applicable Antitrust Law, subject to the provisions of Sections 7.07(a), (b), (c) and (e). The Company shall not make any offer, acceptance or counter-offer to or otherwise engage in negotiations or discussions with any Governmental Authority with respect to any proposed settlement, consent decree, commitment or remedy, or, in the event of litigation, discovery, admissibility of evidence, timing or scheduling, except as specifically requested by or agreed with Parent. The Company shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to provide full and effective support of Parent in all material respects in all such negotiations and discussions to the extent requested by Parent.

(ii) *Example 2*

In the event that any permanent or preliminary injunction or other order is entered or becomes reasonably foreseeable to be entered in any proceeding that would make consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby in accordance with the terms of this Agreement unlawful or that would restrain, enjoin or otherwise prevent or materially delay the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, Parent shall take promptly any and all steps necessary to vacate, modify or suspend such injunction or order so as to permit such consummation prior to the Termination Date. The Company shall cooperate with Parent and shall use its reasonable best efforts to assist Parent in resisting and reducing any Divestiture Action. The parties shall take reasonable efforts to share information protected from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, joint defense privilege or any other privilege pursuant to this section so as to preserve any applicable privilege.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(iii) *Example 3 (with carve-out for settlements prior to Outside Date)*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the covenants of the parties contained in this Section 6.3, if any administrative or judicial action or proceeding, including any proceeding by a Governmental Entity or any other person is instituted (or threatened to be instituted) challenging any of the Transactions as violative of any Law, each of the Company and Parent shall use reasonable best efforts to contest and resist any such action or proceeding and to have vacated, lifted, reversed or overturned any decree, judgment, injunction or other order, whether temporary, preliminary or permanent, that is in effect and that prohibits, prevents or restricts consummation of the Transactions. Subject to the terms of this Agreement, Parent shall be entitled to direct the defense of the Transaction in any investigation or litigation by, or negotiations with, any Governmental Entity or other Person relating to the Merger or regulatory filings under applicable Regulatory Law. Nothing in this Agreement shall restrict Parent from (if it so chooses) opposing by refusing to consent to, through litigation or otherwise, any request, attempt or demand by any Governmental Entity or other person for any divestiture, hold separate condition or any other restriction with respect to any assets, businesses or product lines of either Parent or the Company, in each case, to the extent doing so would not and would not reasonably be expected to prevent the Closing from occurring by the Outside Date.

(iv) *Example 4*

If any objections are asserted with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby under any Regulatory Law or if any suit or proceeding, whether judicial or administrative, is instituted by any Governmental Entity or any private party challenging any of the transactions contemplated hereby as violative of any Regulatory Law, each of Parent and the Company shall use its reasonable best efforts to: (i) oppose or defend against any action to prevent or enjoin consummation of this Agreement (and the transactions contemplated herein), and/or (ii) take such action as reasonably necessary to overturn any regulatory action by any Government Entity to block consummation of this Agreement (and the transactions contemplated herein), including by defending any suit, action, or other legal proceeding brought by any Governmental Entity in order to avoid entry of, or to have vacated, overturned or terminated, including by appeal if necessary, in order to resolve any such objections or challenge as such Governmental Entity or private party may have to such transactions under such Regulatory Law so as to permit consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, *provided* that Parent and Company shall cooperate with one another in connection with all proceedings related to the foregoing and Parent shall have final decision-making authority on any action or decision required to insure that Parent can meet its obligations in this Section 6.3 and its ability to consummate the transaction.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(v) *Example 5*

Parent and the Company shall, and shall cause each of their respective Subsidiaries to, defend through litigation on the merits any claim asserted in court or administrative or other tribunal by any Person (including any Governmental Authority) in order to avoid entry of, or to have vacated or terminated, any decree, order or judgment (whether temporary, preliminary or permanent) that would prevent the Closing prior to the Outside Date; *provided, however*, that such litigation in no way limits the obligations of the parties to comply with their reasonable best efforts obligations under the terms of this Section 7.08. Parent shall have the sole and exclusive right to direct and control any litigation, negotiation or other action, with counsel of its own choosing, and the Company agrees to cooperate with Parent with respect thereto; *provided, however*, that, with respect to the jurisdictions referenced in Section 8.01(d) [jurisdictions in which antitrust approval is a condition precedent], Parent shall consult in advance with the Company and in good faith take the Company's views into account regarding the overall strategic direction of the defense of any such litigation and consult with the Company prior to taking any material substantive positions, making dispositive motions or other material substantive filings or entering into any negotiations concerning such litigation.

g. Buyer option to litigate

(i) *Example 1*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary: (i) Subject to Section 5.04(b), Parent shall have the unilateral right to determine whether or not the parties will litigate with any Governmental Entities to oppose any enforcement action or remove any court or regulatory orders impeding the ability to consummate the Merger.

(ii) *Example 2*

(e) Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary but subject to the next sentence, Parent shall have the right, but not the obligation, to oppose or refuse to consent to, through litigation or otherwise, any request, attempt or demand by any Governmental Entity or other person for any divestiture, hold separate condition or any other restriction with respect to any assets, businesses or product lines of Parent, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries and shall have the obligation to defend any litigation instituted by a Governmental Entity or other person with respect to the legality of the Merger under applicable Regulatory Laws. In the event Parent opposes or refuses to consent to, through litigation or otherwise, including any appeals, any request, attempt or demand by any Governmental Entity or other person as provided in the preceding sentence, and (i) such proceedings conclude prior to the Termination Date with a decree, injunction or order



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

restricting or prohibiting the Merger or (ii) no decree, order or injunction has been issued in such proceedings prior to the Termination Date, then Parent shall take such actions (including Antitrust Actions) in a timely manner, as are necessary to achieve the clearance by or approval of the Governmental Entity or other person and satisfaction of the condition set forth in Section 8.1(b) [Antitrust/Competition] and Section 8.1(c) [Injunctions or Restraints] (with respect to any Regulatory Law) prior to the Termination Date, provided that Parent shall not be required to take any action that would exceed the Detriment Limit.

h. Buyer option to litigate with reasonable good faith belief

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, the parties hereto understand and agree that “reasonable best efforts” shall not require Parent to . . . (iii) litigate or participate in the litigation of any proceeding involving the FCC, the Federal Trade Commission or Department of Justice, whether judicial or administrative . . . except, in the case of this clause (iii), to the extent Parent determines in its reasonable good faith judgment that there is a reasonable prospect of success in relation to such litigation and that the participation by Parent in such litigation would not pose a material risk of the imposition of a Burdensome Condition;

i. Requirement to litigate (except as otherwise agreed)

If any administrative or judicial action or proceeding is instituted (or threatened to be instituted) by a Governmental Authority challenging the transactions contemplated by this Agreement as violative of the HSR Act or any applicable antitrust or competition Law, each of the Parent and the Company shall, and shall cause their respective Representatives to, cooperate to contest and resist, except insofar as the Parent and the Company may otherwise agree, any such action or proceeding, including any action or proceeding that seeks a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction that would prohibit, prevent or restrict consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

j. No obligation to continue to litigate past termination date

The parties hereto acknowledge and agree that the obligations of the Company hereunder shall not include any requirement of the Company to defend any proceeding challenging this Agreement or the consummation of the Transactions beyond the Outside Date.

k. Provision of witnesses and documents

9.1(b) In the event of, and for so long as any Party hereto (or its Affiliates) is prosecuting, participating in, contesting or defending any Proceeding, whenever filed or made, in connection with or involving (i) any transaction contemplated under this Agreement or (ii) the conduct or operation of the Business prior to or after the Closing, the other Party hereto



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

shall, and shall cause its respective Affiliates to, (A) cooperate with it and its counsel in, and assist it and its counsel with, the contest or defense, (B) make available its personnel (including for purposes of fact finding, consultation, interviews, depositions and, if required, as witnesses), and (C) provide such information, testimony and documents, including, but not limited to, books and records, Tax Returns, Contracts, and commitments of the Business, whether stored in paper, electronic, or other format, during normal business hours and upon reasonable notice, in each case as shall be reasonably necessary in connection with the contest or defense, all at the sole cost and expense (not including employee compensation and benefits costs) of the prosecuting, participating, contesting or defending Party. The covenants in this Section 9.1(b) shall not be deemed to limit the access and cooperation to be provided to Sellers or Buyers pursuant to Section 6.4 or Section 9.1(a).

(c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Section 9.1 shall not provide any Buyer or Seller (or Representative of any thereof) any access rights to documents or information of the other Party which access would violate any Laws or obligations regarding the confidentiality thereof (unless any such violation could be and is avoided by the recipient's execution and delivery of an appropriate confidentiality agreement), or waive any attorney-client, work product, or like privilege, or for the purpose of use in connection with potential or actual litigation, arbitration or mediation between any Buyer or any Affiliate of any Buyer, on the one hand, and any Seller or any Affiliate of any Seller, on the other hand (nor, for the avoidance of doubt, shall any Buyer or any Seller or any of their respective Affiliates have any right to use any document or information obtained from the other pursuant to this Section 9.1 in any such Proceeding).

IX. Risk-Shifting Covenants

a. No obligation to make divestitures proviso

(i) *Simple proviso*

Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this Section 7.5 or otherwise in this Agreement shall require Purchaser or any Purchaser Affiliate to propose, negotiate, effect or agree to, the sale, divestiture, license or other disposition of any assets or businesses of Purchaser or any Purchaser Affiliate (including the Purchased Assets) or otherwise take any action that limits the freedom of action with respect to, or its ability to retain any of the businesses, product lines or assets of Purchaser or any Purchaser Affiliate (including the Purchased Assets).



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *More detailed proviso (Example 1)*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, in no event will Purchaser be obligated to propose or agree to accept any undertaking or condition, to enter into any consent decree, to make any divestiture, to accept any operational restriction, or take any other action that, in the reasonable judgment of Purchaser, could be expected to limit the right of Purchaser to own or operate all or any portion of their respective businesses or assets. With regard to any Governmental Antitrust Entity, neither the Seller nor any of its respective affiliates shall, without Purchaser's written consent, in Purchaser's sole discretion, discuss or commit to any divestiture transaction, or discuss or commit to alter their businesses or commercial practices in any way, or otherwise take or commit to take any action that limits Purchaser's freedom of action with respect to, or Purchaser's ability to retain any of the businesses, product lines or assets of, the [business to be acquired] or otherwise receive the full benefits of this Agreement.

(iii) *More detailed proviso (Example 2)*

Nothing in this Section 5.6 shall require Parent to offer, accept or agree to (i) dispose or hold separate any part of its or INX's businesses, operations, assets or product lines (or a combination of Parent's and INX's respective businesses, operations assets or product lines), (ii) not compete in any geographic area or line of business, (iii) restrict the manner in which, or whether, Parent, INX, the Surviving Company or any of their affiliates may carry on business in any part of the world or (iv) pay any consideration (other than ordinary course filing, application or similar fees and charges) to obtain any approval, consent or waiver from a third party necessary to consummate the Transactions.

(iv) *No obligation to litigate or divest*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, it is expressly understood and agreed that: (i) neither Parent nor Merger Sub shall have any obligation to litigate or contest any administrative or judicial action or proceeding or any decree, judgment, injunction or other order, whether temporary, preliminary or permanent; and (ii) neither Parent nor Merger Sub shall be under any obligation to make proposals, execute or carry out agreements, enter into consent decrees or submit to orders providing for (A) the sale, divestiture, license or other disposition or holding separate (through the establishment of a trust or otherwise) of any assets or categories of assets of Parent or any of its Affiliates or the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, (B) the imposition of any limitation or regulation on the ability of Parent or any of its Affiliates to freely conduct their business or own such assets, or (C) the holding separate of the shares of Company Common Stock or any limitation or regulation on the ability of Parent or any of its Affiliates to exercise full rights of ownership of the shares of Company Common Stock, other than, in the case of clauses (A), (B) or (C) above, for any such sale, divestiture, license, disposition, holding separate,



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

limitation or regulation that would be immaterial to the Parent and/or the Company and their respective Subsidiaries, taken as a whole (any of the foregoing, an “Antitrust Restraint”).

b. Unconditional obligation to propose and accept settlements (“hell or high water” obligation)¹

(i) *Example 1*

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, but subject in each case to the final sentence of this Section 6.03(a), Parent acknowledges and agrees that its obligation to use reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all actions, and to do or cause to be done, all things necessary, proper or advisable to consummate and make effective the Offer, the Merger and the other Transactions includes (A) executing settlements, undertakings, consent decrees, stipulations or other agreements with any Governmental Entity or with any other Person, (B) agreeing to sell, divest or otherwise convey or hold separate any asset or business of Parent, the Company or any of their respective subsidiaries, (C) permitting the Company to sell, divest or otherwise convey or hold separate any assets or businesses of the Company or any Company Subsidiary, (D) terminating existing relationships, contractual rights or obligations of Parent, the Company or any of their respective subsidiaries, (E) terminating any joint venture or other arrangement of Parent, the Company or any of their respective subsidiaries, (F) creating any relationship, contractual right or obligation of Parent, the Company or any of their respective subsidiaries and (G) effectuating any other change or restructuring of the Company or any Company Subsidiary (and, in the case of actions by or with respect to the Company or any Company Subsidiary, by consenting to such action by the Company or such Company Subsidiary (including any consents required under this Agreement with respect to such action); provided that any such action may, at the discretion of the Company, be conditioned upon the Closing), in each case such that all actions or non-actions, waivers and Consents from any Governmental Entity that are necessary in order to consummate the Offer, the Merger and the other Transactions are obtained at least 5 business days prior to the Outside Date.

(ii) *Example 2*

Without limiting the generality of the Buyers’ undertaking pursuant to Section 4.2(a), each Buyer agrees to use its best efforts, and to take any and all steps necessary, to eliminate each and every impediment under any antitrust, competition or trade regulation law that is asserted by any Governmental Entity (through the Head of the Governmental Entity or Division thereof) or any other party so as to enable the Parties hereto to close the

¹ Some of these provisions were limited by a proviso elsewhere in the contract.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

transactions contemplated hereby, prior to the Termination Date, including but not limited to (i) negotiating, committing to and effecting by consent decree, hold separate orders, or otherwise, the sale, divestiture or disposition of such of the Buyers' assets, properties or businesses or of the Company's properties or businesses to be acquired by it pursuant hereto, and the entrance into such other arrangements, as are necessary in order to effect the dissolution of any injunction, temporary restraining order or other order in any suit or proceeding, which would otherwise have the effect of preventing the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement prior to the Termination Date and (ii) defending through litigation on the merits any claim asserted in court by any party in order to avoid entry of, or to have vacated or terminated, any decree, order or judgment (whether temporary, preliminary or permanent) that would prevent the Closing from occurring prior to the Termination Date; *provided, however*, that such litigation in no way limits the obligation of each Buyer to use its best efforts, and to take any and all steps necessary, to eliminate each and every impediment under any antitrust, competition or trade regulation law to close the transactions contemplated hereby prior to the Termination Date.

(iii) *Example 3*

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, Parent shall take any and all action necessary, including but not limited to (i) selling or otherwise disposing of, or holding separate and agreeing to sell or otherwise dispose of, assets, categories of assets or businesses of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries; (ii) terminating existing relationships, contractual rights or obligations of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries; (iii) terminating any venture or other arrangement; (iv) creating any relationship, contractual rights or obligations of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries or (v) effectuating any other change or restructuring of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries (and, in each case, to enter into agreements or stipulate to the entry of an order or decree or file appropriate applications with any Antitrust Authority in connection with any of the foregoing and in the case of actions by or with respect to the Company or its Subsidiaries or its or their businesses or assets; by consenting to such action by the Company and provided, that any such action may, at the discretion of the Company, be conditioned upon consummation of the Merger) (each a "Divestiture Action") to ensure that no Governmental Entity enters any order, decision, judgment, decree, ruling, injunction (preliminary or permanent), or establishes any law, rule, regulation or other action preliminarily or permanently restraining, enjoining or prohibiting the consummation of the Merger, ("Antitrust Prohibition") or to ensure that no Antitrust Authority with the authority to clear, authorize or otherwise approve the consummation of the Merger, fails to do so by the Termination Date. In the event that any action is threatened or instituted challenging the Merger as violative of any Premerger Notification Rule or other Antitrust Law, Parent shall take all action necessary, including but not limited to any Divestiture Action to avoid or resolve such action.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(iv) *Example 4*

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, Parent shall and, shall cause its Subsidiaries to, propose, negotiate, offer to commit and effect (and if such offer is accepted, commit to and effect), by consent decree, hold separate order, or otherwise, the sale, divestiture or disposition of such assets or businesses of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries, or effective as of the Effective Time, the Surviving Corporation or its Subsidiaries, or otherwise offer to take or offer to commit to take any action (including any action that limits its freedom of action, ownership or control with respect to, or its ability to retain or hold, any of the businesses, assets, product lines, properties or services of Parent, the Surviving Corporation, or any of their respective Subsidiaries) which it is lawfully capable of taking and if the offer is accepted, take or commit to take such action, in each case, as may be required in order to avoid the commencement of any Action to prohibit the Merger or any other transaction contemplated by this Agreement, or if already commenced, to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any injunction, temporary restraining order or other order in any Action so as to enable the Closing to occur as soon as reasonably possible (and in any event, not later than the Outside Date). Company shall not, without the written consent of Parent, publicly or before any Governmental Entity or other third party, offer, suggest, propose or negotiate, and shall not commit to or effect, by consent decree, hold separate order or otherwise, any sale, divestiture, disposition, prohibition or limitation or other action of a type described in this Section 5.5(b).

(v) *Example 5*

The required actions by Parent hereunder shall include, without limitation, the proposal, negotiation and acceptance by Parent prior to the Outside Date of (i) any and all divestitures of the businesses or assets of it or its subsidiaries or its controlled affiliates or of the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries, (ii) any agreement to hold any assets of Parent or its subsidiaries or its controlled affiliates or of the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries separate, (iii) any agreement to license any portion of the business of Parent or its subsidiaries or its controlled affiliates or of the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries, (iv) any limitation to or modification of any of the businesses, services or operations of Parent or its subsidiaries or its controlled affiliates or, following the Closing, of the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries, and (v) any other action (including any action that limits the freedom of action, ownership or control with respect to, or ability to retain or hold, any of the businesses, assets, product lines, properties or services of Parent or its subsidiaries or its controlled affiliates or of the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries), in each case as may be required by any applicable Governmental Entity in order to obtain approval for the Transactions.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(vi) *Example 6*

Parent (including by its Subsidiaries) agrees to take, or cause to be taken (including by its Subsidiaries), any and all steps and to make, or cause to be made (including by its Subsidiaries), any and all undertakings necessary to resolve such objections, if any, that a Governmental Authority may assert under any Antitrust Law with respect to the Transactions, and to avoid or eliminate each and every impediment under any Antitrust Law that may be asserted by any Governmental Authority with respect to the Transactions, in each case, so as to enable the Closing to occur as promptly as practicable and in any event no later than the Extended Walk-Away Date, including, without limitation, (x) proposing, negotiating, committing to and effecting, by consent decree, hold separate order, or otherwise, the sale, divestiture or disposition of any businesses, assets, equity interests, product lines or properties of Parent or the Company (or any of their respective Subsidiaries) or any equity interest in any joint venture held by Parent or the Company (or any of their respective Subsidiaries), (y) creating, terminating, or divesting relationships, ventures, contractual rights or obligations of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries and (z) otherwise taking or committing to take any action that would limit Parent's freedom of action with respect to, or its ability to retain or hold, directly or indirectly, any businesses, assets, equity interests, product lines or properties of Parent or the Company (including any of their respective Subsidiaries) or any equity interest in any joint venture held by Parent or the Company (or any of their respective Subsidiaries), in each case as may be required in order to obtain all approvals, consents, clearances, expirations or terminations of waiting periods, registrations, permits, authorizations and other confirmations required directly or indirectly under any Antitrust Law or to avoid the commencement of any action to prohibit the Transactions under any Antitrust Law, or, in the alternative, to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any injunction, temporary restraining order or other order in any action or proceeding seeking to prohibit the Transactions or delay the Closing beyond the Extended Walk-Away Date. To assist Parent in complying with its obligations set forth in this Section 5.4, the Company shall, and shall cause its Subsidiaries to, enter into one or more agreements requested by Parent to be entered into by any of them prior to the Closing with respect to any transaction to divest, hold separate or otherwise take any action that limits the Company's or its Subsidiaries' freedom of action, ownership or control with respect to, or their ability to retain or hold, directly or indirectly, any of the businesses, assets, equity interests, product lines or properties of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries or any equity interest in any joint venture held by the Company or any of its Subsidiaries (each, a "Divestiture Action"); *provided, however*, that (i) the consummation of the transactions provided for in any such agreement for a Divestiture Action (a "Divestiture Agreement") shall be conditioned upon the Closing or satisfaction of all of the conditions to Closing in a case where the Closing will occur immediately following such Divestiture Action (and where Parent has irrevocably committed to effect the Closing immediately following such Divestiture Action)



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

and (ii) Parent shall indemnify for and hold the Company and its Subsidiaries harmless from all costs, expenses and liabilities incurred by the Company or its Subsidiaries arising from or relating to such Divestiture Agreement (other than any of the foregoing arising from the breach by the Company or any applicable Subsidiary of such Divestiture Agreement).

(vii) *Example 7*

Parent shall determine, direct and have full control over the strategy and process by which the parties will seek required approvals under Antitrust Laws, including the sole right to make all determinations with respect to the matters described in the next sentence. In furtherance of, and not in limitation of, the covenants of the parties contained in Section 6.04(a), Section 6.04(b), Section 6.04(c) and Section 6.04(d), Parent shall use its best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all such further actions as may be necessary to resolve such objections, if any, as the FTC, the DOJ, state antitrust enforcement authorities or competition authorities of any other nation or other jurisdiction or any other Person may assert under any Law with respect to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby, and shall use its best efforts to avoid or eliminate each and every impediment under any Law that may be asserted by any Governmental Entity or Self-Regulatory Organization with respect to the Merger so as to enable the Closing to occur no later than the Outside Date, including (x) proposing, negotiating, committing to and effecting, by consent decree, hold separate order or otherwise, regardless of the consideration, the sale, divestiture, license or disposition of any assets or businesses of the Company or its Subsidiaries or controlled Affiliates or of Parent or its Subsidiaries or controlled Affiliates, and (y) otherwise taking or committing to take any actions that after the Closing Date would limit the freedom of Parent, the Company or their Subsidiaries' or controlled Affiliates' freedom of action with respect to, or its ability to retain, one or more of its or its Subsidiaries' businesses, product lines or assets, in each case as may be required in order to effect the satisfaction of the conditions to the Merger set forth in Article VII prior to the Outside Date and to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any injunction, temporary restraining order or other Order in any suit or proceeding that would otherwise have the effect of preventing the Closing or delaying the Closing beyond the Outside Date; *provided, however*, that neither the Company nor any of its Subsidiaries shall be required to become subject to, or consent or agree to or otherwise take any action with respect to, any Order, requirement, condition, understanding or agreement of or with a Governmental Entity or Self-Regulatory Organization to sell, to license, to hold separate or otherwise dispose of, or to conduct, restrict, operate, invest or otherwise change the assets or business of the Company or any of its Affiliates, unless such Order, requirement, condition, understanding or agreement is binding on the Company only in the event that the Closing occurs.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(viii) *Example 8*

In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing, and notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this Agreement (but subject to, and without limitation of, the immediately preceding sentence [buyer control provision]), Parent shall, and shall cause each of its Subsidiaries to, negotiate, effect and agree to any sale, divestiture, license, holding separate or other similar arrangement with respect to, or other disposition of or restriction on, any of their respective businesses, product lines, divisions or assets or interests therein, and take such action or actions that would in the aggregate have a similar effect, including, without limitation, agreeing to change or modify any course of conduct regarding their respective future operations or otherwise taking actions that would limit their respective freedom of action with respect to, or ability to retain, one or more of their respective businesses, product lines, divisions or assets or interests therein, in each case, solely to the minimum extent necessary so as to permit and cause the condition set forth in Section 7.1(d) to be satisfied by the Outside Date; *provided, however*, that notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, in no event shall Parent or any Parent Subsidiary be required to consummate any such sale, divestiture, license, holding separate, other similar arrangement or disposition or accept any such restriction or take any such action or actions prior to the Closing. In furtherance of the immediately preceding sentence, Parent shall take any and all actions necessary in order to ensure that (x) no requirement for non-action, a waiver, consent or approval of the FTC, the DOJ, any State Attorney General or other Governmental Entity, in each case, with respect to any Antitrust Law, (y) no decree, judgment, injunction, temporary restraining order or any other order in any suit or proceeding with respect to any Antitrust Law, and (z) no other matter relating to any Antitrust Law, would preclude satisfaction of the condition set forth in Section 7.1(d) by the Outside Date. The Company shall agree if, but solely if, requested by Parent so as to permit and cause the condition set forth in Section 7.1(d) to be satisfied as promptly as practicable after the date of this Agreement (but in any event not later than the Outside Date), to effect and agree to any sale, divestiture, license, holding separate or other similar arrangement with respect to, or other disposition of or restriction on, any of its businesses, product lines, divisions or assets or interests therein, and take such action or actions that would in the aggregate have a similar effect; *provided, however*, that notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, any such sale, divestiture, license, holding separate, other similar arrangement, disposition, restriction or action or actions is expressly consented to in writing by Parent and is conditioned upon, and shall become effective only from and after, the Closing.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

c. Limitation of obligation to make divestitures

(i) *Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 1)*

(d) Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, none of Parent or any of its Affiliates shall be required to agree to or proffer to sell, divest, lease, license, transfer, dispose of or otherwise encumber or impair Parent's or any of its Affiliates' ability to own or operate any assets or properties of Parent or any of its Affiliates (including for the avoidance of doubt, any equity or other interests in the Company) or any assets or properties of the Company or any of its Affiliates if such action would require the divestiture or holding separate (or any other remedy) of or with respect to any assets of Parent, the Company or any of their Affiliates or Subsidiaries representing, in the aggregate, more than \$175,000,000 of annual revenue generated between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015.

(ii) *Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 2)*

(e) Nothing contained in this Agreement requires Parent or Merger Sub to take, or cause to be taken, and neither Parent nor Merger Sub shall be required to take, or cause to be taken, any Divestiture Action with respect to any of the assets, businesses or product lines of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, or of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries, or any combination thereof, if the overlapping assets, businesses or product lines required to be divested in order to obtain a Company Approval under any Regulatory Law represented in the aggregate in excess of \$1.3 billion of revenue for the 12 months ending December 31, 2007 (excluding from such calculation any non-merchant revenues and any revenue of any non-overlapping assets, businesses or product lines which may be divested as part of the applicable Divestiture Action); *provided, however*, that other than in the case of the Company's assets, businesses and product lines of or marketed or otherwise conducted through the entity identified on Schedule 5.6(e), Parent shall not be required to divest any assets, businesses or product lines of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries. The parties agree that the calculation of revenue shall (x) be measured by reference to the lowest such revenue (excluding any non-merchant revenue) of Parent or the Company for each such overlapping asset, business or product line so required to be divested to obtain such Company Approval, regardless of which asset, business or product line Parent actually divests and (y) in the case of the entity identified on Schedule 5.6(e), only include the Company's portion of the revenue generated from or through such entity.

(iii) *Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 3)*

(d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, and subject to the remainder of this Section 6.3(d) and Section 6.3(e), Parent shall and, shall cause its Subsidiaries to, propose, negotiate, offer to



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

commit and effect (and if such offer is accepted, commit to and effect), by consent decree, hold separate order, or otherwise, the sale, divestiture or disposition of such assets or businesses of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries, or effective as of the Effective Time, the Company or its Subsidiaries, or otherwise offer to take or offer to commit to take any action (including any action that limits its freedom of action, ownership or control with respect to, or its ability to retain or hold, any of the businesses, assets, product lines, properties or services of Parent, any of its Subsidiaries, the Surviving Corporation or its Subsidiaries) which it is lawfully capable of taking and if the offer is accepted, take or commit to take such action, in each case, as may be required in order to avoid the commencement of any Action to prohibit the Merger or any other transaction contemplated by this Agreement, or if already commenced, to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any injunction, temporary restraining order or other order in any Action so as to enable the Closing to occur as soon as reasonably possible (and in any event, not later than the Initial Termination Date, or if such date is extended pursuant to the terms of Section 8.1(b), the Termination Date). Notwithstanding the foregoing, neither Parent nor any of its Subsidiaries shall be required to propose, negotiate, commit to or effect any such sale, divestiture or disposition of assets or business of Parent or the Company, or any of their respective Subsidiaries, or offer to take or offer to commit to take any such action where such action, sale, divestiture or disposition, individually or in the aggregate, would be of assets or a business of the Company or its Subsidiaries or Parent or any of its Subsidiaries, and such action, sale, divestiture or disposition would result in the one year loss of net sales revenues (as measured by net 2008 sales revenue) in excess of \$3,000,000,000. For purposes of calculating the loss of net sales revenue in the preceding sentence, the least amount of lost revenues (as measured by net 2008 sales revenue) as may be required to avoid the commencement of any Action to prohibit the Merger or any other transaction contemplated by this Agreement, or if already commenced, to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any injunction, temporary restraining order or other order in any Action, shall be used in the event that Parent elects to offer any action, sale, divestiture or disposition that would result in a higher loss of net sales revenue (as measured by net 2008 sales revenue) than reasonably required to achieve such result.

(iv) *Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 4)*

[P]rovided, however, that nothing contained in this Agreement shall require Parent, the Company or a Merger Sub to take, or cause to be taken, any action with respect to any of the assets, businesses or product lines of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, or of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries (including the Surviving Company), or any combination thereof, (x) that is not conditioned on the consummation of the Mergers or (y) if such action would require the divestiture or holding separate (or any other remedy) of or with respect to any assets of Parent, the Company or any of their Subsidiaries representing, in the aggregate, in excess of \$2,000,000,000 of revenue generated between (and inclusive of)



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2013 (any such requirement set forth in clause (y), a “Burdensome Condition”).

(v) *Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 5)*

(d) Without limiting the foregoing, Parent and Merger Sub shall take all such action (including any Antitrust Action) as may be necessary to resolve such objections, if any, that the United States Federal Trade Commission, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice, the European Commission, state antitrust enforcement authorities or competition authorities of any other nation or other jurisdiction, or any other Person, may assert under Regulatory Laws with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby, and to avoid or eliminate, and minimize the impact of, each and every impediment under Regulatory Laws that may be asserted by any Governmental Entity with respect to the Merger so as to enable the Closing to occur as soon as reasonably possible (and in any event no later than the Termination Date); provided, however, that nothing contained in this Agreement requires Parent, Merger Sub or the Company to take, or cause to be taken, any Antitrust Action with respect to any of the assets, businesses or product lines of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, or of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries (including the Surviving Entity), or any combination thereof, if such action would exceed the Detriment Limit. For purposes of this Agreement, “Antitrust Action” means any action with respect to assets, businesses or product lines, including a request that they be (x) divested or held separate or (y) subject to conduct remedies, limitations or other actions, and the “Detriment Limit” would be exceeded if the assets, businesses or product lines subject to Antitrust Action in order to obtain actions or nonactions, waivers, authorizations, expirations or terminations of waiting periods, clearances, consents and approvals from Governmental Entities under Regulatory Laws account for more than \$7.5 billion of revenue for the twelve (12) months ended December 31, 2013. If requested by Parent, the Company will agree to and take any action contemplated by this Section 7.6(d), provided that the consummation of any divestiture or the effectiveness of any other remedy is conditioned on the consummation of the Merger. The parties hereto agree that the calculation of revenue shall be measured by reference to the lowest such revenue of Parent or the Company for each such overlapping asset, business or product line subject to Antitrust Action, regardless of which asset, business or product line Parent actually selects for such Antitrust Action. The foregoing agreement in this section is made solely to facilitate the closing of the Merger and does not constitute a representation or admission that the Merger, if consummated without any modification, would violate any Regulatory Laws or that agreeing to any divestitures, hold separate conditions or other restrictions permitted herein or suggested by any person or authority acting under any Regulatory Law would not be harmful to the parties hereto.



(vi) *Divestiture obligation capped by revenues (Example 6)*

(e) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, the Company shall not, without the written consent of Parent, offer or agree to divest, license, hold separate (including by trust or otherwise) or otherwise commit any of the Company, Parent or any of their respective Subsidiaries to take any action that limits any freedom of action with respect to their ability to retain or operate any of their businesses, services or assets (any such divestiture, license, hold separate or such other commitment to take action with respect to any businesses, services or assets is hereinafter referred to as an "Antitrust Restraint"). Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, except as expressly set forth in this Section 5.6(e), Parent shall not be required to agree to or effect any Antitrust Restraint with respect to any of Parent's or the Company's or their respective Subsidiaries' businesses, services or assets. If necessary to avoid the commencement of any Action by any Governmental Authority challenging the transactions under this Agreement under the Antitrust Laws, or if already commenced, to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any Order that would prohibit, prevent or restrict the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, then, subject to the penultimate sentence of this Section 5.6(e), Parent shall offer, negotiate and agree to, and shall effect, any Antitrust Restraint with respect to the Company's businesses, services or assets, except that Parent shall not be required to agree to or effect any Antitrust Restraint with respect to any of the Company's businesses, services or assets, other than, to the extent necessary to avoid the commencement of any such Action or to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any such Order, (i) an Antitrust Restraint with respect to businesses, services or assets of the Company in the United States that, in the aggregate, generated or were reasonably necessary to service no more than \$1,250,000,000 of the Company's revenues in calendar year 2014; and (ii) an Antitrust Restraint with respect to businesses, services or assets of the Company outside the United States that would not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the businesses of the Company outside the United States, taken as a whole.

(vii) *Divestiture obligation excluding certain assets*

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, nothing in this Agreement shall require Parent to: (1) agree to sell, divest or otherwise convey or hold separate AVXS-101, (2) permit the Company to sell, divest or otherwise convey or hold separate AVXS-101, (3) terminate existing relationships, contractual rights or obligations of the Company or any Company Subsidiary relating to the development of AVXS-101, (4) terminate any joint venture or other arrangement of the Company or any Company Subsidiary relating to the development of AVXS-101, (5) create any relationship, contractual right or obligation of the Company or any Company Subsidiary relating to the development of AVXS-101, (6) effectuate any other change or restructuring of the Company or any Company Subsidiary (and, in the case of actions by or with respect to the Company or any Company Subsidiary,



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

by consenting to such action by the Company or such Company Subsidiary) relating to the development of AVXS-101, or (7) enter into any settlement, undertaking, consent decree, stipulation or agreement with any Governmental Entity to do any of the foregoing in connection with the completion and consummation of the Transactions (each action or condition described in clauses (1)-(7), a “Burdensome Condition”).

(viii) *Divestiture obligation capped by revenues and excluding certain assets*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this Agreement, Parent and the Company shall, in order to permit the satisfaction of the conditions set forth in Section 6.1(b) and Section 6.1(c) as promptly as practicable (subject to the last sentence of this Section 5.7(d)),

(i) propose, negotiate, commit to, effect and agree to, by consent decree, hold separate order or otherwise, the sale, divestiture, license, holding separate, and other disposition of and restriction on the businesses, assets, properties, product lines, and equity interests of, or changes to the conduct of business of, the Company, Parent and their respective Subsidiaries (including the Surviving Company and its Subsidiaries) and take such action or actions that would in the aggregate have a similar effect,

(ii) create, terminate, or divest relationships, ventures, contractual rights or obligations of the Company, Parent and their respective Subsidiaries (including the Surviving Company and its Subsidiaries), and

(iii) otherwise take or commit to take any action that would limit Parent’s freedom of action with respect to, or its ability to retain or hold, directly or indirectly, any businesses, assets, equity interests, product lines or properties of the Company, Parent and their respective Subsidiaries (including the Surviving Company and its Subsidiaries);

provided that any such sales, divestitures, licenses, holdings, dispositions, restrictions, changes or similar effects are conditioned upon and become effective only from and after the Effective Time;

provided, further, however, that nothing contained in this Agreement shall require Parent or the Company to take, or cause to be taken, or commit to take, or commit to cause to be taken, any divestiture, license, hold separate, sale or other disposition, of or with respect to

(A) assets, businesses or product lines of the Company, Parent or any of their respective Subsidiaries (including the Surviving Company and its Subsidiaries) representing, in the aggregate, in excess of \$1,500,000,000.00 of Net Sales or

(B) Parent’s trademark or trade name or any businesses or product lines using any such trademarks or trade names, in each case, in any jurisdiction other than Australia.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

If requested by Parent, the Company shall agree to any action contemplated by this Section 5.7; provided that any such agreement or action is conditioned on the consummation of the Merger. Without limiting the foregoing, in no event shall the Company (and the Company shall not permit any of its Subsidiaries to) propose, negotiate, effect or agree to any such actions without the prior written consent of Parent.

(ix) *Divestiture obligation capped by EBITDA of all divestitures*

(d) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, neither Parent nor any of its Affiliates shall be required to agree to any Divestiture Action(s) where such Divestiture Action(s), collectively, would result in a loss of EBITDA generated by Parent or the Company, or any of their respective Subsidiaries, collectively, in excess of \$60.0 million based on the EBITDA calculations set forth in Schedule 6.09(d); *provided, however*, that any sale or other disposal, or holding separate and agreeing to sell or otherwise dispose, of assets required to comply with Wisconsin Statutes §§ 157.067(2) and 445.12(6) shall be disregarded for purposes of determining any loss of EBITDA pursuant to this Section 6.09(d); *provided further* that nothing herein shall relieve Parent of its obligations under Section 8.03. Parent agrees to sell or otherwise dispose of, or hold separate and agree to sell or otherwise dispose of, such assets of Parent or the Company, or any of their respective Subsidiaries, to ensure compliance with such Wisconsin Statutes so as to enable the Closing to occur as promptly as reasonably practicable and in no event later than the Extended Outside Date; *provided, however*, that the consummation of any such sale or other disposal or hold separate shall be conditioned upon the Closing. To assist Parent in complying with its obligations set forth in this Section 6.09(d), at Parent's request the Company shall, and shall cause its Subsidiaries to, enter into one or more agreements prior to the Closing with respect to any such sale or other disposal or hold separate; *provided*, however, that the consummation of any such sale or other disposal or hold separate shall be conditioned upon the Closing.

(x) *Divestiture obligation limited to acquiring company's assets and capped by revenues*

Nothing in this Section 6.7 or in this Agreement will require Parent or Merger Sub to take any action, including entering into any consent decree, hold separate orders or other arrangements, that (i) requires the divestiture of any assets of any of the Company, Parent or Merger Sub or any of their Subsidiaries, (ii) limits Parent's or its Subsidiaries' freedom of action with respect to, or its or their ability to retain the Company and its Subsidiaries or any portion thereof or any of Parent's or its affiliates' other assets or businesses or (iii) in Parent's reasonable judgment would be expected to have a material adverse impact on any of its or its Subsidiaries' businesses or the businesses to be acquired by it pursuant to this Agreement either individually or in the aggregate; *provided, however*, that Parent shall agree to license or divest those of Parent's assets or businesses or products or product lines



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

that individually or in the aggregate generated total worldwide revenues of up to the equivalent of USD \$12,000,000 in the aggregate in 2003 if necessary to obtain any required regulatory approval prior to the Termination Date.

(xi) *Divestiture obligation limited to acquired company's assets & capped by percent of revenues*

[P]rovided, however, that nothing in this Agreement, including this Section 6.5(a) or the “reasonable best efforts” or other similar standard generally, shall require, or be construed to require, Parent to proffer to, or agree to, or to permit the Company to proffer to or agree to, with respect to assets or businesses of Parent, the Company or their respective Subsidiaries, sell, divest, lease, license, transfer, dispose of or otherwise encumber or hold separate or agree to sell, divest, lease, license, transfer, dispose of or otherwise encumber before or after the Effective Time, any assets, licenses, operations, rights, product lines, businesses or interest therein of Parent, the Company or any of their respective Affiliates (or to consent to any sale, divestiture, lease, license, transfer, disposition or other encumbrance by the Company of any of its assets, licenses, operations, rights, product lines, businesses or interest therein or to any agreement by the Company to take any of the foregoing actions) or to agree to any material changes (including through a licensing arrangement) or restriction on, or other impairment of Parent’s ability to own or operate, any such assets, licenses, operations, rights, product lines, businesses or interests therein or Parent’s ability to vote, transfer, receive dividends or otherwise exercise full ownership rights with respect to the stock of the Surviving Company, except that Parent acknowledges that its reasonable best efforts under this Section 6.5(a)(i) include an obligation that Parent grant a license in respect of, dispose of or hold separate, or enter into an agreement or commitment to grant a license in respect of, dispose of or hold separate, assets, licenses, operations, rights, businesses or interests therein or business product lines of the Company and its Subsidiaries in connection with the performance of its obligations under this Section 6.5(a)(i), if, and only if, all of the following criteria are satisfied: (A) such license, disposal or hold separate (the “Consent Agreement”) is required or imposed by a Governmental Entity to permit the consummation of the Merger or the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement under applicable Antitrust Laws and (B) the assets, licenses, operations, rights, businesses or interests therein or business product lines that would be divested or held separate or otherwise affected by all Consent Agreements collectively produced gross revenues in an amount that is less than 5% of the gross revenues of the Company and its Subsidiaries during the 2009 calendar year (the “Consent Cap”).



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(xii) *Divestiture obligation capped by identified products and limitation on other products*

Buyer Parent shall (and shall cause each of its Affiliates to) offer to take (and if such offer is accepted, commit to take) all steps which it is capable of taking to avoid or eliminate impediments under any antitrust, competition, or trade regulation Law that may be asserted by a Governmental Authority with respect to the Transactions so as to enable the Closing prior to the Outside Date, and shall defend through litigation on the merits any Claim asserted in any court by any Governmental Authority, including appeals.

Without limiting the foregoing, Buyer Parent shall (and shall cause each of its Affiliates to) propose, negotiate and offer to commit and effect (and if such offer is accepted, commit to and effect) by consent decree, hold separate Order, or otherwise, the sale, divestiture, licensing or other disposition of such assets or businesses of Buyer Parent (or such Affiliates) or of any of the Acquired Assets, or otherwise offer to take or offer to commit to take any action which it is capable of taking and if the offer is accepted, take or commit to such action that limits its freedom of action with respect to, or its ability to retain, any of the businesses, services or assets of Buyer Parent (or such Affiliates) or of any of the Acquired Assets (any of the foregoing, a “*Divestiture Action*”), in each case, to the minimum extent necessary so as to permit and cause the condition set forth in Section 10.1(b) to be satisfied by the Outside Date; *provided, however*, that Buyer Parent shall not be required pursuant to the terms of this Agreement to take or commit to take any Divestiture Action other than

- (i) with respect to any and all Overlap Products, and in addition and not in limitation,
- (ii) such further Divestiture Actions as would not in the aggregate be of greater economic significance than the value of the Overlap Products that are not the subject of a Divestiture Action; *provided, however*, that this Section 6.2(a)(ii) shall not require Buyer Parent or its Controlled Affiliates to divest Specialty Products (other than Specialty Products that are Overlap Products, if any).

(xiii) *Divestiture obligation proviso capped separate limitations on Company and Parent*

Notwithstanding the foregoing or anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement,

(A) neither Parent nor any Parent Subsidiary shall be required to propose, negotiate, commit to, effect, or accept any of the actions specified in this Section 7.2(d) if such actions would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material and adverse effect on the business, product lines, or assets of the Company and the Company Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, and

(B) neither Parent nor any Parent Subsidiary shall be required to propose, negotiate, commit to, effect, or accept any obligation to, divest, dispose of or hold separate any assets, product lines or businesses of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries if such divestitures,



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

dispositions or holding separate requirements would, individually or in the aggregate, result in a divestiture, disposition or holding separate of assets, product lines or business accounting for five percent (5%) or more of the consolidated gross revenues of the Parent and its Subsidiaries during the last completed fiscal year period.

(xiv) *Divestiture obligation proviso capped by diminished revenues, profits, or value*

Neither Parent nor Merger Sub shall be required to make (or cause its applicable Affiliates or Subsidiaries to make) any such concessions or undertakings (x) unless such concessions or undertakings are conditioned on the consummation of the Merger, and (y) to the extent that, in the sole judgment of Parent, such concessions or undertakings would reasonably be expected to require Parent and its Affiliates (including, following the Merger, the Company and its Subsidiaries) to, directly or indirectly, incur costs, expenses, liabilities or losses of any kind, suffer any diminution of value, lose or forfeit any revenues, profits or expected benefits of the Merger, or diminish the combined value of Parent, the Company and their respective Subsidiaries following the Merger, in an aggregate amount in excess of \$80,000,000.

(xv) *Divestiture obligation proviso capped by multiple criteria (Example 1)*

provided, however, that, Aristotle shall agree, consistent with the terms hereof, conditioned on the Closing, to the extent necessary to ensure satisfaction of the conditions set forth in Sections 6.1(c), 6.1(e) and 6.2(d) on or prior to the Outside Date (as the same may be extended) to (1) the divestiture or disposition of one mail order dispensing facility of Aristotle, Plato or any of their respective Subsidiaries, *provided* it shall not be the Aristotle facility located in St. Louis, Missouri, (2) the divestiture or disposition of property, plant and equipment associated with specialty pharmacy dispensing or infusion facilities of Aristotle, Plato or any of their respective Subsidiaries having a net book value not in excess of \$30 million in the aggregate, *provided* it shall not include any property, plant or equipment at the Aristotle facility located in Indianapolis, Indiana, (3) the divestiture, disposition, termination, expiration, assignment, delegation, novation or transfer of Contracts of Aristotle, Plato or their respective Subsidiaries which generated, collectively, EBITDA not in excess of \$115 million during the most recently available twelve (12) calendar month period ending on the applicable date of such agreement relating to such divestiture, disposition, termination, expiration, assignment, delegation, novation or transfer; *provided, however*, with respect to this subclause (3), in no event shall, in the case of pharmacy benefits management customer Contracts of Aristotle, Plato or their respective Subsidiaries, the aggregate annual number of adjusted prescription drug claims subject to the foregoing obligation exceed 35 million (where “adjusted prescription drug claims” means (x) retail prescription drug claims, plus the product of (y)(i) mail prescription drug claims multiplied by (ii) three (3), such calculation to be performed using claims made



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

during the preceding twelve (12) calendar month period); *provided, further*, as between Aristotle and Plato, the determination of how any of the actions specified in (1)-(3) above will be implemented shall be made by Aristotle. For purposes of this Section 5.8, “EBITDA” means EBITDA as calculated by Aristotle in a manner consistent with the methodology utilized in the earnings releases Aristotle has publicly filed with SEC.

(xvi) *Divestiture obligation proviso capped by multiple criteria (Example 2)*

For the purposes of this Section 6.5, “*reasonable best efforts*” shall include taking any and all actions necessary to obtain the consents, approvals, permits, waiting period expirations or authorizations of any Governmental Entity required to consummate the Mergers prior to the Termination Date (including agreeing to regulatory conditions that would have a serious and significant adverse impact on the current or future business or operations of Parent and its Subsidiaries, including the Company and its Subsidiaries) (the “*Regulatory Actions*”); *provided* that nothing in this Agreement shall require Parent or its Subsidiaries (including the Company and its Subsidiaries) to take or refrain from taking, or agree to take or refrain from taking, any action or actions that, individually or in the aggregate, would (i) be reasonably likely to have a Combined Entertainment Group Material Effect, (ii) result in a material increase in the aggregate capital expenditures of Parent and its Subsidiaries from the Closing Date through 2021 relative to the aggregate corresponding amounts in Parent's consolidated 2017-2021 capital budget as provided to the Company prior to the date of this Agreement, or (iii) require Parent or its Subsidiaries to divest, dispose of or hold separate any businesses or assets of Parent or its Subsidiaries (not including any businesses or assets of the Company and its Subsidiaries) that are more than de minimis (the occurrence of any of the matters specified in clauses (i) through (iii), a “*Regulatory Material Adverse Effect*”).

For purposes of this Section 6.5, “*Combined Entertainment Group Material Effect*” means a material adverse effect on the financial condition, properties, assets, business or results of operations of the Combined Entertainment Group, treating for this purpose the effects of all Regulatory Actions wherever imposed (whether on Parent, its Subsidiaries, the Company and/or its Subsidiaries), as if they affected a company the size of, and having the financial and operating metrics of, the Combined Entertainment Group. “*Combined Entertainment Group*” means the businesses included in Parent's “Entertainment Group Segment Results” as of the date hereof, together with the businesses of the Company and its Subsidiaries.

(xvii) *Divestiture obligation capped by revenues and material adverse impact*

(d) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 5.6 or elsewhere in this Agreement, neither Parent nor Merger Sub shall have any obligation under this Agreement to: (i) dispose of, transfer or exclusively license or cause any of its Subsidiaries to dispose of, transfer or exclusively license any assets (including any technology,



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Software or other Intellectual Property or Intellectual Property Right) to any Person, or to commit to cause any of the Acquired Corporations to dispose of, transfer or exclusively license any assets (including any technology, Software or other Intellectual Property or Intellectual Property Right) to any Person; (ii) discontinue or cause any of its Subsidiaries to discontinue, or commit to cause any of the Acquired Corporations to discontinue, offering any product or service; (iii) non-exclusively license or otherwise make available, or cause any of its Subsidiaries to non-exclusively license or otherwise make available, to any Person any technology, Software or other Intellectual Property or Intellectual Property Right, or to commit to cause any of the Acquired Corporations to non-exclusively license or otherwise make available to any Person any technology, Software or other Intellectual Property or Intellectual Property Right; (iv) hold separate or cause any of its Subsidiaries to hold separate any assets or operations (either before or after the Closing Date), or to commit to cause any of the Acquired Corporations to hold separate any assets or operations; or (v) make or cause any of its Subsidiaries to make any commitment, or to commit to cause any of the Acquired Corporations to make any commitment (to any Governmental Body or otherwise), regarding its future operations or the future operations of any of the Acquired Corporations; *provided, however*, that Parent shall be required to take the actions set forth in clauses (i) through (v) of this Section 5.6(d) if, but only if: (A) the parties are informed by the management of the Bureau of Competition of the FTC (“Bureau Management”) that such actions are demanded and required as a condition to providing the Required Regulatory Approval; and (B) such actions, considered collectively, would not result in a Detriment. For purposes of this Section 5.6(d), an action so demanded and required by Bureau Management would be deemed to result in a “Detriment” if such action, considered together with all other actions so demanded and required by Bureau Management: (1) would have resulted in a reduction of the combined annual consolidated revenues of Parent, the Company and their respective Subsidiaries of at least \$5,000,000 for the twelve-month period ended October 31, 2011 (any such reduction of at least \$5,000,000, a “Specified Revenue Reduction”) if such action had been taken immediately before such twelve-month period; or (2) would otherwise have an adverse impact that is material to the business of Parent or the business of the Acquired Corporations, taken as a whole. It is understood that, if the only actions so demanded and required by Bureau Management are actions of the type set forth in clause (i) or clause (ii) of the first sentence of this Section 5.6(d) (“Demanded Divestiture Actions”), then for purposes of clause (2) of the proviso to the preceding sentence, such Demanded Divestiture Actions will not be deemed to have an adverse impact that is material to the business of Parent or the business of the Acquired Corporations, unless such Demanded Divestiture Actions, considered collectively, would have resulted in a Specified Revenue Reduction had such Demanded Divestiture Actions been taken immediately before such 12-month period; *provided, however*, that it is also understood that (A) for purposes of determining whether a Detriment would occur, Demanded Divestiture Actions must be considered together with actions of the type set forth in clause (iii), clause (iv) and clause (v) of the first sentence of



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

this Section 5.6(d), and (B) even if the Demanded Divestiture Actions would not themselves have resulted in a Specified Revenue Reduction, such Demanded Divestiture Actions may nonetheless be deemed to result in a Detriment when considered together with any actions of the type set forth in clause (iii), clause (iv) or clause (v) of the first sentence of this Section 5.6(d) that are demanded and required by Bureau Management.

(xviii) *Divestiture obligation capped by definition of “reasonable best efforts”*

(d) If any objections are asserted with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement under any Antitrust Law (an “Antitrust Objection”) or if any suit, action or proceeding is instituted (or threatened to be instituted) by any Governmental Entity or other Person challenging any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement as violative of any Antitrust Law (an “Antitrust Challenge”), each of the parties shall use its reasonable best efforts to resolve any such objections or challenges so as to permit consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement. For purposes of this Section 4.05(d), “reasonable best efforts” by CVS shall include without limitation, CVS and/or the Purchaser (and, to the extent required by any Governmental Entity, their Subsidiaries and affiliates) entering into a settlement, undertaking, consent decree, stipulation or other agreement (a “Settlement”) with a Governmental Entity regarding antitrust matters in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, including without limitation, entering into a Settlement that requires CVS or the Purchaser to hold separate (including by establishing a trust or otherwise) or to sell or otherwise dispose of particular assets and/or withdraw from doing business in particular geographic areas, to satisfy any Antitrust Objections or to settle any Antitrust Challenges, but, notwithstanding anything else contained in this Agreement, CVS or the Purchaser shall not be required to enter into any Settlement (i) that requires CVS or the Purchaser to hold separate (including by establishing a trust or otherwise) or to sell or otherwise dispose of or withdraw from stores of CVS or the Purchaser (and their Subsidiaries) or Southern Stores the aggregate revenues of which (for the most recent fiscal year) exceeded \$500 million (“Antitrust Store Limit”) or (ii) as a result of which the aggregate gross margin attributable to business of Pharmicare or PBM Business to be terminated or otherwise lost pursuant to such Settlement would be greater than 35% of the total gross margin attributable to such business for the most recently ended fiscal year (“Antitrust PBM Limit”).

(xix) *Divestiture obligation capped by a named business plus other assets capped by revenue*

(c) For purposes of Section 6.03(a) and (b), Parent’s “reasonable best efforts” shall include an obligation of Parent and its Subsidiaries to license, franchise, divest or hold separate any business locations or business lines of the Company, Parent or their respective Subsidiaries (including the Advantage business locations and business line owned by Parent and its Subsidiaries (“Advantage”)), or to take any similar measure, reasonably necessary to



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

secure HSR Approval or CBC Approval (a “Divestiture Action”). Notwithstanding the immediately preceding sentence, “reasonable best efforts” shall not require Parent or its Subsidiaries to license, franchise, divest or hold separate any business locations or business lines of the Company, Parent or their respective Subsidiaries other than (i) Advantage and (ii) in addition to Advantage, business locations or business lines that produced aggregate gross revenues in an amount not in excess of \$175 million (“Divested Revenues”) for Parent, the Company and their respective Subsidiaries during the 2009 calendar year, calculated in accordance with GAAP, on a basis consistent with the accounting principles used in preparing their respective 2009 financial statements included in the Company SEC Reports or Parent SEC Reports, as applicable. For the avoidance of doubt, in calculating Divested Revenues, only the business locations (or in the case of an entire business line, the business locations within such business line) for which a Divestiture Action is taken, shall be included. For example, if a Divestiture Action is required at an airport where the Parent and the Company each have a business location (or multiple business locations), only the business location at such airport that is divested shall be included in the calculation of Divested Revenues.

(xx) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 1)*

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, Parent shall not be required to take or agree or commit to take any action, including any Divestiture Action, or to limit or agree to limit its freedom of action or that of the Company or of any Subsidiary, division or affiliate of either in any respect that would, in the reasonable good faith judgment of Parent, be reasonably likely to (1) give rise to a Parent Material Adverse Effect, (2) materially impair the benefits or advantages it expects to receive from the Merger and the transactions contemplated hereby, or (3) give rise to a material adverse effect on the business plan or business strategy of the combined company.

(xxi) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 2)*

Notwithstanding the foregoing or any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, in no event shall Parent or Sub be obligated to, and the Company and its Subsidiaries shall not agree with a Governmental Entity without the prior written consent of Parent, to divest or hold separate, or enter into any licensing or similar arrangement with respect to, any material assets (whether tangible or intangible) or any material portion of any business of Parent, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries (any such action, an “Action of Divestiture”).



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(xxii) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 3)*

(i) Without limiting Section 6.2(b)(i), Buyer shall take such actions as may be reasonably necessary to avoid or eliminate each and every impediment under any Antitrust Laws so as to enable the Closing to occur as soon as reasonably possible (and in any event no later than the Outside Date) by (i) proposing negotiating, committing to and effecting, by consent decree, hold separate order, or otherwise, the sale, divestiture or disposition of such businesses, product lines or assets of the Company that would not, in the aggregate, be material to the Company's business, and (ii) otherwise taking or committing to take actions that after the Closing Date would limit Buyer's or its subsidiaries' freedom of action with respect to, or its or their ability to retain, one or more of the businesses, product lines or assets of the Company that would not, in the aggregate, be material to the Company's business, in each case as may be required in order to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any preliminary or permanent injunction, in any Action under any Antitrust Laws, which would otherwise have the effect of preventing the Closing, and in that regard Buyer shall agree to divest, sell, dispose of, hold separate, or otherwise take or commit to take any action that limits its freedom of action with respect to, or Buyer's or its subsidiaries' ability to retain, any of the businesses, product lines or assets of the Company that would not, in the aggregate, be material to the Company's business.

(xxiii) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 4)*

Notwithstanding anything else contained herein, the provisions of this Section 6.3 shall not be construed to require United or any United Subsidiary or Continental or any Continental Subsidiary to undertake any efforts or to take any action if the taking of such efforts or action is or would reasonably be expected to result (after giving effect to any reasonably expected proceeds of any divestiture or sale of assets) in a Material Adverse Effect on either United or Continental, as applicable.

(xxiv) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 5)*

Without limiting any of its other obligations hereunder, Parent and Purchaser shall take all such further action as may be necessary to resolve such objections, if any, as the United States Federal Trade Commission, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice, state antitrust enforcement authorities or competition authorities of any other nation or other jurisdiction (including multinational or supranational), or any other person,^[1] may assert under Regulatory Law with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby in order to assure satisfaction of the conditions to the Transactions, and to avoid or eliminate, and minimize the impact of, each and every impediment under any Law that

¹ NB: Not limited to Governmental Entities.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

may be asserted by any Governmental Entity with respect to the Merger, in each case so as to enable the Merger and the Transactions to occur no later than the Outside Date (any such action, a “Settlement Action”), including, without limitation by proposing, negotiating, committing to and effecting, by agreement, consent decree, hold separate order, trust or otherwise, (x) the sale, divestiture or disposition of such assets, businesses, services, products or product lines of Parent or the Company (or any of their respective Subsidiaries or affiliates) or behavioral limitations, conduct restrictions or commitments with respect to any such assets, businesses, services, products or product lines of Parent or the Company (or any of their respective Subsidiaries or affiliates), (y) the creation or termination of relationships, ventures, contractual rights or obligations of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries or affiliates and (z) any other actions that after the Closing would limit the freedom of Parent, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries’ or affiliates’ freedom of action with respect to, or its ability to retain, one or more of its or its Subsidiaries’ (including the Company’s or the Surviving Corporation’s) or affiliates’ assets, businesses, services, products or product lines, in each case as may be required under or in connection with Regulatory Laws in order to obtain all required Governmental Consents (including expirations or terminations of waiting periods whether imposed by Law or agreement) and to avoid the entry of, or to effect the dissolution of, any order in any suit or proceeding, which would otherwise have the effect of preventing the consummation of the Merger by the Outside Date; *provided* that, notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, neither Parent nor Purchaser shall be required to take, or cause to be taken, any Settlement Action that, individually or in the aggregate, would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on (i) the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, (ii) Parent and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, but deemed for this purpose to be the same size as the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or (iii) the Company, Parent and their respective Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, but deemed for this purpose to be the same size as the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole (any of the foregoing, a “Regulatory Material Adverse Effect”). The Company and its Subsidiaries shall not, without Parent’s prior written consent discuss or commit to any extension of any waiting period under any Law or to any agreement not to consummate the Merger. If requested by Parent, the Company shall take any action or make any agreement required by any Governmental Entity under any Regulatory Law; *provided* that any such action or agreement is conditioned on the consummation of the Merger. The Company shall not take any action or make any agreement required by any Governmental Entity under any Regulatory Law without the written consent of Parent, in its sole discretion.

(xxv) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 6)*

Acquiror shall use reasonable efforts to take, or cause to be taken, any and all steps and to make, or cause to be made, any and all undertakings necessary to avoid or eliminate each and every impediment under any antitrust, merger control, competition or trade regulation



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Law or any other Law applicable to the Company, any Company Subsidiary or the Merger that may be asserted by any Governmental Entity with respect to the Merger so as to enable the Effective Time and the Closing, respectively, to occur as promptly as practicable (and in any event, no later than the Extended Outside Date); provided, however, that Acquiror shall not be required to take any action which may have an adverse material effect on the value or economics (other than the costs and time associated with the exercise of reasonable efforts required by this Section 5.5(a), including responding to requests for additional information by Governmental Authorities) of the transaction for Acquiror.

(xxvi) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (Example 7)*

(b) *Divestitures.* In furtherance and not in limitation of the foregoing, if and to the extent necessary to obtain clearance of the Merger pursuant to the HSR Act and any other Antitrust Laws set forth in Section 7.1(b) of the Company Disclosure Letter, each of Parent and Merger Sub (and their respective Affiliates) will and, solely to the extent requested by Parent, the Company and its Affiliates will: (i) offer, negotiate, commit to and effect, by consent decree, hold separate order or otherwise, (A) the sale, divestiture, license or other disposition of any and all of the capital stock or other equity or voting interest, assets (whether tangible or intangible), rights, products or businesses of the Company and its Subsidiaries; and (B) any other restrictions on the activities of the Company and its Subsidiaries; and (ii) contest, defend and appeal any Legal Proceedings, whether judicial or administrative, challenging this Agreement or the consummation of the Merger. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Parent will not be required, either pursuant to this Section 6.2(b) or otherwise, to offer, negotiate, commit to, effect or otherwise take any action with respect to the Company and its Subsidiaries or their respective businesses, product lines, assets, permits, operations, rights, or interest therein if taking such action would reasonably be expected to be materially adverse to the business, financial condition or results of operations of the Company and its Subsidiaries taken as a whole. For the avoidance of doubt, Parent will not be required, either pursuant to this Section 6.2(b) or otherwise, to offer, negotiate, commit to, effect or otherwise take any action with respect to the Parent or any of its Affiliates or their respective businesses, product lines, assets, permits, operations, rights, or interest therein (other than with respect to the Company and its Subsidiaries to the extent required by this Section 6.2(b)) if taking such action would reasonably be expected to (A) have a material impact on the benefits expected to be derived from the Merger by Parent or (B) have more than an immaterial impact on any business or product line of Parent and its Subsidiaries.

(xxvii) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with EBITDA defined)*

(b) Notwithstanding Section 8.02(a) or anything else in this Agreement to the contrary, nothing in this Agreement will obligate or require Parent, Merger Sub 1, Merger Sub 2 or



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

any of their respective Subsidiaries to take or cause to be taken any action (or refrain or cause to refrain from taking any action) or agree or cause to agree to any term, condition or limitation (including, in each case, any of the actions or items referred to in the last sentence of Section 8.02(a)) as a condition to, or in connection with, (x) the expiration or termination of any applicable waiting period relating to the Mergers under the HSR Act, (y) any other Antitrust Law or (z) obtaining any Parent Required Governmental Authorization or Company Required Governmental Authorization or any other Consent from a Governmental Authority or otherwise, in each case if such action (or refraining from such action), term, condition or limitation would have or would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Regulatory Material Adverse Effect on Parent or on the Company.

“*Regulatory Material Adverse Effect*” means, with respect to any Person, a material adverse effect on the financial condition, business, revenue or EBITDA of such Person and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole; provided that, for purposes of determining whether any action, term or condition has had or would reasonably be expected to have a Regulatory Material Adverse Effect on the Company, the Company and its Subsidiaries will collectively be deemed to be a company the size of (and with revenue and EBITDA equal to those of) Parent and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole (excluding, for the avoidance of doubt, the Company and its Subsidiaries); provided, further, that, for purposes of determining whether any action, term or condition would have or would reasonably be expected to have a Regulatory Material Adverse Effect on Parent or on the Company, impacts on Parent, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries will be aggregated. “EBITDA” means, with respect to any Person, the sum of (1) consolidated net income, determined in accordance with GAAP, plus (2) without duplication and to the extent deducted in determining such consolidated net income, the sum of (I) consolidated interest expense, (II) consolidated income tax expense and (III) all amounts attributed to depreciation or amortization, in each case of such Person and its Subsidiaries.

(xxviii) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with synergies considered) (Example 1)*

Notwithstanding Section 8.01(a) or anything else in this Agreement to the contrary, nothing in this Agreement will obligate or require Parent or Merger Subsidiary to take or cause to be taken any action (or refrain or cause to refrain from taking any action) or agree or cause to agree to any term, condition or limitation as a condition to, or in connection with, (x) the expiration or termination of any applicable waiting period relating to the Merger under the HSR Act, (y) any other Applicable Law that is designed or intended to prohibit, restrict or regulate actions having the purpose or effect of monopolization or restraint of trade or (z) obtaining any Required Governmental Authorization, in each case if such action (or refraining from such action), term, condition or limitation would have or would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Regulatory Material Adverse Effect on Parent or on the Company. “Regulatory Material Adverse



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Effect” means, with respect to any Person, any event, change, effect, development or occurrence, in each case resulting from or arising out of the matters contemplated by clauses (x) through (z) of the first sentence of this Section 8.01(b), that has a material adverse effect on the financial condition, business, revenue or EBITDA of such Person and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole; *provided* that, for purposes of determining whether any action, term or condition would have or would reasonably be expected to have a Regulatory Material Adverse Effect on Parent, Parent and its Subsidiaries will collectively be deemed to be a company the size of (and with revenue and EBITDA equal to those of) the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole; *provided, further*, that, for purposes of determining whether any action, term or condition would have or would reasonably be expected to have a Regulatory Material Adverse Effect on Parent or on the Company, (i) impacts on the synergies expected to be realized from the Merger that are publicly disclosed by Parent will be taken into account and (ii) impacts on Parent, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries will be aggregated. “EBITDA” means, with respect to any Person, the sum of (1) consolidated net income, determined in accordance with GAAP, plus (2) without duplication and to the extent deducted in determining such consolidated net income, the sum of (I) consolidated interest expense, (II) consolidated income tax expense and (III) all amounts attributed to depreciation or amortization, in each case of such Person and its Subsidiaries.

(xxix) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with synergies considered) (Example 2)*

Except as provided in the immediately preceding sentence, nothing in this Agreement shall require, or be construed to require, (i) Parent or any of its Subsidiaries to take or refrain from taking any action (including any divestiture, holding separate any business or assets or other similar action) or to agree to any restriction or condition with respect to any assets, operations, business or the conduct of business of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries and (ii) Parent, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries to take or refrain from taking any action (including any divestiture, holding separate any business or assets or other similar action) or to agree to any restriction or condition with respect to any assets, operations, business or the conduct of business of the Company and its Subsidiaries, if, in the case of this clause (ii), any such action, failure to act, restriction, condition or agreement, individually or in the aggregate, would reasonably be likely to have a Company Material Adverse Effect (read without regard to the exceptions set forth therein and without giving effect to clause (A) thereof) (except as provided in the immediately preceding sentence, the occurrence of any of the matters specified in clause (i) or clause (ii) above shall constitute a “Regulatory Material Adverse Effect”). In addition, in measuring whether a Regulatory Material Adverse Effect has occurred, the expected loss of any reasonably expected synergies (both cost and revenue) relating to any restriction or condition shall be taken into account as if the Company had an adverse effect to its



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

financial condition and results of operations equal to the expected amount of applicable synergies affected by any such restriction or condition.

(xxx) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with synergies considered) (Example 3)*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement, none of Parent, Holdco or the other Subsidiaries of Parent shall be required to, and none of the Company and its Subsidiaries shall, without the prior written consent of Parent, take any action, or commit to take any action, or agree to any condition or limitation contemplated in this Section 7.3 that is not conditioned on the consummation of the Merger or that would result in, or would be reasonably likely to result in, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the Company, Parent and their respective Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, after giving effect to the Merger, including the synergies expected to be realized from the Merger (a “*Burdensome Condition*”).

(xxxi) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with carve-out for specified business) (Example 1)*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, in connection with any filing or submission required or action to be taken by either Parent or the Company to consummate the Offer and the Merger, in no event shall Parent or any of its Subsidiaries or Affiliates be obligated to propose or agree to accept any undertaking or condition, to enter into any consent decree, to make any divestiture or accept any operational restriction or take or commit to take any action related to (i) the Company or its Subsidiaries (A) the effectiveness or consummation of which is not conditional on the consummation of the Merger or (B) that individually or in the aggregate is or would reasonably be expected to be materially adverse (either before or after giving effect to the Offer or the Merger) to the business of the Company and its subsidiaries (taken as a whole) (with materiality, for purposes of this provision, being measured in relation to size of the Company and its Subsidiaries taken as a whole) or (ii) Parent or its Subsidiaries (A) the effectiveness or consummation of which is not conditional on the consummation of the Merger or (B) that individually or in the aggregate is or would reasonably be expected to be materially adverse (either before or after giving effect to the Offer or the Merger) to the business of Parent and its subsidiaries (taken as a whole) (with materiality, for purposes of this provision, being measured in relation to size of the Company and its Subsidiaries taken as a whole, *provided* that an undertaking, condition, consent decree, divestiture, restriction or other action involving gross revenue of \$37,500,000 or less per year, individually or in the aggregate, shall not, in and of itself, be deemed materially adverse, solely for this purpose) (a “*Materially Burdensome Condition*”); *provided, however*, that an undertaking, condition, consent decree, divestiture, restriction or other action related to the Company’s endoscopic vessel harvesting business (the “*Company’s EVH Business*”) shall not, in and of itself, be



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

deemed a Materially Burdensome Condition. Any expenses incurred by the Company, Parent and Purchaser in connection with this Section 5.6(b) shall be borne by Parent and Purchaser.

(xxvii) *Divestiture obligation capped by materiality (with carve-out for specified business)*
(Example 2)

(a) Acquiror agrees that, if required to obtain the approvals or clearances described in paragraphs 1 and 3 of Schedule E hereto by the Outside Date, the sale, divestiture or disposition of one oriented strand board (OSB) mill of either Company or Acquiror, or of one of their respective subsidiaries, that is operating as at the date of this Agreement, located in a province of Canada west of Manitoba and has a annual rated capacity of less than 450 MMSF (referred to hereinafter as a “Specified Mill”) shall be deemed for purposes of Section 5.6(c) not to be likely to significantly and adversely affect the business, assets, properties, financial condition or results of the operations of Company, Acquiror or their respective subsidiaries. For greater certainty, the Parties agree that the sale, divestiture or disposition of anything else than one Specified Mill shall be deemed for purposes of Section 5.6(c) to be likely to significantly and adversely affect the business, assets, properties, financial condition or results of the operations of Company, Acquiror or their respective subsidiaries.

(b) For the purposes of this Section 9.5, a Specified Mill shall include all tangible and intangible assets, supply arrangements, access to fiber supply (including Tenures) and other arrangements or agreements required to operate the Specified Mill as an OSB production mill that any Government Entity may require in order to obtain the approvals or clearances described in paragraphs 1 and 3 of Schedule E hereto by the Outside Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, or any anything else in this Agreement, in no event shall Acquiror be required to sell, divest, dispose of, hold separate or otherwise grant access to or grant rights in or to or for the use of any tangible or intangible assets used exclusively for the Acquiror's radiant barrier lamination line.

(xxviii) *Divestiture obligation capped by dollar thresholds and carve-outs*

(d) For purposes of Section 7.02(c), except to the extent expressly waived in writing by ABI in its sole discretion, one or more Remedial Actions shall constitute a “Regulatory MAE” if and to the extent such Remedial Actions, individually or in the aggregate with all other Remedial Actions taken together, either

(i) would reasonably be expected to result (after giving effect to any net after-tax proceeds or other benefits reasonably expected to result from any such Remedial Action) in adverse valuation effects (measured on a net present value basis) to (A) the business, results of operations or financial condition of (x) the Company or its Subsidiaries, or



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(y) ABI or its Subsidiaries either before or after giving effect to the Merger and the Offer or (B) any anticipated benefits (net of any costs associated with or relating to such anticipated benefits so affected) reasonably expected to result to ABI, the Company and their respective Subsidiaries from the Merger or the Offer, that, in the case of (A) and (B), individually or in the aggregate exceed \$3 billion or

(ii) would require ABI, the Company or any of their respective Subsidiaries or Affiliates to divest, sell, dispose, assign, split (with respect to asset, brand, brand family, trademarks or geography), license any rights with respect to or enter into a co-existence agreement or agreement providing for a covenant not to sue, or take or agree to other actions, commitments or restrictions (including with respect to marketing) with respect to any Major Brand, any Major Brand Assets or a Major Brand Business. The following shall be deemed to constitute “Major Brands”: the Budweiser, Bud Light, Michelob, Corona or Modelo trademarks, brands or brand families or any extension or derivative thereof reasonably considered to be within such trademark, brand or brand family. The following shall be deemed to constitute “Major Brand Assets”: any tangible or intangible assets or property (including trademarks, trade names, trade dress and other intellectual property rights) and contract or other rights owned, used or held for use by ABI, the Company, Crown JV or any of their respective Subsidiaries primarily in connection with, or otherwise to the extent primarily relating to, the manufacture, marketing, sale and/or distribution of any product(s) sold under any Major Brand(s). “Major Brand Business” means: the operation of any of the Major Brands businesses.

(e) For purposes of the definition of “Regulatory MAE”, adverse valuation effects shall include any losses, costs, fines, penalties, expenses or damages incurred or paid in connection with, or to the extent arising from, any Remedial Action (including any losses, costs, fines, penalties, expenses or damages incurred or paid in connection with or to the extent arising from (x) any current or future investigations, demands or claims against or involving the Company or its Subsidiaries by the Mexican Federal Competition Commission (Comisión Federal de Competencia) in respect of any actions, or failures to act, by the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, whether prior to or after the date hereof (the “Mexican Competition Matters”) or (y) any claim by any third party that (A) the Company, ABI or any of their respective Subsidiaries has breached an obligation to such third party as a result of its compliance with the requirements of a Remedial Action or (B) its consent was required to effect a Remedial Action (in the case of clause (y), to the extent of losses, costs, fines, penalties, expenses or damages reasonably expected to be incurred or paid in connection therewith, or to the extent arising therefrom)). The parties understand and agree that a reasonable monetary estimate of qualitative effects of a Remedial Action, including without limitation, any reputational harm, competitive disadvantage, lost business opportunity or other qualitative harm, shall be included, without duplication, in the determination referenced in clause (i) of Section 7.02(d).



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(xxxiv) *Divestiture obligation capped by definition of MAC defined in a disclosure letter*

Nothing in this Agreement shall require, or be construed to require, Purchaser or Seller or their respective Subsidiaries to take any action or enter into any agreement with respect to any of its assets, business or operations (the sum of the aggregate positive and negative economic effects of all such actions and agreements on the value of the assets, business or operations of the Purchaser and their respective Subsidiaries (excluding synergies anticipated to be realized by Purchaser or Seller or their respective Subsidiaries from the Merger) and on the value of the assets, business or operations of Seller or their respective Subsidiaries, as applicable, as of the date of any determination being referred to herein as the “Net Effects”), that would, individually or in the aggregate, reasonably be expected to result in the aggregate negative Net Effects being more than the Material Adverse Amount (as defined in Section [*] of the Disclosure Letter (a “Material Adverse Condition”)). For purposes of calculating Net Effects with respect to the sale of a market or spectrum it is agreed that [details on calculations].

(xxxv) *Divestiture obligation capped by a “Substantial Detriment”*

Notwithstanding the foregoing or anything otherwise contained in this Agreement that may be to the contrary, (1) neither Parent nor the Company shall be required to take any Divestiture Action that is not conditioned upon consummation of the Merger, (2) the Company shall not agree to take any Divestiture Action without the consent of Parent, (3) none of Parent or any of its Affiliates shall be required to take or accept (or commit to take or accept) any action, condition, restriction, obligation or requirement (collectively, for purposes of this Section, “actions”) in order to obtain any approval, exemption or other authorization of a Governmental Authority involving any business or asset of Parent or its Affiliates that would otherwise be required by Section 8.01 or this Section 8.02 unless there is no action (including a Divestiture Action) that would permit such approval, exemption or other authorization of a Governmental Authority to be obtained that involves solely businesses or assets of the Company and its Subsidiaries and to which Parent is required by Section 8.01 or this Section 8.02, or is otherwise willing in Parent’s sole discretion, to agree, and (4) Parent shall not be required to take (pursuant to Section 8.01, this Section 8.02(b) or any other provision of this Agreement) any action (including a Divestiture Action) to the extent such action (including a Divestiture Action), individually or in the aggregate with all other actions (including Divestiture Actions), would reasonably be expected to result in a Substantial Detriment. “Substantial Detriment” means (i) any material limitation, restriction or prohibition on the ability of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries effectively to acquire, hold or exercise full rights of ownership (including with respect to voting) of the Shares or shares of the Surviving Corporation to be acquired or owned pursuant to the Merger or the assets of the Company and its Subsidiaries, (ii) a loss by Parent and its Affiliates of a material benefit or material benefits (including, without limitation, revenue or cost synergies), after taking into account the adverse effect of the



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

proposed actions on Parent and its Affiliates (including, for these purposes, the Surviving Company and its Subsidiaries), arising from or relating to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement, (iii) an impact that is materially adverse to the assets, business, results of operation or financial condition of the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries, or (iv) an impact that is materially adverse to the assets, business, results of operation or financial condition of the Parent and its Subsidiaries, assuming for purposes of this determination that the Parent and its Subsidiaries are of equivalent size to the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole.

(xxxvi) *Divestiture obligation capped by a “Detriment Limit”*

Without limiting the foregoing, Schlumberger and Merger Sub shall take all such action as may be necessary to resolve such objections, if any, that the United States Federal Trade Commission, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice, the European Commission, state antitrust enforcement authorities or competition authorities of any other nation or other jurisdiction, or any other person, may assert under Regulatory Laws with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby, and to avoid or eliminate, and minimize the impact of, each and every impediment under Regulatory Laws that may be asserted by any Governmental Entity with respect to the Merger so as to enable the Closing to occur as soon as reasonably possible (and in any event no later than the Termination Date); provided, however, that nothing contained in this Agreement requires Schlumberger, Merger Sub or Smith to take, or cause to be taken, any action with respect to any of the assets, businesses or product lines of Smith or any of its Subsidiaries, or of Schlumberger or any of its Subsidiaries (including the Surviving Entity), or any combination thereof, if such action would exceed the Detriment Limit. For purposes of this Agreement, the “Detriment Limit” would be exceeded if the assets, businesses or product lines required to be divested or held separate in order to obtain actions or nonactions, waivers, authorizations, expirations or terminations of waiting periods, clearances, consents and approvals from Governmental Entities under Regulatory Laws includes assets, business or product lines other than (i) the assets, businesses and product lines acquired by Smith in connection with its acquisition of W-H Energy Services, Inc. or corresponding assets, businesses or product lines of Schlumberger or its Subsidiaries overlapping with the assets, businesses or product lines acquired by Smith in connection with its acquisition of W-H Energy Services, Inc., and (ii) other assets, businesses and product lines accounting for not more than \$190 million of revenue of either Schlumberger or Smith for the 12 months ending December 31, 2009, excluding from such calculation (A) assets, businesses or product lines described in clause (i) of this Section 7.6(d), and (B) assets, businesses or product lines of the Wilson business unit of Smith (which assets, businesses or product lines may be required to be divested or held separate and if so will not be deemed to exceed the Detriment Limit). If requested by Schlumberger, Smith will agree to any action contemplated by this Section 7.6(b), provided that any such agreement or action is



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

conditioned on the consummation of the Merger. The parties agree that the calculation of revenue shall be measured by reference to the lowest such revenue of Schlumberger or Smith for each such overlapping asset, business or product line so required to be divested, regardless of which asset, business or product line Schlumberger actually divests. The foregoing agreement in this section is made solely to facilitate the closing of the Merger and does not constitute a representation or admission that the Merger, if consummated without any modification, would violate any Regulatory Laws or that agreeing to the divestitures, hold separate conditions or other restrictions permitted herein or suggested by any person or authority acting under any Regulatory Law would not be harmful to the parties.

(xxxvii) *Divestiture obligation capped by a “Gross Economic Value” w/ arbitration of disputes*

6.03(f) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, Parent’s obligations under this Agreement shall not include taking any action that, and Parent shall not be required to accept (and the Company and the Company Subsidiaries shall not accept without Parent’s prior written consent) any undertaking or condition, to enter into any consent decree, to make any divestiture, to accept any operational restriction, or take any other action (each of the foregoing, a “Regulatory Requirement”) that would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition or results of operations of (i) Parent and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole (excluding the Company and the Company Subsidiaries) or (ii) the Company and the Company Subsidiaries, taken as a whole. For purposes of the immediately preceding sentence, one or more Regulatory Requirements shall be deemed to constitute a “material adverse effect” if one or more of such Regulatory Requirements (X) would reasonably be expected to, individually or in the aggregate, have a Gross Economic Value, assuming the consummation of such Regulatory Requirement, equal to or greater than \$131.0 million or (Y) would require Parent or its subsidiaries to license or otherwise make available television or online measurement data to any third party who intends to offer a service to customers incorporating such television or online measurement data to customers who do not also subscribe to the services provided by Parent or its subsidiaries related to such data.

For purposes of this Agreement, “Gross Economic Value” of each Regulatory Requirement shall be the Reduced EBITDA of either (A) Parent or the Company or (B) both Parent and the Company if any businesses, services or assets of both Parent and the Company (or their respective subsidiaries) are subject to such Regulatory Requirement, (X) in the case of clause (A), multiplied by the Parent Multiple or the Company Multiple (as the case may be) and (Y) in the case of clause (B), multiplied by the Parent Multiple or the Company Multiple, as the case may be.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

For purposes of this Agreement, “EBITDA” means earnings before interest, taxes depreciation and amortization and after deducting stock based compensation expense, calculated in accordance with the past reporting practices of either Parent or the Company, as the case may be. For purposes of this Agreement, the “Reduced EBITDA” resulting from a Regulatory Requirement shall be determined by multiplying (X) any reduction in annual revenue for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 associated with any business, service or asset subject to a Regulatory Requirement under consideration that would have resulted from the action proposed under such Regulatory Requirement had such proposed action been effective on January 1, 2012 and in effect until December 31, 2012 by (Y) the EBITDA Margin for such business, service or asset.

For purposes of this Agreement, “EBITDA Margin” shall mean the percentage resulting from dividing EBITDA for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 by the GAAP revenues for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012. EBITDA Margin for a business, service or asset shall be (a) if Parent or the Company track for internal reporting purposes the EBITDA for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012 associated with the business, service or asset, the percentage determined by dividing EBITDA for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012 by the GAAP revenues for such business, service or asset, or otherwise (b) the EBITDA Margin for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012 for Parent or the Company.¹

6.03(g) In the event any Regulatory Requirement is proposed by any Governmental Entity or the Company requests that Parent propose such a Regulatory Requirement to a Governmental Entity, Parent or the Company (as applicable) shall promptly notify and provide details to the other party of such proposal and Parent shall determine within ten Business Days of such proposal (or within ten Business Days of receiving notice of, or a request to make, such proposal from the Company) (but in no event less than two Business Days prior to the Outside Date) of such proposal whether it is required pursuant to Section 6.03(f) to accept such Regulatory Requirement. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, in the event that (i) Parent proposes a Regulatory Requirement or (ii) Parent determines that it is required pursuant to Section 6.03(f) to accept a Regulatory Requirement, the parties shall take any action necessary to satisfy such Regulatory Requirement. In the event that Parent determines within such period that it is not required pursuant to Section 6.03(f) to accept such Regulatory Requirement, Parent will promptly (and in any event within two Business Days of such determination) provide written notice to the Company setting forth in reasonable detail the basis for such determination and, if Parent determines that it is not required to accept such Regulatory Requirement as a result of clause (X) of the last sentence of the first paragraph of Section 6.03(f), such notice shall include Parent’s calculation in reasonable detail of the Gross Economic Value, together with supporting materials. Parent shall provide the Company

¹ Company Multiple and Parent Multiple were defined in the agreement.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

with reasonable access to Parent's experts and personnel and any other information that the Company reasonably requests in connection with its review of Parent's calculation of Gross Economic Value. In the event the Company disagrees with such calculation, the Company shall promptly (and in any event within two Business Days of such determination) notify Parent of and provide reasonable detail of such disagreement. Following the Company's notification to Parent, the parties shall use reasonable best efforts to attempt, as promptly as practicable, to mutually agree on the amount of the Gross Economic Value. In the event the parties cannot mutually agree upon the Gross Economic Value within five Business Days of first attempting to determine such amount, the parties shall jointly refer such dispute or controversy (the "Disputed Item") to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP or to such other accounting firm or financial institution as mutually determined by the parties (the "Accounting Arbitrator"). The Accounting Arbitrator shall consider only the Disputed Item referred by the parties and shall be required to resolve the Disputed Item in accordance with the terms and provisions of this Agreement (the "Arbitration") as the exclusive remedy for such dispute. In connection with the resolution of the Disputed Item by the Accounting Arbitrator: (i) each of Parent and the Company shall furnish or cause to be furnished to the Accounting Arbitrator only the data, correspondence and other materials it presented to the other party pursuant to the first sentence of this Section 6.03(g), and no other materials; (ii) each of Parent and the Company shall be afforded the opportunity to make a presentation to the Accounting Arbitrator relating to the Disputed Item and to discuss such Disputed Item with the Accounting Arbitrator, in each case in the presence of the other party; (iii) no ex parte communications with the Accounting Arbitrator with respect to the Arbitration shall be permitted; (iv) the Accounting Arbitrator shall only decide the specific Disputed Item; and (v) the determination by the Accounting Arbitrator shall be delivered in a written report to both Parent and the Company within fifteen (15) days of the submission to the Accounting Arbitrator of the Disputed Item, and shall be the sole and binding determination with respect to the Disputed Item. Parent and the Company shall reasonably cooperate with the Accounting Arbitrator and respond on a timely basis to all reasonable requests for information or access to documents or personnel made by the Accounting Arbitrator or by the other party, all with the intent to fairly and in good faith resolve all disputes relating to the Disputed Item as promptly as reasonably practicable. The parties hereto agree that the Arbitration, and all matters relating thereto or arising thereunder, including the existence of the Arbitration or Disputed Item, the proceeding and all of its elements (including any documents or materials submitted or exchanged, any testimony or other oral submissions, and any decision of the Accounting Arbitrator), shall be subject to the Confidentiality Agreement. Each party hereto shall bear its own fees and costs in connection with the Arbitration; provided, however, that each such party shall pay one-half of any fees and expenses of the Accounting Arbitrator in connection with the Arbitration. Each party hereto shall use its best efforts to cause the Arbitration to be conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the foregoing provisions of this Section 6.03(g), and hereby



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

further waives the right to object to the conduct of the Arbitration in accordance herewith (other than compliance with the terms hereof).

(xxxviii) *Divestiture obligation capped by number of stores and EBITDA “impact”*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, in each case to the extent necessary in order to obtain the requisite Consents of Governmental Entities, Parent shall, and shall cause its subsidiaries to,

(A) (1) sell, lease, license, transfer, dispose of, divest or otherwise encumber, or hold separate pending any such action, or (2) proffer, propose, negotiate, offer to effect or consent, commit or agree to any sale, lease, licensing, transfer, disposal, divestiture, or other encumbrance of, or hold separate, in each case before or after the Effective Time, the assets, licenses, properties, businesses and interests of Parent, the Company and any of their respective subsidiaries and

(B) take or agree to take any other action, and agree or consent to any limitations or restrictions on freedom of actions with respect to, or its ability to own, control, retain or make changes in, the assets, licenses, properties, businesses and interests of Parent, the Company and any of their respective subsidiaries or Parent’s ability to vote, transfer, receive dividends or otherwise exercise full ownership rights with respect to the stock of the Surviving Corporation (each action under clause (A) (1) or (2) or clause (B), a “Divestiture Action”);

provided that (i), with respect to any sale, transfer, disposition, divestiture or hold separate of any retail stores of Parent, the Company or any of their respective subsidiaries, neither Parent nor any of its subsidiaries shall be required to (x) sell, transfer, dispose of, divest or hold separate, or (y) proffer, propose, negotiate, offer to effect or consent, commit or agree to any sale, transfer, disposal, divestiture or hold separate, in each case before or after the Effective Time, more than an aggregate of 1,000 retail stores of Parent and its subsidiaries and the Company and its subsidiaries and (ii) with respect to any Divestiture Action not described in the preceding clause (i), Parent will not be required to take such other Divestiture Action other than (a) the actions set forth on Section 6.4(d) of the Parent Disclosure Schedule and (b) such Divestiture Actions as would not, individually or in the aggregate, result in an impact exceeding \$100 million in the aggregate (without taking into account any impact arising from or relating to any action set forth on Section 6.4(d) of the Parent Disclosure Schedule) as a result of (x) the divestiture of non-earnings generating assets, properties or businesses, in each case with such impact calculated as the fair market value of such assets, properties or businesses so divested or (y) the divestiture of earnings generating assets, properties or businesses, or any other adverse impact on the assets, businesses, liabilities or financial condition of Parent, the Company or their respective subsidiaries (including, with respect to Parent, the Surviving Corporation), in each case with such impact calculated by multiplying any reduction of Adjusted EBITDA on an



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

annual basis resulting therefrom by twelve (12) (any or all of the actions set forth in clauses (a) and (b) of this proviso, a “Required Antitrust Action”);

provided, further, that, as between Parent and the Company, Parent shall determine in its sole discretion the retail stores of Parent, the Company or any of their respective subsidiaries to be so sold, transferred, disposed of, divested, held separate or subject to any restriction or limitation;

provided, further, that nothing in this Section 6.4 or otherwise shall obligate Parent to agree to any Divestiture Action not conditioned upon the Closing or the satisfaction or (to the extent permitted by applicable Law) waiver of all of the conditions to Closing (other than those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing) in a case where the Closing will occur immediately following consummation of such Divestiture Action;

provided, further, that in the event that a Parent Permitted Transaction has been entered into or consummated, any remedies requested or required by any Governmental Entity as a result of or that relate to such Parent Permitted Transaction that are taken by Parent shall not be considered in determining whether the Required Antitrust Action threshold has been met.

Upon Parent’s reasonable request, the Company shall, and shall cause its subsidiaries to, (i) reasonably assist Parent in any sales process (including through facilitation of reasonable due diligence) with potential purchasers of any of the Company’s or its subsidiaries’ businesses or other assets proposed by Parent to be subject to any Divestiture Action and (ii) enter into one or more agreements to be entered into by any of them prior to the Closing with respect to any Divestiture Action (each, a “Company Divestiture Action”); *provided, however*, that no such agreement with respect to a Company Divestiture Action shall become effective until the Effective Time. The Company shall not, and shall not permit any of its Representatives to, agree to any Divestiture Action without the prior written consent of Parent.

(xxxix) *Divestiture obligation capped by “Burdensome Condition”*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, the parties hereto understand and agree that “reasonable best efforts” shall not require Parent to (i) divest or otherwise hold separate (including by establishing a trust or otherwise) any businesses, assets or properties of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries or any businesses, assets or properties of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, (ii) accept any conditions or take any other actions that would apply to, or affect, any businesses, assets or properties of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries or any businesses, assets or properties of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries or (iii) litigate or participate in the litigation of any proceeding involving the FCC, the Federal Trade Commission or Department of Justice, whether judicial or administrative, in order to (A) oppose or defend against any action by any such



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Governmental Authority to prevent or enjoin the consummation of the Merger or any of the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement or (B) overturn any regulatory action by any such Governmental Authority to prevent consummation of the Merger or any of the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement, including by defending any suit, action or other legal proceeding brought by any such Governmental Authority in order to avoid the entry of, or to have vacated, overturned or terminated or appealing any order, except, in the case of this clause (iii), to the extent Parent determines in its reasonable good faith judgment that there is a reasonable prospect of success in relation to such litigation and that the participation by Parent in such litigation would not pose a material risk of the imposition of a Burdensome Condition; *provided, however*, that, (x) notwithstanding the preceding clause (i), Parent is prepared to divest up to approximately 3 million subscribers of the combined company and (y) Parent and its Subsidiaries shall be required, notwithstanding the preceding clause (ii), (A) to take the actions and accept the conditions described in the immediately preceding clause (ii) to the extent such actions are consistent in scope and magnitude with the conditions and actions (other than any condition that was subsequently suspended by the agency that imposed the condition) required or imposed by Governmental Authorities in connection with prior acquisitions of United States domestic Cable Systems consummated within the past twelve years with an aggregate purchase price of at least \$500 million and (B) to implement the undertakings set forth on Section 8.01 of the Parent Disclosure Schedule (other than any undertaking to divest subscribers, the “Undertakings”), with such modifications to the Undertakings that, taken in the aggregate, are no more adverse to the businesses, assets and properties of Parent and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or the businesses, assets and properties of the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole (each condition and action described in clause (i) or (ii) that Parent is not required to accept or take after giving effect to the proviso to this Section 8.01(e), a “Burdensome Condition”).

In that regard, the Company agrees to work in good faith in connection with Parent’s efforts to structure any divestitures (whether by sale, spin off or otherwise) in a manner that Parent believes in good faith is in the best interests of the combined company and its shareholders.

In addition, the Company shall not accept any of the conditions or take any of the foregoing actions (whether or not consistent in scope and magnitude with such prior conditions and actions) without Parent’s prior written consent. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no party shall be required to commit to or effect any action contemplated by this Section 8.01(e) that is not conditioned upon the consummation of the Merger.

(xl) *Divestiture obligation capped by a “Regulatory Adverse Impact”*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, Parent’s obligations under this Agreement shall not include taking any action that, and Parent shall not be required to



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

accept (and the Company and the Company Subsidiaries shall not accept without Parent's prior written consent) any undertaking or condition, to enter into any consent decree, to make any divestiture, to accept any operational restriction, or take any other action (each of the foregoing, a "Restriction") that would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, Regulatory Adverse Impact."

"Regulatory Adverse Impact" means an impact on the financial condition, properties, assets, business or results of operations of Parent and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, that is both significant and adverse, which determination may, in Parent's sole discretion, take into account any reduction in revenue and/or cost synergies anticipated from the Mergers that results from the applicable Restrictions.

(xli) *Divestiture obligation capped according to reason for divestiture (Example 1)*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, Parent is not required to commit to or effect

(A) any sale, divestiture, lease, holding separate pending a sale or other transfer or disposal or

(B) any other restriction or action contemplated by this Section 5.4(d)

if

(I) in the case of clause (A), such action would require the sale, divestiture, lease, holding separate pending a sale or other transfer or disposal of any assets, properties, businesses or product lines of Parent, the Company or any of their respective Affiliates or Subsidiaries representing, in the aggregate, more than \$850,000,000 of annual revenue generated (x) during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 (in the case of assets, properties, businesses or product lines of Parent or its Affiliates or Subsidiaries), (y) during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016 (in the case of assets, properties, businesses or product lines of the Company or its Affiliates or Subsidiaries (other than assets, properties, businesses or product lines that were, prior to the Applicable Company Subsidiary Acquisition Closing, of the Applicable Company Subsidiary or its Subsidiaries)) or (z) during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 (in the case of assets, properties, businesses or product lines that were, prior to the Applicable Company Subsidiary Acquisition Closing, of the Applicable Company Subsidiary or its Subsidiaries), or

(II) in the case of clause (B), such restriction or action, individually or taken together with all sales, divestitures, leases, holding separate pending a sale, transfers, disposals and other restrictions and actions contemplated by this Section 5.4(d), in the aggregate would or would reasonably be expected to have a materially adverse impact on the Company, Parent or their respective Subsidiaries or Affiliates, in each case measured



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

on a scale relative to the size of the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole (without giving effect to the Merger), or on the expected benefits to Parent of the Merger (either of clause (I) or clause (II), an “*Unacceptable Condition*”).

(xlii) *Divestiture obligation capped according to reason for divestiture (Example 2)*

Notwithstanding the foregoing or any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, in no event shall Parent or its Subsidiaries (including Sub and, after the Effective Time, the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries) be required to agree to or accept

(A) any prohibition of or limitation on its or their ownership, or any limitation that would affect its or their operation, of any portion of their respective businesses or assets, including after giving effect to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement,

(B) divest, hold separate or otherwise dispose of any portion of its or their respective businesses or assets, including after giving effect to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement,

(C) any limitation on the ability of Parent or its Subsidiaries to acquire or hold or exercise full rights of ownership of any capital stock of the Company or its Subsidiaries, including after giving effect to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement, or

(D) any other limitation on its or their ability to, or the manner in which they, operate, conduct or control their respective businesses or operations, including after giving effect to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement

(any such action or limitation described in clauses (A) through (D), a “*Restriction*”), other than Permitted Restrictions, which Permitted Restrictions Parent or its Subsidiaries shall be required to agree if and only to the extent ultimately necessary to obtain pre-merger clearance from the U.S. and E.U. antitrust and competition law authorities (specifically, for (1) any waiting period applicable to the Merger under the HSR Act to have been terminated or expired and (2) the European Commission to have issued a decision finding the Merger to be compatible with the common market under the Council Regulation, or to have been deemed to have done so pursuant to Article 10(6) of the Council Regulation), *provided* that in the aggregate any such Permitted Restrictions would not have or reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the business, assets, properties, financial condition or results of operations of the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or, after the Effective Time, the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole.

For purposes of this Agreement, “*Permitted Restrictions*” shall mean

(x) Restrictions of the type described in clause (B) or (C) of the definition thereof solely involving the businesses or assets of the Company and its Subsidiaries which,



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

in the aggregate, generated no more than \$450,000,000 of the consolidated revenues of the Company and its Subsidiaries for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 (any such Restrictions described in this clause (x), “*Required Divestitures*”) and

(y) Restrictions of the type described in clause (A) or (D) of the definition thereof which are applied solely against and solely involve and impact the operations, businesses and assets of the Company and its Subsidiaries and which would not, individually or in the aggregate, when combined with the impact of any Required Divestitures, have or reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the business, assets, properties, financial condition or results of operations of the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or, after the Effective Time, the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole.

For the avoidance of doubt, Parent is not required to agree to or accept any Restriction that involves, applies to or impacts the operations, businesses or assets of Parent or any of its affiliates other than Permitted Restrictions with respect to the Surviving Corporation and Subsidiaries of the Surviving Corporation. In no event shall the Company or any of its Subsidiaries be permitted to commit or agree to any Restriction without Parent's prior written consent. Nothing in this Section 5.03(a) shall require any party to take or agree to take any action with respect to its business or operations pursuant to this Section 5.03(a) unless the effectiveness of such agreement or action is conditioned upon the Closing. Notwithstanding the foregoing or any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, in no event shall Parent or any of its Subsidiaries be obligated to litigate or participate in the litigation of any Proceeding brought by or against any Governmental Entity in connection with obtaining any Consent from any Governmental Entity in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(xliii) *Divestiture obligation with multiple carve-outs (including Substantial Detriment)*

Parent shall, and shall cause its Subsidiaries to, propose, negotiate, offer to commit and effect (and if such offer is accepted, commit to and effect), by consent decree, hold separate order, or other agreement, the sale, divestiture or disposition of such assets or businesses of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries, or effective as of the Effective Time, the Surviving Corporation or its Subsidiaries, or otherwise offer to take or offer to commit to take any action (including any action that limits its freedom of action, ownership or control with respect to, or its ability to retain or hold, any of the businesses, assets, product lines, properties or services of Parent, the Surviving Corporation, or any of their respective Subsidiaries) which it is lawfully capable of taking and if the offer is accepted, take or commit to take such action, in each case as may be required so as to enable the Closing to occur as promptly as practicable (any of the foregoing, a “Divestiture Action”).

Notwithstanding the foregoing or anything contained in this Agreement that may be to the contrary,



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

-
- (1) neither Parent nor Company shall be required to take any Divestiture Action that is not conditioned upon consummation of the Merger,
- (2) Company shall not agree to take any Divestiture Action without the consent of Parent,
- (3) none of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries shall be required to take or accept (or commit to take or accept) any action, condition, restriction, obligation or requirement (each of the foregoing, for the purposes of this Section, an “action”) in order to obtain any approval, exemption or other authorization of a Governmental Entity involving any business or asset of Parent or its Subsidiaries that would otherwise be required by this Section 5.5 unless there is no action (including a Divestiture Action) that would permit such approval, exemption or other authorization of a Governmental Entity to be obtained that involves solely businesses or assets of Company and its Subsidiaries and to which Parent is required by this Section 5.5, or is otherwise willing in Parent’s sole discretion, to agree and
- (4) Parent shall not be required to take (pursuant to this Section 5.5 or any other provision of this Agreement) any action (including a Divestiture Action) to the extent such action (including a Divestiture Action), individually or in the aggregate with all other actions (including Divestiture Actions), would reasonably be expected to result in a Substantial Detriment.

“*Substantial Detriment*” means (i) any material limitation, restriction or prohibition on the ability of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries effectively to acquire, hold or exercise full rights of ownership (including with respect to voting) of the Shares or shares of the Surviving Corporation to be acquired or owned pursuant to the Merger or the assets of Company and its Subsidiaries, (ii) a loss by Parent and its Subsidiaries of a material benefit or material benefits (including synergies), after taking into account the adverse effect of the proposed actions on Parent and its Subsidiaries (including, for these purposes, the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries), arising from or relating to the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement, (iii) an impact that is adverse in a material manner to the assets, business, results of operation or financial condition of Parent and its Subsidiaries, assuming for purposes of this determination that Parent and its Subsidiaries are of equivalent size to the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole or (iv) an impact that is adverse in a material manner to the assets, business, results of operation or financial condition of the Surviving Corporation and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole).

(xliv) *No obligation to divest with carveouts (Example 1)*

Nothing in this Agreement shall require, or be deemed to require, the Buyer (1) to agree to any limitation on its rights under this Agreement or any of the Ancillary Agreements or (2) to propose, negotiate, offer to commit or to effect (i) any sale, divestiture, license, hold separate or other disposition of assets or business of the Buyer or the Sellers, or their



respective Subsidiaries or Affiliates, or (ii) any restrictions on the control or conduct of the Business or Buyer's other businesses; *except that*, to the extent necessary to obtain such Consents from any Governmental Entities, Buyer will agree to do the following and no more than the following: (1) license certain template trademarks as set forth in Exhibit J; (2) license certain intellectual property as set forth in Exhibit K; (3) divest certain production assets as set forth in Exhibit L; and (4) license and/or divest such other rights and/or assets of the Business (and not of the Buyer or any of its Subsidiaries or Affiliates) that are not material in the aggregate.

(xlv) *No obligation to divest with carveouts (Example 2)*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, neither Parent nor any of its Subsidiaries shall be required to dispose of or hold separate, or agree to dispose of or hold separate or restrict its ownership and operation of, all or any portion of the business or assets of the Company and its Subsidiaries or Parent and its Subsidiaries, except that Parent shall be required, if necessary to obtain any regulatory approval from any Governmental Entity necessary for consummation of the Merger, to divest its ALL SPORT beverage brand, without regard to consideration received, no later than the date which is 30 days prior to the date eight months from the date hereof.

(xlvi) *Proviso excluding buyer's assets from divestiture obligation*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, in no event shall Parent or its Affiliates be required to become subject, consent or agree to, or otherwise take any action with respect to, any Divestiture of assets or a business of Parent or its Subsidiaries.

(xlvii) *Divestiture obligation with protected business*

Without limiting this Section 8.7, but subject to the next sentence of this Section 8.7(b), each of the parties agrees to take, or to cause to be taken, any and all steps and to make any and all undertakings necessary to avoid or eliminate each and every impediment under any antitrust, merger control, competition or trade regulation Law that may be asserted by any Governmental Authority with respect to the Merger so as to enable the Closing to occur as soon as reasonably possible, including proposing, negotiating, committing to, and effecting, by consent decree, hold separate order, or otherwise, the sale, divestiture, licensing or disposition of such assets or businesses of Spinco (or the Spinco Subsidiaries) or Grizzly (or the Grizzly Subsidiaries), as applicable, or otherwise taking or committing to take actions that limit Spinco's or the Spinco Subsidiaries' or Grizzly's or the Grizzly Subsidiaries', as applicable, freedom of action with respect to, or their ability to retain, any of the businesses, product lines or assets of Spinco (or the Spinco Subsidiaries) or Grizzly (or the Grizzly Subsidiaries), in each case, as may be required in order to avoid the entry of,



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

or to effect the dissolution of, any injunction, temporary restraining order, or other order in any suit or proceeding, which would otherwise have the effect of preventing the Closing; *provided* that the effectiveness of any such sale, divestiture, license or disposition or action or commitment shall be contingent on consummation of the Merger. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the obligations of this Section 8.7(b) (i) shall not apply to each of the parties if compliance with this Section 8.7(b) would result in, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a Material Adverse Effect on the Eagle Business and (ii) for the avoidance of doubt, shall not require Burgundy to agree to any sale, divestiture, licensing or disposition of any assets or businesses, or restriction or change in the ownership, conduct or operations of any assets or businesses, that are not included in the Eagle Business.

(xlviii) *Divestiture obligation as provided in a prior consent decree*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement (including under Sections 5.03(a) and 5.03(b)) but subject to the immediately following sentence, the reasonable best efforts of Parent and Merger Sub shall not be deemed to include proposing, negotiating, committing, agreeing to, permitting to be done or effecting the sale, divestiture, disposal, lease, license, holding separate (including by establishing a trust or otherwise) or other disposition of or limitation, condition or restriction on (including any amendment or modification to any existing limitation, condition or restriction on), the products, assets, operations or businesses of the Company and the Company Subsidiaries or of Parent and its affiliates, or conduct remedies or other mitigation in respect of the products, assets, operations or businesses of the Company and the Company Subsidiaries or of Parent and its affiliates (any such sale, divestiture, disposal, lease, license, holding separate, disposition, limitation, condition, restriction, conduct remedy or other mitigation, a “Remedial Action”). Notwithstanding the immediately preceding sentence, Parent shall be required to accept and agree to any Remedial Actions sought to be imposed by Governmental Entities to the extent such Remedial Actions are consistent in scope and magnitude with those contained in the DOJ Consent Decree or Federal Communications Commission Order in connection with Parent’s acquisition of a controlling interest in NBCUniversal, but only (i) with respect to the Company and the Company Subsidiaries and (ii) for a period of time not to exceed three years from the Effective Time. In addition, the Company shall not accept any Remedial Action without Parent’s prior written consent or direction, in which case the Company shall accept any such conditions and take any such actions as directed by Parent; *provided* that the Company shall not be required to accept any such conditions or take any such actions that are not conditioned upon consummation of the Transactions.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(xlix) *Divestiture obligation as provided in a draft consent decree*

SECTION 4.10 [Representations and Warranties]. *Consent Agreement*. Parent has provided to the Company a true and correct copy of the proposed consent agreement (the “Proposed Consent Agreement”) currently under discussion between Parent and the staff of the United States Federal Trade Commission (the “FTC”).

[SECTION 6.03](c) For purposes of Section 6.03(a) and (b), Parent’s “reasonable best efforts” shall include an obligation of Parent and its Subsidiaries to take any and all actions required of Parent and its Subsidiaries pursuant to the Proposed Consent Agreement (each, a “Consent Agreement Action”); *provided* that each Consent Agreement Action shall be conditioned upon the consummation of the Merger. Notwithstanding the immediately preceding sentence, “reasonable best efforts” shall not require Parent or its Subsidiaries to (i) sell, license, franchise, divest or otherwise dispose of, or hold separate and agree to sell or otherwise dispose of, any assets, categories of assets, business locations, business lines or businesses of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries; (ii) terminate any existing relationships, contractual rights or obligations of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries; (iii) terminate any venture or other arrangement; (iv) create any new relationships, contractual rights or obligations of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries or (v) effectuate any other change or restructuring of the Company or Parent or their respective Subsidiaries; other than, in each case, (A) such actions required of Parent and its Subsidiaries by the Proposed Consent Agreement and (B) other such actions which, individually or in the aggregate, are of a de minimis nature.

d. Seller cooperation in fashioning remedies

(i) *General covenant*

[T]he Company agrees to work in good faith in connection with Parent’s efforts to structure any divestitures (whether by sale, spin off or otherwise) in a manner that Parent believes in good faith is in the best interests of the combined company and its shareholders.

(ii) *Assistance in selling Company facilities (Example 1)*

Upon Parent’s reasonable request, the Company shall, and shall cause its subsidiaries to, (i) reasonably assist Parent in any sales process (including through facilitation of reasonable due diligence) with potential purchasers of any of the Company’s or its subsidiaries’ businesses or other assets proposed by Parent to be subject to any Divestiture Action and (ii) enter into one or more agreements to be entered into by any of them prior to the Closing with respect to any Divestiture Action (each, a “Company Divestiture Action”); *provided, however*, that no such agreement with respect to a Company Divestiture Action shall become effective until the Effective Time. The Company shall not, and shall not



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

permit any of its Representatives to, agree to any Divestiture Action without the prior written consent of Parent.

(iii) *Assistance in selling Company facilities (Example 2)*

9.13 Divestitures. Seller Parent shall agree if reasonably requested by Buyer Parent so as to permit (or as identified by Buyer Parent as reasonably likely to be necessary to permit) the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting periods under the HSR Act or the receipt of any other Consent under any other Foreign Antitrust Law, in each case as soon as practicable after the date of this Agreement (but in any event not later than the Outside Date), to effect and agree to any sale, divestiture, license, holding separate or other similar arrangement with respect to, or other disposition of or restriction on, any of the Acquired Assets or assets and Liabilities of the Transferred Group, and take such action or actions that would in the aggregate have a similar effect; provided, however, that any such sale, divestiture, license, holding separate or other similar arrangement, disposition, restriction or action or actions (each, a “Potential Sale Transaction”) is conditioned on the occurrence of, and shall become effective only from and after, the Closing. Without limiting the foregoing, to the extent requested by Buyer Parent, Seller Parent shall, and shall cause its Subsidiaries to, cooperate with Buyer Parent to facilitate the Potential Sale Transaction. To the extent reasonably requested by Buyer Parent, Seller Parent shall and shall cause its Subsidiaries to (a) enter into confidentiality agreements containing customary terms with any Persons who Buyer Parent identifies to Seller Parent as potential purchasers in a Potential Sale Transaction (such potential purchasers to be referred to as “Potential Purchasers”), (b) permit Potential Purchasers to conduct (and cooperate with such Potential Purchasers’) reasonable documentary and other investigations with respect to such Potential Sale Transaction (provided, that any such Potential Purchaser executes and delivers to Seller Parent a confidentiality agreement containing customary terms), (c) comply with any applicable right of first refusal, right of first offer, right of approval and similar provisions that may be applicable to a proposed transfer of a Potential Sale Transaction, and (d) deliver such notices, make such filings and execute such contracts relating to the Potential Sale Transaction as reasonably requested by Buyer Parent and at Buyer Parent’s expense.

e. Buyer’s right to direct Seller to divest

At the request of Parent, the Company shall divest, sell, dispose of, hold separate or take any other action with respect to any of the businesses, product lines or assets of the Acquired Companies, provided that any such action is conditioned upon the consummation of the Merger.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

f. Savings clause that divestiture obligations do not indicate antitrust violation

The foregoing agreement in this section is made solely to facilitate the closing of the Mergers and does not constitute a representation or admission that the Mergers, if consummated without any modification, would violate any Competition Laws or that agreeing to the divestitures, hold separate conditions or other restrictions permitted herein or suggested by any Person or authority acting under any Competition Law would not be harmful to the parties.

g. Buyer responsible for making settlement offers

Each Buyer shall be responsible for making any settlement offers and negotiating any consent decree or consent order with any Governmental Entity in order to permit the transactions contemplated by this Agreement to be consummated prior to the Termination Date. Each Buyer agrees that, at any time in an investigation, if a Governmental Entity suggests or proffers a settlement of the investigation to permit the transactions contemplated by this Agreement to close, the Buyer shall promptly (and in any event within one (1) Business Day) communicate the terms of the offer to the Sellers. The Buyer, in its sole discretion, may accept or reject any settlement of the investigation proposed by any Governmental Entity, *provided* that each Buyer's actions permit the transactions contemplated by this Agreement to be consummated prior to the Termination Date.

h. Seller responsible for settlement negotiations

(i) *Example 1*

Divestitures. In furtherance of the covenants set forth in Section 5.6(a) [commercially reasonable efforts], if any objections are asserted with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby under any domestic or foreign antitrust or competition Law or if any Action is instituted (or threatened to be instituted) by the Federal Trade Commission, the Department of Justice or any other applicable Governmental Authority challenging any of the transactions contemplated hereby or which would otherwise prohibit or materially impair or delay the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby, the Company shall take all actions necessary to resolve any such objections or Actions (or threatened Actions) so as to permit consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby to close as soon as reasonably practicable, including, becoming subject to, consenting to or agreeing to, or otherwise taking any action with respect to, any requirement, condition, understanding, agreement or order to sell, to hold separate or otherwise dispose of, or to conduct, restrict, operate, invest or otherwise change its respective assets or business (including that of its Affiliates) in any manner (collectively, "Divestitures"); *provided*, that the obligations of the Company under this Section 5.6(c) shall be conditioned upon the occurrence of the Closing



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

and *provided, further*, that the Company shall not take any of the foregoing actions without the prior written consent of Parent, other than Divestitures related to assets or a business of the Company or its Subsidiaries that are, individually or in the aggregate, immaterial to the Company. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, in no event shall Parent or its Affiliates be required to become subject, consent or agree to, or otherwise take any action with respect to, any Divestiture of assets or a business of Parent or its Subsidiaries.

(ii) *Example 2*

Parent shall be entitled to direct any proceedings or negotiations with any Antitrust Authority or other Person relating to the Merger or filings under any Premerger Notification Rules, including any communications with any Antitrust Authority relating to any contemplated or proposed Divestiture Action, *provided*, that it shall afford the Company a reasonable opportunity to participate therein.

i. Timing for entering into consent settlement

(i) *Consent negotiations must conclude to permit closing no later than Termination Date (Example 1)*

Parent shall, subject to the Consent Cap and Section 7.2(c), propose, negotiate, offer to commit to and effect (and if such offer is accepted, commit to and effect), by consent decree, hold separate order, or otherwise, the licensing, hold separate or disposition of such assets, licenses, operations, rights, businesses or interests therein or business product lines of the Company and its Subsidiaries so as to enable the Closing to occur as soon as reasonably possible (and in any event, not later than the Termination Date, or if such date is extended pursuant to the terms of Section 8.2(a), the extended Termination Date).

(ii) *Consent negotiations must conclude to permit closing no later than Termination Date (Example 2)*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, Parent shall have the right, but not the obligation, to oppose by refusing to consent to, through litigation or otherwise any request, attempt or demand by any Governmental Authority or other Person for any divestiture, hold separate condition or any other restriction with respect to any assets, businesses or product lines of either Parent or the Company and shall have the obligation to defend litigation instituted by such Governmental Authority or other Person with respect to the legality of the Mergers under applicable Competition Laws. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Parent shall take all actions required under this Section 5.5, in a timely manner, as are necessary to achieve the clearance or approval of the Governmental Authority or other



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Person prior to the Termination Date, *provided, however*, that Parent shall not be required to take actions that would amount to a Burdensome Condition. If there is no decree, order or injunction restricting or prohibiting the Mergers but an appeal is pending, Parent shall not be obligated to proceed to close the Mergers until the Termination Date, as such date may be extended pursuant to Section 8.1(b), and if such appeal remains pending on such Termination Date, Parent shall be obligated to close the Mergers on such date, provided that on such date all other conditions to Closing have then been satisfied.

(iii) *Delay to minimize scope of relief*

Unless otherwise agreed and without limiting the obligations stated in this Section 5.4(b), Parent and the Company shall each use its reasonable best efforts to ensure the prompt expiration of any applicable waiting period under any Premerger Notification Rules and approval by any relevant Antitrust Authority; *provided*, that any reasonable action by Parent to resist or reduce the scope of a Divestiture Action (as defined below) shall be deemed consistent with such reasonable best effort, even if it delays such expiration to a date not beyond the Termination Date.

j. Right to oppose through litigation

(i) *General right*

Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, Schlumberger shall have the right, but not the obligation, to oppose by refusing to consent to, through litigation or otherwise any request, attempt or demand by any Governmental Entity or other person for any divestiture, hold separate condition or any other restriction with respect to any assets, businesses or product lines of either Schlumberger or Smith and shall have the obligation to defend litigation instituted by such Governmental Entity or other person with respect to the legality of the Merger under applicable Regulatory Laws. In the event Schlumberger exercises its rights to oppose through litigation, including any appeals, a request, attempt or demand by any Governmental Entity or other person as provided in the preceding sentence, and (i) such proceedings conclude prior to the Termination Date with a decree, injunction or order restricting or prohibiting the Merger or (ii) no decree, order or injunction has been issued in such proceedings prior to the Termination Date, then Schlumberger shall take such actions, in a timely manner, as are necessary to achieve the clearance or approval of the Governmental Entity or other person prior to the Termination Date, *provided* that Schlumberger shall not be required to take actions that would exceed the Detriment Limit. If there is no decree, order or injunction restricting or prohibiting the Merger, but the decision of a Governmental Entity in litigation contemplated by this Section 7.6(e) is under appeal, Schlumberger shall have the right to elect, and Smith shall have the obligation upon



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

such election by Schlumberger, to proceed to close the Merger if the other conditions to Closing have been satisfied.

(ii) *Right subject to timing requirement to offer fix*

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, but subject to the immediately following proviso, it is understood and agreed that ABI (1) shall have the right, but not the obligation, in good faith, to oppose (through litigation, by refusing to accept or agree or consent to, or through other lawful means) any request, attempt or demand by any Governmental Authority or other Person for any Remedial Action with respect to any assets, brands, brand families, trademarks or other intellectual property rights, businesses, product lines (including production and distribution assets and rights relating thereto), contract rights or other tangible or intangible assets or property of ABI or the Company or their respective Subsidiaries or Affiliates, and (2) shall have the sole discretion and authority, in good faith and in consultation with the Company (as provided in Section 7.02(b)), to determine and implement the strategy and timing for making any offers or proposals for, or accepting or agreeing to, any such Remedial Action; *provided that*, notwithstanding the foregoing or anything to the contrary in this Agreement . . . to the extent necessary to obtain the Required Approvals and any other required Consents of any such Governmental Authority or to otherwise take the actions contemplated by Section 7.02(a)(ii) (with respect to Consents from any Governmental Authority) and clauses (i), (ii) and (iii) of the first sentence of this Section 7.02(c) sufficiently in advance of the Termination Date to permit the consummation of the Merger by the Termination Date, ABI shall use its reasonable best efforts to take such actions (including offering, proposing, negotiating, committing to, accepting and agreeing to any Remedial Action) at least 90 days prior to the Termination Date (it being understood and agreed by the Company that, subject to ABI not taking any actions or failing to take any actions with the intention of making the Merger or the Offer not capable of receiving the Required Approvals prior to the Termination Date, and consulting with the Company as required hereunder, ABI shall not be in breach of its obligations under this Agreement solely by reason of the fact that it determines not to make offers or proposals for, or negotiate, commit to, accept or agree to, any such Remedial Action until 90 days prior to the Termination Date);



X. Specific Performance

a. Simple provision

Subject to Section 8.3,^[1] the parties agree that irreparable damage, for which monetary damages, even if available, would not be an adequate remedy, would occur if any provision of this Agreement were not performed in accordance with the terms hereof and that the parties shall be entitled to an injunction or injunctions, specific performance and other equitable remedies to prevent and restrain breaches or threatened breaches of this Agreement or to enforce specifically the performance of the terms and provisions hereof in any court specified in Section 9.15, in addition to any other remedy to which they are entitled at law or in equity. The parties hereby waive, in any action for specific performance, the defense of adequacy of a remedy at law and the necessity of demonstrating damages or posting any bond or other security in connection therewith.

XI. Antitrust Reverse Termination Fees

a. Reverse termination fee for failure to obtain clearance

(i) Simple provision (Example 1)

If this Agreement is terminated by: (i) Parent or the Company pursuant to Section 8.1(b) [failure of the antitrust conditions]; (ii) at the time of the termination of this Agreement, any Antitrust Condition was not satisfied, or waived by Parent; (iii) the failure of such Antitrust Condition to be satisfied did not result from any breach by the Company of any covenant or obligation set forth in this Agreement; (iv) at the time of the termination of this Agreement, each of the conditions in Section 6, other than the Antitrust Conditions in Section 6 and the condition set forth in Section 6.4, was satisfied; and (v) the condition in Section 6.4 [receipt of a closing certificate] would be satisfied if the Closing were to occur on the End Date, then Parent shall pay to the Company a non-refundable fee in the amount of \$30,000,000 (the “**Parent Termination Fee**”).

(ii) Simple provision (Example 2)

In the event that (i) this Agreement has been terminated by either the Company or Parent pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(i) [election after Outside Date], Section 8.01(b)(ii) [final antitrust order] or, as a result of a material breach under Section 6.03 [antitrust efforts

¹ Section 8.3 provided for an antitrust reverse termination fee as liquidated damages and the sole and exclusive remedy for the buyer’s failure to satisfy the antitrust closing conditions.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

obligation], Section 8.01(d)[inaccuracies in reps and warranties], and (ii) the condition set forth in the first sentence of Section 7.01(d) [regulatory approvals and waiting period], Section 7.01(f) (in the case of any Restraint arising out of any suit, action or proceeding brought by any person or Governmental Authority in respect of or under any Antitrust Law) or Section 7.02(d) [threatened or pending antitrust litigation] has not been satisfied as of the date of such termination but all other conditions to Closing set forth in Section 7.01 and Section 7.02 shall otherwise have been satisfied (other than those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at Closing, but which conditions would have been satisfied if the Closing Date were the date of such termination), then concurrently with such termination (in the case of a termination by Parent) or within three business days following such termination (in the case of a termination by the Company), Parent shall pay to the Company a fee equal to \$44,600,000 (the “Parent Termination Fee”) by wire transfer of immediately available funds to a bank account provided to Parent by the Company.

(iii) *Simple provision (Example 3)*

(c) If this Agreement is terminated by EchoStar pursuant to Section 7.1(b)(i)(A)(I) or by Hughes pursuant to Section 7.1(b)(i)(A)(I) or Section 7.1(c)(iv), EchoStar shall pay or cause to be paid to Hughes, in cash by wire transfer in immediately available funds to an account designated by Hughes, no later than one business day following such termination, if terminated by Hughes, or concurrently with such termination, if terminated by EchoStar, a termination fee and expense reimbursement in an amount equal to \$600,000,000.00 (Six Hundred Million Dollars), which amount shall not be subject to offset or deduction of any kind by EchoStar; provided, that the payment of one-half of the Termination Fee shall not be required concurrently with such termination (and the parties may elect to resolve such dispute in accordance with Section 8.9) if Hughes’ failure (or the failure of any of its Affiliates) to comply with Section 5.1(b) has been the cause of or resulted in the occurrence or non-occurrence which permitted termination under Section 7.1(b)(i)(A)(I) or 7.1(c)(iv). Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 7.2(c), if the parties have available to them, and EchoStar is willing to accept, a settlement, consent decree, stipulation or other agreement or resolution (each a “Settlement”) with the Department of Justice, the Federal Trade Commission or any other Governmental Authority, but Hughes terminates this Agreement pursuant to Section 7.1(b)(i)(A)(I) or Section 7.1(c)(iv) hereof then EchoStar shall not be required to pay Hughes the termination fee described in this Section 7.2(c).



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(iv) *Simple provision (Example 4)*

(c) Parent and Merger Sub agree that in the event that

- (i) (A) this Agreement has been terminated by either the Company or Parent pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(i)^[1] and (B) the condition set forth in Section 7.01(b) or Section 7.01(c) has not been satisfied as of the date of such termination (other than as a result of any knowing and intentional breach of this Agreement by the Company) but all other conditions to the Merger set forth in Section 7.01 and Section 7.02 shall otherwise have been satisfied (other than those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing, but which conditions would have been satisfied if the Closing Date were the date of such termination);
- (ii) (A) this Agreement has been terminated by either the Company or Parent pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(ii)^[2] and (B) the condition set forth in Section 7.01(b) or Section 7.01(c) has not been satisfied as of the date of such termination (other than as a result of any knowing and intentional breach of this Agreement by the Company) but all other conditions to the Merger set forth in Section 7.01 and Section 7.02 that are capable of being satisfied as of such date shall otherwise have been satisfied (other than those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing, but which conditions would have been satisfied if the Closing Date were the date of such termination); or
- (iii) the Company has terminated this Agreement pursuant to Section 8.01(d)(iii);

then, in any such case, Parent shall pay to the Company a fee equal to up to \$75.0 million, of which \$50.0 million shall be paid concurrently with such termination (in the case of a termination by Parent) or within two (2) Business Days following such termination (in the case of a termination by the Company) and an additional \$25.0 million shall be payable when and if required by Section 8.03(d) (collectively, the “Parent Termination Fee”), which amounts shall be payable in immediately available funds.

¹ Section 8.01(b)(i) provides for termination in the event that the transaction cannot close because the antitrust conditions have not been satisfied but in the absence of a final nonappealable order prohibiting the closing and antitrust grounds.

² Section 8.01(b)(ii) provides for termination in the event that there is a final nonappealable order prohibiting the closing and antitrust grounds, provided that the party seeking to terminate this Agreement under this Section 8.01(b)(ii) has complied in all material respects with its obligations under Section 6.09 [efforts obligations and risk-shifting covenants].



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(v) *Simple provision (Example 5)*

(d) If this Agreement is terminated pursuant to:

- (i) Section 8.1(b)(ii) in connection with a Judgment obtained or issued by a Governmental Entity with respect to Section 7 of the Clayton Antitrust Act of 1914 or any other applicable Antitrust Law (an “Antitrust Judgment”);
- (ii) Section 8.1(b)(i) and as of the Outside Date the Antitrust Condition shall not have been satisfied; or
- (iii) Section 8.1(c)(i) due to Parent’s or Purchaser’s material breach of Section 6.4 (subject, for the avoidance of doubt, to the limitations set forth in Section 6.4(e));

then in each case of clauses (i), (ii) and (iii), Parent shall pay, without duplication, to the Company within two Business Days following termination of this Agreement a fee equal to \$75,000,000 (the “Parent Termination Fee”) by wire transfer in immediately available funds to an account specified by the Company.

(vi) *Simple provision (Example 6)*

(c) Zillow agrees that: . . .

- (iii) in the event that this Agreement is terminated by, (A) either Zillow or Trulia pursuant to Section 8.01(c) in connection with any injunction, order, decree or ruling related to the HSR Act, any other applicable Competition Laws or related consents or approvals, . . . then Zillow shall pay to Trulia promptly (but in any event no later than one business day after such termination) a fee of \$150 million (the “Regulatory Fee”), which amount shall be payable in immediately available funds (the payment of the Regulatory Fee by Zillow under this Section 8.03(c)(iii) shall not obviate the need for Trulia to pay the Termination Fee under Section 8.03(b)(iii) if the conditions for payment thereof have been satisfied^[1]).

(vii) *Simple provision (Example 7)*

(a) In the event that:

. . .

- (viii) this Agreement is terminated by the Company or Parent pursuant to Section 9.2(b) [Injunction] with respect to Regulatory Laws; or

¹ Section 8.03(b)(iii) generally provides for the payment of a termination fee of \$69.8 million in the event that Trulia enters into a definitive agreement to be acquired by a third party.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ix) (w) this Agreement is terminated (A) by the Company pursuant to Section 9.3(a) [Breach/Failure to Perform], due to Parent or Merger Sub's material breach of Section 7.6 [Antitrust] which breach results in the condition set forth in Section 8.1(b) [Antitrust/Competition] being incapable of being satisfied, or (B) by the Company or Parent pursuant to Section 9.2(a) [Termination Date] and as of the Termination Date, (x) one or more of the conditions set forth in Section 8.1(b) [Antitrust/Competition] or Section 8.1(c) [No Injunctions or Restraints] has not been satisfied (including, without limitation, as a result of Parent not agreeing to take or not taking any Antitrust Action in excess of the Detriment Limit, but only, in the case of Section 8.1(c) [Injunctions or Restraints], if the failure to meet such condition is a result of any Regulatory Law) and (y) all of the other conditions set forth in Section 8.1 and Section 8.2 have been satisfied (other than any such conditions which by their nature cannot be satisfied until the Closing Date but subject to such conditions being capable of being satisfied if the Closing Date were the date of termination); provided that for purposes of determining whether the conditions set forth in Section 8.2(a) have been satisfied the definition of "Company Material Adverse Effect" shall be interpreted without taking into account any effect resulting from any Regulatory Law applicable to the Merger or prohibition under or in respect of any Regulatory Law applicable to the Merger;

then . . . in any such event under clause (viii) or (ix) of this Section 9.5(a) Parent shall pay to the Company a termination fee of \$3.5 billion in cash (the "Antitrust Termination Fee"), it being understood that in no event shall Parent be required to pay the Parent Termination Fee or the Antitrust Termination Fee on more than one occasion or to pay more than one of the Parent Termination Fee or the Antitrust Termination Fee.

(viii) Simple provision (Example 8)

If this Agreement is terminated by the Company or Parent (i) pursuant to Section 7.02(d) (Law; Final and Non-Appealable Order) as the result of any applicable Antitrust Law, Communications Law or Foreign Regulatory Law or an Order imposed by a Governmental Entity with jurisdiction over enforcement of any applicable Antitrust Laws, Communications Laws or Foreign Regulatory Laws (each, a "Governmental Regulatory Entity") with respect to an Antitrust Law, Communications Law or Foreign Regulatory Law or (ii) pursuant to Section 7.02(a) (Termination Date) and, at the time of such termination, one or more of the conditions set forth in Section 6.01(d) or Section 6.01(e) (as the result of any applicable Antitrust Law, Communications Law or Foreign Regulatory Law or an Order imposed by a Governmental Regulatory Entity with respect to an Antitrust Law, Communications Law or Foreign Regulatory Law) or Section 6.02(c) was not satisfied and, in the case of each of (i) or (ii), at the time of such termination (A) all of the other conditions set forth in Section 6.01 and Section 6.02 have been satisfied or



waived (except for those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing, provided that such conditions were then capable of being satisfied if the Closing had taken place) and (B) the Company is not in breach in any material respect of its obligations under this Agreement in any manner that shall have proximately contributed to the imposition of the Order referred to in clause (i) or the failure of the conditions referred to in clause (ii) above, as applicable, then Parent shall, within two business days after such termination, pay the Company a fee equal to \$2,500,000,000 (the “Parent Regulatory Termination Fee”).

(ix) *Simple provision (Example 9)*

(b) In the event that (i) this Agreement is terminated by Seller Parent or Buyer Parent pursuant to Section 11.1(b) [termination after the drop-dead date] or Section 11.1(f), (ii) on or prior to such termination, one or more Sellers were not in material breach of the terms of Section 6.2 (Antitrust Provision) and (iii) the conditions set forth in Section 10.1(a) (in the case of an Adverse Law or Order relating to an Antitrust Law) or Section 10.1(b) have not been satisfied as of the date of termination, then Buyer Parent shall pay, or cause to be paid, to Seller Parent, an amount equal to \$1,000,000,000.

(x) *With ticking fee and increase in termination fee is outside date extended*

(c) In the event that:

- (i) Parent or the Company terminates this Agreement pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(i) and at the time of such termination, (A) the Offer Conditions set forth in clause (b) or clause (i) of Exhibit A shall not have been satisfied (with regard to the Offer Condition set forth in clause (i) of Exhibit A, as a result of a Legal Restraint arising under the HSR Act or a Foreign Merger Control Law), (B) the failure the Offer Conditions referred to in clause (A) above was not primarily caused by any material breach of Section 6.03 by the Company and (C) all of the other Offer Conditions (other than the Minimum Tender Condition) were satisfied or waived (except for those conditions that by their terms are to be satisfied at the consummation of the Offer, provided that such conditions were then capable of being satisfied if the consummation of the Offer had taken place); or
- (ii) Parent or the Company terminates this Agreement pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(ii) as a result of a Legal Restraint arising under the HSR Act or a Foreign Merger Control Law,

then Parent shall pay to the Company a fee of \$437,000,000 plus, if applicable, the Extension Fee (collectively, the “Parent Termination Fee”). Any fee due under this Section 6.08(c) shall be paid by wire transfer of same-day funds to an account designated by the Company within two business days after the date of such termination of this Agreement. The parties hereto acknowledge and agree that in no event shall Parent be required to pay



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

the Parent Termination Fee on more than one occasion, whether or not the Parent Termination Fee may be payable under more than one provision of this Agreement at the same or at different times and the occurrence of different events.

Definitions:

“Extension Fee” means

- (i) if Parent has elected to extend the Outside Date pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(i), the termination of the Agreement occurs after the Initial Outside Date and on or before the date that is thirty (30) calendar days after the Initial Outside Date, and the failure of the Acceptance Time to occur on or before such termination date was not primarily the result of a material breach of this Agreement by the Company, \$105,000,000,
 - (ii) if Parent has elected to extend the Outside Date pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(i), the termination of the Agreement occurs after the date that is thirty (30) calendar days after the Initial Outside Date and on or before the date this is sixty (60) calendar days after the Initial Outside Date, and the failure of the Acceptance Time to occur on or before such termination date was not primarily the result of a material breach of this Agreement by the Company, \$195,000,000,
 - (iii) if Parent has elected to extend the Outside Date pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(i), the termination of the Agreement occurs after the date that is sixty (60) calendar days after the Initial Outside Date, and the failure of the Acceptance Time to occur on or before such termination date was not primarily the result of a material breach of this Agreement by the Company, \$285,000,000 or
 - (iv) in all other circumstances, \$0.
- (x) *With carve-out for Seller breach*
- (h) If the Company or Parent shall terminate this Agreement pursuant to Section 9.1(c) (Legal Restraint), but only if the applicable Legal Restraint relates to a Regulatory Law, or (ii) pursuant to Section 9.1(b) (Termination Date) and, in the case of this clause (ii), at the time of such termination, all of the conditions set forth in Section 8.1 and Section 8.2 shall have been satisfied or validly waived (other than one or both of (x) Section 8.1(c)(i) (but only if the applicable Legal Restraint relates to a Regulatory Law) or Section 8.1(d) and (y) those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing, provided that such conditions were then capable of being satisfied if the Closing had occurred on the date of such termination), then Parent shall pay to the Company, not later than two Business Days following such termination, an amount in cash equal to \$2,100,000,000 (the “Reverse Termination Fee”); *provided, however*, that no Reverse Termination Fee shall be payable pursuant to this Section 9.2(h) in the event that the Company's material breach of its



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

obligations pursuant to Section 7.3 or Section 5.1(a)(xix) is the primary cause of the failure of the condition set forth in Section 8.1(c)(i), but only if the applicable Legal Restraint relates to a Regulatory Law, or Section 8.1(d) to be satisfied.

(xi) *Sole and exclusive remedy (Example 1)*

In the event that this Agreement (A) is terminated (x) by Parent or Company pursuant to Section 7.1(b)(i) due to the failure of any Antitrust Condition to be satisfied, or (y) by Parent or Company pursuant to Section 7.1(b)(ii) due to a final and nonappealable judgment, order, injunction, rule or decree or other final and nonappealable action enjoining or prohibiting or otherwise making illegal consummation of the Merger, in each case, under any Antitrust Law, or (z) by Parent pursuant to Section 7.1(c)(iii) [government litigation that imposed a Substantial Detriment], and (B) at the time of such termination each of the conditions set forth in Sections 6.1 and 6.2 have been satisfied or waived (other than (1) the Antitrust Conditions and (2) those other conditions that by their nature cannot be satisfied until the Closing Date, but which would be satisfied if the Closing Date were the date of such termination), then Parent shall pay to Company a termination fee of \$100,000,000 (the “Parent Termination Fee”) by wire transfer of same day funds at the time of termination in the case of a termination by Parent or within two Business Days after such termination in the case of a termination by Company, it being understood that in no event shall Parent be required to pay the Parent Termination Fee on more than one occasion. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, except in the case of fraud or a willful and material breach of this Agreement, in the event that the Parent Termination Fee becomes payable, then payment to Company of the Parent Termination Fee, together with any amounts due under Section 7.3(d), shall be Company’s sole and exclusive remedy as liquidated damages for any and all losses or damages of any nature against Parent and Merger Sub and each of their respective former, current and future directors, officers, employees, agents, general and limited partners, managers, members, shareholders, Affiliates and assignees and each former, current or future director, officer, employee, agent, shareholder, general or limited partner, manager, member, shareholder, Affiliate or assignee of any of the foregoing (collectively, the “Parent Parties”) in respect of this Agreement, any agreement executed in connection herewith (other than Confidentiality Agreement), and the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby, including for any loss or damage suffered as a result of the termination of this Agreement, the failure of the Merger to be consummated or for a breach or failure to perform hereunder (whether intentionally, unintentionally, or otherwise) or otherwise, and upon payment of such Parent Termination Fee no Parent Party shall have any further liability or obligation relating to or arising out of this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(xii) *Sole and exclusive remedy (Example 2)*

If this Agreement is terminated by either Parent or the Company pursuant to Section 9.1(b)(ii) due to the inability to obtain any required approval under any applicable Antitrust Law, then, (i) so long as the inability to obtain such required approval was not due to a breach of this Agreement by the Company and (ii) as of April 15, 2013, all conditions in Section 7.2 (other than Section 7.2(c) but which shall be capable of satisfaction at such time) were satisfied, Parent shall be obligated to and shall pay to the Company a fee in the amount equal to \$100,000,000 by wire transfer of same-day funds on the second (2) Business Day following the date of such termination of this Agreement, which shall be the sole and exclusive remedy of the Company, the Stockholders and their respective Affiliates against Parent, Merger Subsidiary and their respective Affiliates as a result of such termination or otherwise relating to this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby (whether framed in tort, contract or otherwise).

(xiii) *Sole and exclusive remedy (Example 3)*

(c) Acceptance by Parent of the fee due under Section 5.07(b)(i) [the antitrust reverse termination fee] shall constitute acceptance by Parent of the validity of any termination of this Agreement under Section 7.01(f). Except in the case of common law fraud, payment of the Company Termination Fee described in this Section 5.07 shall constitute the sole and exclusive remedy of Parent and Merger Sub against the Company and the Company Subsidiaries and their respective current, former or future Representatives for any loss suffered as a result of the failure of the Transactions to be consummated, and upon payment of the Company Termination Fee, none of the Company or the Company Subsidiaries or any of their respective current, former or future Representatives shall have any further liability or obligation relating to or arising out of this Agreement or the Transactions.

(xiv) *Sole and exclusive remedy proviso*

The Company agrees that in the event that the Reverse Termination Fee is paid to the Company pursuant to this Section 8.3, (i) the payment of such Reverse Termination Fee shall be the sole and exclusive remedy of the Company, its equityholders and all of their Affiliates against Parent, the Merger Subs or any of their directors, officers and other Affiliates for, and (ii) in no event will the Company, its equityholders or any of their Affiliates be entitled to recover any other money damages or any other remedy based on a claim in law or equity with respect to, (1) any loss suffered as a result of the failure of the Mergers to be consummated, (2) the termination of this Agreement, (3) any liabilities or obligations arising under this Agreement, or (4) any claims or actions arising out of or relating to any breach, termination or failure of or under this Agreement, and upon payment to the Company of the Reverse Termination Fee in accordance with this



Section 8.3(a), neither Parent, the Merger Subs nor any of their directors, officers or other Affiliates shall have any further liability or obligation to the Company, its equityholders or any of their Affiliates relating to or arising out of this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, in any circumstance in which the Reverse Termination Fee is paid (whether due to any willful, intentional or unintentional breach by Parent or a Merger Sub, or for any other reason), then the Company's sole and exclusive remedy (whether at law, in equity, in contract, in tort or otherwise) against Parent, the Merger Subs or any of their Affiliates, equityholders, controlling persons, stockholders, directors, officers, employees, agents or other representatives (the "Parent Related Parties") for any breach, loss or damage shall be to terminate this Agreement and receive payment of the Reverse Termination Fee, only to the extent provided for by this Section 8.3(a), and upon payment of such amount in accordance with this Section 8.3(a) no Person shall have any rights or claims against any of the Parent Related Parties under this Agreement, in respect of any oral representations made or alleged to be made in connection herewith, in respect of the transactions contemplated hereby, whether at law or equity, in contract, in tort or otherwise, and none of the Parent Related Parties shall have any further liability or obligation relating to or arising out of this Agreement, in respect of any oral representations made or alleged to be made in connection herewith or therewith, or in respect of the transactions contemplated hereby or thereby. Nothing herein shall limit the Company's rights under Section 8.4 prior to the termination of this Agreement or the Company's right to seek payment of and be paid the Reverse Termination Fee in accordance with this Agreement if the Company has pursued alternative remedies hereunder in lieu of pursuing the Reverse Termination Fee and the Company ceases to pursue such remedies without having obtained them.

(xv) *Liquidated damages (Example 1)*

Each of the Company, Parent and each Merger Sub acknowledges that (i) the agreements contained in this Section 8.3 are an integral part of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, (ii) the Reverse Termination Fee is not a penalty, but is liquidated damages, in a reasonable amount that will compensate the Company and its Affiliates in the circumstances in which such fee is paid for the efforts and resources expended and opportunities foregone while negotiating this Agreement and in reliance on this Agreement and on the expectation of the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby, which amount would otherwise be impossible to calculate with precision, and (iii) without these agreements, the parties would not enter into this Agreement.

(xvi) *Liquidated damages (Example 2)*

(e) Each of Parent, Purchaser and the Company agrees that the provisions contained in this Section 8.2 [termination fees] are an integral part of the transactions contemplated



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

hereby, that the damages resulting from the termination of this Agreement as set forth in Section 8.1 are uncertain and incapable of accurate calculation and that the amounts payable by the Company or Parent, as applicable, pursuant to this Section 8.2 are reasonable forecasts of the actual damages which may be incurred by the other party under such circumstances. The amounts payable pursuant to this Section 8.2 constitute liquidated damages and not a penalty. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement (subject to Section 9.13 and Section 8.2(g)), . . . (iii) if the Company receives or is entitled to receive the Parent Termination Fee [antitrust reverse breakup fee] pursuant to this Section 8.2, upon payment of the Parent Termination Fee, such payment shall be the sole and exclusive remedy of the Company against Parent and its Subsidiaries and their respective former, current or future officers, directors, partners, stockholders, managers, members, Affiliates, Financing Parties, and other financing sources and other Representatives (collectively, the “Parent Related Parties”) and upon payment of the Parent Termination Fee, none of the Parent Related Parties shall have any further liability or obligation relating to or arising out of this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby, (iv) if the Company receives any payments from Parent or Purchaser in respect of any breach of this Agreement, and thereafter the Company is entitled to receive the Parent Termination Fee pursuant to this Section 8.2, the Parent Termination Fee payable pursuant to this Section 8.2 shall be reduced by the aggregate amount of any payments made by Parent or Purchaser to the Company in respect of any such breaches of this Agreement, . . . (vi) while the Company may pursue both a grant of specific performance in accordance with Section 9.13 and the payment of the Parent Termination Fee under Section 8.2(d) under no circumstances shall the Company, be permitted or entitled to receive both a grant of specific performance to cause the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby and money damages, including all or any portion of the Parent Termination Fee, with respect to the same matter at issue; . . . (viii) in no event shall Parent’s and Purchaser’s, taken together, liability to the Company Related Parties with respect to (A) any breach by Parent or Purchaser of Section 6.4 (whether willfully, intentionally, knowingly or otherwise) and (B) any failure of the parties to satisfy for any reason (x) the condition set forth in clause (iii) of Exhibit A as a result of an Antitrust Judgment or (y) the Antitrust Condition, in any case, exceed the Parent Termination Fee.

(xvii) *Mutual reservation of right to sue for breach of contract*

(a) In the event of termination of this Agreement and the abandonment of the Mergers pursuant to this Article VIII, this Agreement (other than as set forth in this Section 8.5 and in Section 9.1) shall become void and of no effect with no liability on the part of any party hereto (or of any of its respective Representatives); *provided* that no such termination shall relieve any party hereto (1) from any liability for damages to any other party resulting from fraud or any prior breach by such party in any material respect of its covenants or agreements set forth in this Agreement that shall have proximately contributed to the



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

failure of the Mergers to be consummated, and the aggrieved party shall be entitled to all rights and remedies available at law or in equity or (2) from any obligation to pay, if applicable, the Company Termination Fee pursuant to Section 8.5(b) or the Parent Reimbursement Amount pursuant to Section 8.5(c).

(xviii) *Provisions for collection of reverse termination fee*

(c) In the event that

(i) Parent or the Company terminates this Agreement

(A) pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(i) or Section 8.01(c) and at the time of such termination

(1) any of the conditions set forth in Section 7.01(b) or Section 7.01(c) (due to any antitrust Law or Judgment issued by any Governmental Entity under any antitrust Law) has not been satisfied or

(2) litigation with any Governmental Agency of the U.S. Federal government that is challenging the consummation of the Merger under any antitrust Law has been commenced or threatened in writing or such litigation could reasonably be expected, or

(B) pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(ii) (due to any antitrust Law or Judgment issued by any Governmental Entity under any antitrust Law), or

(ii) the Company terminates this Agreement pursuant to Section 8.01(e) (as a result of Parent's or Merger Sub's material breach of its obligations under Section 6.03),

then Parent shall pay to the Company a non-refundable fee in the amount of \$131.0 million (the "Reverse Termination Fee") by wire transfer of same-day funds, no later than two (2) Business Days following such termination.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Parent shall not be required to pay the Reverse Termination Fee pursuant to clause (i) of the preceding sentence if

(X) there has been a Willful Breach by the Company of its obligations set forth in Section 5.01, which breach, individually or in the aggregate with any other Willful Breaches of Section 5.01, has resulted or would reasonably be expected to result in a Company Material Adverse Effect or

(Y) there has been a Willful Breach by the Company of its obligations set forth in Section 5.03, Section 6.01, Section 6.02, Section 6.03, Section 6.04(e) or Section 6.04(f);

provided, however, notwithstanding that there has been a Willful Breach, Parent shall be required to pay the Reverse Termination Fee unless Parent has promptly asserted, in a prior



written notice delivered to the Company, any such Willful Breach and the Company has not cured by such Willful Breach prior to the earliest of (1) thirty (30) days after delivery of such written notice, (2) termination of this Agreement by the Company and (3) the Outside Date. Parent shall not deliver any notice of Willful Breach after either party delivers a notice of termination of this Agreement.

b. Antitrust reverse termination fee with recoupment

(c) DigitalGlobe shall pay to GeoEye a fee of \$20,000,000 (the “DigitalGlobe Reverse Termination Fee”) if:

(i) this Agreement is terminated by either DigitalGlobe or GeoEye (A)(1) pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(ii), only in connection with a Final Order obtained or issued by a Governmental Entity with respect to Section 7 of the Clayton Antitrust Act of 1914, the Communications Act or any other applicable U.S. antitrust or competition Laws, or (2) pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(i) and, in the case of this clause (2), at the time of such termination, the conditions set forth in Section 7.01(c)(i) or (c)(iii) or, solely with respect to U.S. antitrust or competition laws or the Communications Act, Section 7.01(d) shall not have been satisfied and (B) all other conditions to the obligations of DigitalGlobe, Merger Sub and Merger Sub 2 to consummate the Merger set forth in Section 7.01 and Section 7.03 have been satisfied or waived (and, in the case of those conditions that by their terms are to be satisfied at the Closing, such conditions would be satisfied if the Closing were to occur); *provided* that if DigitalGlobe pays the Reverse DigitalGlobe Termination Fee in accordance with this Section 6.06(c) and, prior to July 1, 2014, GeoEye enters into a definitive Contract to consummate a GeoEye Takeover Proposal or any GeoEye Takeover Proposal is consummated, GeoEye shall pay to DigitalGlobe a payment of \$10,000,000 (the “Recoupment Payment”).

c. Antitrust reverse termination fee equal to transaction costs (with cap)

(b) In the event that this Agreement is terminated by (i) either Parent or the Company pursuant to Section 8.01(b) and the only Offer Condition not satisfied or waived on or prior to the Outside Date is (x) the HSR Approval Condition and/or (y) clause (d)(ii) of Annex I as a result of any Restraint arising under the HSR Act or any other Antitrust Law applicable to the Offer or the Merger, (ii) either Parent or the Company pursuant to Section 8.01(b)(iii) [including failure to successfully negotiate consent order with FTC]; or (iii) the Company pursuant to Section 8.01(d) as a result of Parent’s material breach of its obligation to use its reasonable best efforts (as defined in Section 6.03) to obtain the HSR Approval, Parent shall pay to the Company an amount equal to the sum of the Company’s documented Transaction Expenses by wire transfer of immediately available funds to a bank account designated to Parent by the Company, as promptly as reasonably practicable (and, in any event, within three business days after the Company provides Parent with an



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

invoice for such amount and related documentation); *provided*, that in no event shall Parent be required to reimburse any Transaction Expenses incurred prior to August 2, 2012; and *provided, further*, that in no event shall Parent be required to reimburse the Company's Transaction Expenses in excess of \$5,000,000 in the aggregate.

d. Interest on reverse termination fee

Each of the Company, Parent and Merger Sub acknowledges that the agreements contained in this Section 7.3 are an integral part of the transactions contemplated hereby, and that, without these agreements, the Company, Parent and Merger Sub would not enter into this Agreement. Accordingly, if the Parent fails to pay in a timely manner the Parent Termination Fee, then the Parent shall pay to Company interest on such amount from and including the date payment of such amount was due to but excluding the date of actual payment at the prime rate set forth in The Wall Street Journal in effect on the date such payment was required to be made plus 2% per annum.

e. Reimbursement of expenses for collection

(i) *Example 1*

With respect to any actions taken by the Company or Parent to collect the Termination Fee or Reverse Termination Fee (as applicable), the nonprevailing party shall promptly reimburse the prevailing party for all reasonable documented out-of-pocket fees and expenses incurred by the prevailing party (including the reasonable fees and expenses of all attorneys, consultants, economists and other experts retained by the prevailing party and all reasonable duplicating, travel and related expenses).

(ii) *Example 2*

Each of the Company, Parent and Merger Sub acknowledges that the agreements contained in this Section 8.2 [the termination fee provisions] are an integral part of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and that, without these agreements, the Parties would not enter into this Agreement. Accordingly, if a Party fails to promptly pay any amount due pursuant to this Section 8.2, and the other Party commences a Proceeding that results in a judgment against the failing Party for the amount set forth in this Section 8.2 or a portion thereof, the failing Party shall pay to the other Party all fees, costs and expenses of enforcement (including attorney's fees as well as expenses incurred in connection with any such action), together with interest on such amount or such portion thereof at the prime lending rate as published in the Wall Street Journal, in effect on the date such payment is required to be made.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

XII. Purchase Price Adjustments for Divestitures

a. Adjustment after a threshold

(i) *Definitions*¹

“*Triggering Divestiture*” means the divestiture, license, hold separate, sale or other disposition, solely to the extent necessary to fulfill the conditions set forth in Section 6.1(b) (solely to the extent necessary under any Antitrust Law) or Section 6.1(c), of or with respect to all of the assets, businesses or product lines of the Company, Parent or any of their respective Subsidiaries representing, in the aggregate, in excess of \$650,000,000.00 of Net Sales.

“*Triggering Event*” shall be deemed to have occurred if and only if Parent is required pursuant to Section 5.7 to take, or cause to be taken, or commit to take, or cause to be taken, any action constituting a Triggering Divestiture.^[2]

“*Baseline Per Share Price*” means \$113.00 per share in cash.

“*Adjusted Per Share Price*” means \$105.00 per share in cash.

“*Per Share Price*” means the Baseline Per Share Price; provided that if and only if a Triggering Event occurs, the Per Share Price shall mean the Adjusted Per Share Price.

[Merger Consideration: At the Effective Time, by virtue of the merger each share of Company Common Stock shall be automatically converted into the right to receive the Per Share Price.]

XIII. Damages for Breach

a. Willful breach

Effect of Termination. In the event of termination of this Agreement by either the Company or Parent as provided in Section 8.01, this Agreement shall forthwith become void and

¹ The merger agreement provided that if the divestitures are required of businesses totaling more than \$650 million of the acquired company’s 2015 revenues, the transaction price would be adjusted to \$105 in cash per share. The acquiring company also reserved the right to terminate the transaction in the event that required divestitures exceed \$1.5 billion in 2015 revenues by capping its obligation to accept a consent decree requiring a divestiture that exceeds this amount.

^[2] Section 5.7 contains the general efforts clause as well as various covenants requiring antitrust filings and divestiture obligations.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

have no effect, without any liability or obligation on the part of Parent, Merger Sub or the Company, other than the second-to-last sentence of Section 6.02, Section 6.08, this Section 8.02 and Article IX, which provisions shall survive such termination; *provided, however*, that, except as set forth in Section 6.08(e), no such termination shall relieve any party hereto from any liability for damages incurred or suffered by a party (which the parties acknowledge and agree shall not be limited to reimbursement of expenses or out-of-pocket costs), to the extent such damages were the result of fraud or the Willful Breach by another party of any of its representations, warranties, covenants or other agreements set forth in this Agreement. For purposes of this Agreement, “Willful Breach” shall mean a material breach that is a consequence of an act undertaken, or a failure to act, which the breaching party knew, or reasonably should have known, would, or would reasonably be expected to, result in a breach of this Agreement.

XIV. Ticking Fees

a. Example 1

Section 2.1(b)(i). In the event that the Effective Time shall not have occurred by April 5, 2008 (the “Adjustment Date”), the \$28.00 per share of Company Common Stock to be paid pursuant to the preceding sentence shall be increased for each day after the Adjustment Date, through and including the Closing Date, by adding thereto the excess (which shall not be less than zero) of (i) an amount equal to \$0.006137 per day over (ii) any dividends or distributions (valued at the Closing Date using 8% simple interest per annum from the applicable date of payment) declared, made or paid (without duplication) on a share of Company Common Stock or Company Preferred Stock from and after the Adjustment Date through and including the Closing Date (the amount per share of Company Common Stock to be paid pursuant to this Section 2.1(b)(i) (rounding to the nearest cent) is referred to as the “Merger Consideration.”).

b. Example 2

If any of the Minimum Required Approvals has not been obtained by the Purchasers’ Representative by December 31, 2011, the Purchasers’ Representative shall promptly after such date (and in any event within two (2) Business Days thereafter) pay (or, to the extent permitted under the Escrow Agreement, duly direct the Escrow Agent to release (which shall satisfy the Purchasers’ Representative’s relevant obligations under this Section 10.5(c) only as and when the Escrow Agent actually releases)) to the Sellers’ Representative a fee of €1,912,500 via wire transfer in immediately available funds. In addition, the Purchasers’ Representative shall pay (or, to the extent permitted under the Escrow Agreement, duly direct the Escrow Agent to release (which shall satisfy the Purchasers’



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

Representative's relevant obligations under this Section 10.5(c) only as and when the Escrow Agent actually releases)) to the Sellers' Representative a fee of €1,912,500 (less five percent (5.0%) of the Preferred Stock Offering Adjustment Amount, if any, divided by twelve (12)) for each additional monthly period after December 31, 2011 which expires before all such Minimum Required Approvals shall have been obtained. If the Purchasers' Representative fails to pay any of these such fees (each a "Ticking Fee"), the Sellers' Representative has the option to duly direct, via joint instruction, the Escrow Agent to release an amount equal to the Ticking Fee then due and payable to the Sellers' Representative. The Purchasers' Representative's obligations to pay such Ticking Fee under this Section 10.5(c) shall not be satisfied until, and only in so far as, the Escrow Agent actually releases such amount, and the Purchasers' Representative has complied with its obligation under Section 2.3(c) to deposit additional Eligible Collateral into the Escrow Account to the extent of any deficiency.

c. Example 3

Subject to Section 2.1(d) and Section 2.1(e), each issued and outstanding share of Common Stock, par value \$2.50 per share, of the Company outstanding immediately prior to the Effective Time (such shares collectively, "Company Common Stock" or "Shares" and each, a "Share"), other than any Cancelled Shares (as defined, and to the extent provided in, Section 2.1(b)) and any Dissenting Shares (as defined, and to the extent provided in, Section 2.1(e)), shall thereupon be converted into and shall thereafter represent the right to receive the sum of \$78.00 in cash and the Additional Per Share Consideration, (together, rounded to the nearest penny, the "Merger Consideration"). The "Additional Per Share Consideration" shall not be less than zero and shall mean, (x) if the Effective Time shall occur after January 10, 2009 (the "Additional Consideration Date"), an amount in cash per share, equal to the excess, if any, of (I) \$78.00 multiplied by the product of (A) 8% and (B) the Annualized Portion (as defined below), over (II) any dividends or distributions (valued at the Closing Date using 8% simple interest per annum from the applicable date of payment) declared on a share of Company Common Stock and having a record date during the Dividend Period and thereafter paid (it being understood that in no event shall the Additional Per Share Consideration be paid in respect of any period from and after July 10, 2009), or (y) if the Effective Time shall occur on or prior to the Additional Consideration Date, zero; provided that no adjustment shall be made for more than two quarterly dividends. The term "Annualized Portion" shall mean the quotient obtained by dividing the number of days elapsed during the Dividend Period by 365. The term "Dividend Period" means the period beginning on the Additional Consideration Date and ending on the earlier of July 10, 2009 and the Closing Date. All Shares that have been thus converted into the right to receive the Merger Consideration as provided in this Section 2.1 shall be automatically cancelled and shall cease to exist and the holders of certificates which immediately prior to the Effective Time represented such Shares ("Certificates") or holders



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

of Shares represented by book entry (“Book-Entry Shares”) shall cease to have any rights with respect to such Shares other than the right to receive the Merger Consideration, without interest thereon, upon surrender of such Certificates or Book-Entry Shares, as applicable, in accordance with this Article II.

d. Example 4

SECTION 2.01 *Conversion of Securities.*

(a) At the Effective Time, by virtue of the Merger and without any action on the part of Parent, Merger Sub, the Company or the holders of any of the following securities:

- (i) each share of Class A Common Stock (each “Class A Common Share”) and each share of Class B Common Stock (each “Class B Common Share” and in any case, each Class A Common Share or Class B Common Share, a “Share”) issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Effective Time (other than any Share to be canceled pursuant to Section 2.01(a)(ii) and any Dissenting Shares) shall be canceled and shall be converted automatically, subject to Section 2.02, into the right to receive \$13.25 in cash, plus the Additional Per Share Consideration (if any), without interest (together, rounded to the nearest penny, the “Merger Consideration”), payable upon surrender, in the manner provided in Section 2.02, of the Certificate that formerly evidenced such Share, subject, however, to the Surviving Corporation’s obligation to pay any dividends declared by the Company in accordance with the terms of this Agreement with a record date prior to the Effective Time that have not been paid by the Company prior to the Effective Time;

...

(b) “Additional Per Share Consideration” means, if the Closing Date occurs after the Outside Date, an amount in cash per Share, equal to (i) \$0.002178 multiplied by (ii) the number of days elapsed during the Ticking Fee Period. The term “Ticking Fee Period” means, subject to Section 6.09(c), the period beginning on the calendar day following the Outside Date and ending on and including the Closing Date.

[SECTION 6.09] (c) . . . For purposes of determining the Additional Per Share Consideration (if any), the number of days included in the Ticking Fee Period shall be reduced by one day for each day following the later of (i) the sixtieth (60th) day from the date of service of the Second Request and (ii) the date on which Parent, but not the Company, has certified substantial compliance with a Second Request until, in each case, the day on which the Company certifies substantial compliance with such Second Request.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

XV. “Take or Pay” Provisions

a. “Take or pay” covenant

(a) If any competent authority indicates formally or informally that an approval is likely to be granted subject to compliance with certain conditions and/or commitments, the Purchaser shall accept the imposition of such conditions and/or offer such commitments. If the Purchaser is unable to offer or comply with any such conditions or commitments or an approval has not been granted by [date], the Purchaser shall be obliged to pay the Closing Payment Amount in accordance with the terms of this Agreement on [date] contemporaneously with the transfer of the Target Shares to a party designated by the Purchaser and acceptable to the relevant antitrust authorities (hereinafter the “Third Party Buyer”).

(b) If (i) the Purchaser fails to designate a Third Party Buyer; (ii) the transfer of any Target Shares or to a Third Party Buyer is legally not permissible; (iii) a Third Party Buyer does not accept title to any Target Shares; or (iv) any Target Shares cannot be transferred to a Third Party Buyer, the Purchaser shall be obliged to pay to the Sellers the Closing Payment Amount on [outside date], regardless of whether the conditions set forth in Sections 3.2(A), (B) and (C) have been fulfilled by such date or will be fulfilled at a later date. The Sellers shall as soon as reasonably practicable, but not earlier than [outside date plus 3-6 months], procure the sale to a third party of any Target Shares or assets which the Sellers do not transfer to a Third Party Buyer pursuant to this paragraph and shall promptly pay to the Purchaser an amount equal to any proceeds of such sale, net of any Taxes and fees, costs and expenses. In procuring such sale the Sellers shall act in good faith and in accordance with the advice of their financial advisers but shall not be obliged to accept any obligations in addition to those contained in this Agreement. The Sellers shall have no liability to the Purchaser in relation to the price obtained for such sale (other than to pay the proceeds (net of the items set out above) to the Purchaser) or in relation to any other term or condition of such sale and shall not in any circumstances act as a trustee or fiduciary for the Purchaser.

b. Business to be put in trust if clearance not obtained

In the event that the Closing shall not have occurred on or before the [31st/150th] day after the filing of the HSR Form pursuant to the HSR Act with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby,

(i) Buyer shall pay Seller the Purchase Price in the manner set forth in Article [**] hereof and assume the liabilities and indemnity obligations contemplated by this Agreement to be assumed by Buyer,



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) the post-closing audit adjustments provided for in Article [**] shall be calculated and paid as if the [31st/150th] day were the Closing Date,

(iii) all other references in this Agreement to the Closing Date shall be deemed to be references to the [31st/150th] day, and the covenants and other agreements contained herein shall be operative as if the Closing shall have occurred on the [31st/150th],

(iv) Seller shall place the shares into a Trust [defined term] pursuant to a Trust Agreement substantially in the form attached hereto which is reasonably acceptable to Buyer.

Seller and Buyer shall agree to any reasonable modifications suggested by a Governmental Antitrust Authority that, in the view of such authorities, are necessary to make the Trust comply with the U.S. antitrust laws. The Trust shall incorporate provisions with respect to the following:

(A) the Trustee shall have the right to appoint the directors of Target,

(B) the Trustee shall have the right to vote the shares on all matters for which shareholders of the Target are entitled to vote,

(C) if the Transaction has not closed within [180] days from the [31st/150th] day, the Trustee shall use its best efforts to sell the shares to a person not affiliated with either the Buyer or Seller. Proceeds from that sale shall first be used to pay fees and expenses of the Trustee, and then any expenses incurred by the Seller in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement after the [31st/150th] day. The balance of proceeds shall be paid to Buyer.

XVI. Expenses

a. Bear own expenses

(i) *Example 1*

Whether or not the Mergers are consummated and except as otherwise provided in this Agreement or the other transaction documents to be entered into in connection with this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby, all expenses incurred in connection with this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby (including any applicable stock transfer or similar Taxes) shall be paid by the party incurring such expenses.

(ii) *Example 2*

Each party hereto shall bear its own Expenses in connection with any such filings and actions contemplated pursuant to this Section 5.5(d).



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) *Example 3*

Subject to Section 7.2 hereof, all Expenses incurred by the parties hereto (including, without limitation, any Expenses incurred in connection with obtaining any Third Party consents or any filings to be made pursuant to (i) the Exchange Act, the Securities Act or the rules and regulations of the NASDAQ, (ii) the HSR Act or other competition Laws or (iii) the DGCL or any Takeover Laws), shall be borne solely and entirely by the party which has incurred the same.

XVII. Termination Provisions

a. Failure to close by End Date or due to final prohibition order

(i) *Example 1*

(b) by either Parent or the Company, if:

- (ii) prior to the Effective Time, any Governmental Authority of competent jurisdiction shall have issued or entered any Order after the date of this Agreement or any Law shall have been enacted or promulgated after the date of this Agreement that has the effect of permanently restraining, enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the Merger or other transactions contemplated by this Agreement, and in the case of such an Order, such Order shall have become final and nonappealable; *provided, however*, that the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 7.1(b)(ii) shall not be available to a party if the issuance of such Order was proximately caused by, or resulted in, the failure of such party, and in the case of Parent, including the failure of Merger Sub, to perform or comply with any of its obligations under this Agreement;

(ii) *Example 2*

Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement to the contrary, if . . . (d) this Agreement is terminated by Parent or the Company pursuant to either Section 7.1(b) [failure to close by End Date for any reason] or Section 7.1(c) [probation order] (in the case of Section 7.1(c) to the extent arising in connection with any Regulatory Law) and, at the time of either such termination, all of the conditions to closing set forth in Sections 6.1 and 6.3 have been satisfied or waived in writing (or, if the Closing were to have taken place on the date of termination, such conditions would have been satisfied), other than the conditions set forth in Section 6.1(b)(if the injunction, restraint or prohibition relates to any Regulatory Law) or Section 6.1(c), then Parent shall pay to the Company an amount in



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

cash equal to \$750,000,000 (the “Reverse Termination Fee”) within two (2) Business Days of such termination.

(iii) *Example 3*

Termination. This Agreement may be terminated and the Transaction abandoned at any time prior to the Second Effective Time:

- (a) by the mutual written consent of the Company and Parent duly authorized by each of their respective Boards of Directors.
- (b) by either of the Company or Parent:
 - (i) if the Transaction shall not have been consummated on or before June 30, 2012 (the “Walk-Away Date”);
 - (ii) if any Restraint having the effect set forth in Section 6.1(c) [order or injunction] shall be in effect and shall have become final and nonappealable; *provided, however,* that the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 7.1(b)(ii) shall not be available to a party if such Restraint was due to the failure of such party to perform any of its obligations under this Agreement; or

[Other termination provisions]

(iv) *Example 4*

Termination. This Agreement may be terminated and the Transactions may be abandoned at any time prior to the Effective Time by action taken or authorized by the board of directors of the terminating party, notwithstanding any requisite approval of this Agreement and the Transactions by the shareholders of the Company, as follows:

...

- (b) by either of the Company or Parent:

...

- (ii) any Restraint having the effect set forth in Section 7.01(b) hereof shall have become final and nonappealable; *provided, however,* that the party seeking to terminate this Agreement under this Section 8.01(b)(ii) shall have complied in all material respects with its obligations under Section 6.09; or

[Other termination provisions]

b. Termination if FTC has not acted

Termination. This Agreement may be terminated . . . (b) by either Parent or the Company:



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(i) at any time after the Outside Date, if the Acceptance Time shall not have occurred on or prior to the Outside Date; provided, however, that the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 8.01(b)(i) shall not be available to any party whose failure to fulfill in any material respect any covenants or agreements of such party set forth in this Agreement has been a proximate cause of or materially contributed to the failure of the Acceptance Time to have occurred on or prior to the Outside Date;

(ii) if any Restraint having the effect of permanently restraining, enjoining, or otherwise prohibiting any of the Transactions shall be in effect and shall have become final and nonappealable; *provided* that the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 8.01(b)(ii) shall not be available to any party that has not used its reasonable best efforts to contest, appeal and remove such Restraint; or

(iii) at any time after the Outside Date, if, (A) the FTC shall not have preliminarily accepted a consent agreement pursuant to Rule 2.34 of its Rules of Practice and (B) the FTC shall not have effected the early termination of the applicable waiting period under the HSR Act, and (C) the waiting period under the HSR Act (and any extensions thereof as reasonably agreed in writing by Parent and the Company) shall not have expired;

c. Termination on issuance of second request

Section 6.1. *Termination of Agreement.* This Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the Closing Date as follows:

...

(d) by written notice of Seller to Buyer if a request for additional information and documentary material pursuant to the HSR Act has been received from either the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice or the United States Federal Trade Commission or if an equivalent request has been received under any other antitrust or competition Laws (other than in the Excepted Jurisdictions);

d. Termination following prohibition of the transaction

(i) *Example 1*

Termination. This Agreement may be terminated and the transactions contemplated by this Agreement may be abandoned at any time prior to the Effective Time, as follows:

...

(b) by either Parent or the Company if:

...



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(ii) any Restraint having the effect set forth in Section 8.01(c) [order] hereof shall have become final and nonappealable; *provided, however*, that the party seeking to terminate this Agreement shall have complied in all material respects with its obligations under Section 7.08 [efforts obligation]; or

(ii) *Example 2*

This Agreement may be terminated and the Merger may be abandoned at any time (notwithstanding adoption of the agreement of merger (as such term is used in Section 251 of the Corporation Law) contained in this Agreement by the Requisite Stockholder Approval) prior to the Effective Time (with any termination by Parent also being an effective termination by Merger Sub): . . .

(b) by either the Company or Parent, if any court of competent jurisdiction or other Governmental Entity shall have issued an Order, or taken any other action restraining, enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and such Order or other action shall have become final and non-appealable; *provided*, that the party seeking to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 7.01(b) shall have used its reasonable best efforts to contest, appeal and remove such Order or action and shall not be in violation of Section 5.04 or otherwise in material violation of this Agreement;

(iii) *Example 3*

(c) by either the Company or Parent, if an order by a Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction shall have been issued permanently restraining, enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the consummation of the Merger and such order shall have become final and nonappealable; *provided* that the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 7.1(c) shall not be available to a party if such order resulted due to the intentional material breach by such party of any representation, warranty, covenant or other agreement of such party set forth in this Agreement;

(iv) *Example 4*

(iv) if any Restraint having any of the effects set forth in Section 7.1(d) shall be in effect and shall have become final and nonappealable, or if any Governmental Entity that must grant a Requisite Regulatory Approval has denied approval of the Orion Merger or the Diamond Merger and such denial has become final and nonappealable, provided that the party seeking to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 8.1(b)(iv) shall have used reasonable best efforts to prevent the entry of and to remove such Restraint or to obtain such Requisite Regulatory Approval, as the case may be;



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

e. Extension if antitrust conditions have not been satisfied

(i) *Extension at election of buyer*

This Agreement may be terminated and the Merger may be abandoned at any time (notwithstanding adoption of the agreement of merger (as such term is used in Section 251 of the Corporation Law) contained in this Agreement by the Requisite Stockholder Approval) prior to the Effective Time (with any termination by Parent also being an effective termination by Merger Sub): . . .

(c) by either the Company or Parent, if the Effective Time shall not have occurred on or before August 15, 2012 (the “Outside Date”); *provided, however*, that if on the Outside Date at least one of the conditions set forth in Section 6.01(b) (as a result of an Order or Law under the Antitrust Laws) or Section 6.01(c) shall not have been satisfied, then, subject to the last paragraph of this Section 7.01, at the written election of Parent or the Company, the Outside Date may be extended no more than three (3) times in the aggregate, each time by a period of two (2) months (and in the case of such extension, any reference to the Outside Date in any other provision of this Agreement shall be a reference to the Outside Date, as extended); *provided, further, however*, that the Outside Date shall under no circumstance be extended beyond February 15, 2013; *provided, further*, that the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 7.01(c) shall not be available to any party whose failure to fulfill in any material respect any covenants and agreements of such party set forth in this Agreement was the primary cause of the failure of the Effective Time to occur on or before the Outside Date;

(ii) *Extension at election of seller*

Section 8.01. *Termination.* This Agreement may be terminated and the transactions contemplated hereby abandoned at any time prior to the Closing:

. . .

(b) by either Seller or Buyer if the Closing shall not have been consummated on or before 11:59 p.m. Pacific time on August 25, 2010 (the “Termination Date”); *provided however*, that (i) the failure to consummate the Closing on or before the Termination Date did not result principally from the failure of the Party seeking termination of this Agreement to fulfill any undertaking or commitment on its part provided for herein prior to Closing and (ii) in the sole discretion of Seller, in up to two successive elections and at any time prior to the Closing Date, the Termination Date may be extended by up to nine additional months after such date in connection with any Proceeding of any Governmental Authority with respect to regulatory matters pursuant to the HSR Act or other anti-trust related regulations or actions;



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(iii) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 1)*

“End Date” means June 27, 2011; *provided, however*, that if on June 27, 2011, the conditions to Closing set forth in Section 6.01(b) [expiration of antitrust waiting periods] or 6.01(c) (in the case of Section 6.01(c), as a result of any Restraint or any pending or threatened suit, action or proceeding by any Governmental Entity, in each case arising under any competition, merger control, antitrust or similar law or regulation) shall not have been satisfied or waived but all other conditions to Closing shall have been satisfied or waived (or, in the case of conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing, shall be capable of being satisfied on such date), then the End Date may be extended by either Parent or the Company to September 27, 2011;

(iv) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 2)*

by either Parent or the Company, if the Merger shall not have been consummated by February 15, 2018 (the “Outside Date”), *provided*, that if the Closing shall not have occurred prior to such date and all the conditions to Closing, other than the conditions set forth in Section 7.1(b) or 7.1(c) (as it relates to the HSR Act or any Antitrust Law), shall have been satisfied or shall be capable of being satisfied at such time, the Outside Date may be extended on one occasion by either Parent or the Company for a period of 90 days by written notice to the other party, and such date, as so extended, shall be the Outside Date; *provided, further*, that the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 8.1(b) shall not be available to any party that has breached in any material respect its obligations under this Agreement in any manner that shall have caused the failure of a condition to the consummation of the Merger;

(v) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 3)*

Termination. This Agreement may be terminated and the Merger may be abandoned at any time prior to the Effective Time, notwithstanding approval thereof by the shareholders of Company, with any termination by Parent also being an effective termination by Merger Sub:

(a) by mutual written consent of Parent and Company; or

(b) by either Parent or Company:

- (i) if the Merger shall not have been consummated on or before July 24, 2013 (the “Outside Date”); *provided*, that if on July 24, 2013 any of the Antitrust Conditions shall not have been satisfied or duly waived by the party or parties entitled to the benefit of such condition but all the other conditions to Closing set forth in Article VI have been satisfied (other than those conditions that by their nature cannot be satisfied until the Closing Date), then either Parent or Company



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

may elect to extend the Outside Date to (and including) October 24, 2013; provided, further, that the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 7.1(b)(i) shall not be available to any party whose material breach of this Agreement shall have been the principal cause of, or resulted in, the failure of the Merger to be consummated by the Outside Date (as extended); or

- (ii) if any Governmental Entity of competent jurisdiction shall have issued a judgment, order, injunction, rule or decree, or taken any other action enjoining or otherwise prohibiting or making illegal consummation of the Merger and such judgment, order, injunction, rule, decree or other action shall have become final and nonappealable; provided, that the party seeking to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 7.1(b)(ii) shall have complied with Section 5.5; or

....

(vi) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 4)*

Termination. This Agreement may be terminated and the Transactions abandoned at any time prior to the Second Effective Time:

...

- (c) by the mutual written consent of the Company and Parent duly authorized by each of their respective Boards of Directors.
- (d) by either of the Company or Parent:
 - (i) if the Second Merger shall not have been consummated on or before June 30, 2012 (the “Walk-Away Date”); *provided, however*, that if, as of such date, the condition set forth in Section 6.1(b) [regulatory approval] or Section 6.1(c) [no order or injunction] shall not have been satisfied or duly waived by all parties entitled to the benefit of such condition, either the Company or Parent may, by written notice delivered to the other party, elect to extend the Walk-Away Date to December 31, 2012 (the “Extended Walk-Away Date”); *provided, further*, that the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 7.1(b)(i) shall not be available (x) to a party if the inability to satisfy such condition was due to the failure of such party to perform any of its obligations under this Agreement or (y) to a party if the other party has filed (and is then pursuing) an action seeking specific performance as permitted by Section 8.8 or (z) to the Company if Parent or Merger Sub is pursuing an action in good faith to enforce, including against anticipatory breach, the obligations of the lenders to fund the Debt Financing under the Debt Commitment Letters or the definitive documents relating to the Debt Financing.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

(vii) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 5)*

- (b) By either the Company or Parent, if the Closing of the Mergers shall not have occurred on or before March 8, 2015 (the “Termination Date”); *provided, however*, that if all of the conditions to Closing, other than the conditions set forth in Section 6.3 or Section 7.3,^[1] shall have been satisfied or shall be capable of being satisfied at such time, the Termination Date may be extended by either the Company or Parent from time to time by written notice to the other party, in each case for sixty days, up to a date not beyond September 8, 2015, the latest of any of which dates shall thereafter be deemed to be the Termination Date;

(viii) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 6)*

- (b) by either the Company or Parent, if:

- (i) if the Merger is not consummated on or before February 12, 2015 (the “End Date”); *provided, however*, that, if on such date any of the conditions set forth in Section 9.01(b),^[2] Section 9.01(c)^[3] and Section 9.01(d)^[4] (solely on account of a temporary or preliminary order or injunction) are not satisfied, but all other conditions set forth in Article 9 shall have been satisfied (other than those conditions that have been waived by the Company and Parent, if and to the extent that such waiver is permitted by Applicable Law, and other than those conditions that by their nature can only be satisfied at or immediately prior to the Closing), then either the Company or Parent shall have the right, in its sole discretion, to extend the End Date by a period of six months, in which case the End Date shall be August 12, 2015; *provided, further*, that the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 10.01(b)(i) shall not be available to any party whose breach of any provision of this Agreement results in the failure of the Merger to be consummated on or before the End Date (as extended, if applicable);

(ix) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 7)*

Section 9.2 Termination by the Company or Parent.

¹ Sections 6.3 and 7.3 are the HSR Act waiting period conditions precedent.

² Antitrust approvals condition precedent

³ FCC approval condition precedent

⁴ No applicable law, order or injunction condition precedent.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

This Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the Effective Time, whether before or after the Required Company Vote or the Required Parent Vote has been obtained, by either the Company or Parent upon written notice to the other if:

(a) the Effective Time shall not have occurred on or before October 30, 2015 (such date or such later date, if any, as is provided in Section 7.1(c) or (d) hereof, or the proviso of this Section 9.2(a), the “Termination Date”), and the party seeking to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 9.2(a) shall not have breached its obligations under this Agreement in any manner that shall have proximately caused the failure to consummate the Merger on or before the Termination Date; *provided, however*, that in the event the conditions set forth in Section 8.1(b) [Antitrust/Competition] or Section 8.1(c) [Injunctions or Restraints] (but only, in the case of Section 8.1(c) [Injunctions or Restraints], if the failure to meet such condition is as a result of any Regulatory Law) shall not have been satisfied on or before the Termination Date but all of the other conditions set forth in Section 8.1 have been satisfied, either Parent or the Company may unilaterally extend the Termination Date, on one or more occasions, by notice delivered to the other party, until a date no later than April 30, 2016¹ in which case the Termination Date shall be deemed for all purposes to be the latest of such dates;

(x) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller (Example 8)*

(b) by either Orion or Diamond:

(i) if the Mergers shall not have been consummated by March 15, 2017 (the “*Outside Date*”); provided, that if the Closing shall not have occurred by the Outside Date but on that date any of the conditions set forth in Section 7.1(b), Section 7.1(c) or Section 7.1(d) (solely as it relates to any Antitrust Laws) shall not be satisfied but all other conditions shall have been satisfied or waived (other than those that by their terms are to be fulfilled at the Closing), then either Diamond or Orion may elect (by delivery written notice to the other party at or prior to 11:59 p.m. Eastern time, on March 15, 2017) to extend the Outside Date to June 15, 2017; and provided, further, that the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 8.1(b)(i) shall not be available to any party whose failure to perform any of its material obligations under this Agreement has been the primary cause of, or primarily resulted in, the failure of the Mergers to be consummated by such time;

(xi) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller multiple indeterminate times up to set date*

This Agreement may be terminated and the Mergers may be abandoned at any time prior to the First Effective Time by action of the Board of Directors of either Parent or the Company if (a) the Initial Merger shall not have been consummated by October 22, 2017

¹ This provides for a six-month extension.



(as it may be extended below, the “*Termination Date*”), whether such date is before or after the date of adoption of this Agreement by the stockholders of the Company referred to in Section 7.1(a); *provided* that if on such date any of the Required Governmental Consents shall not have been obtained, the Termination Date may be extended one or more times by the Company or Parent from time to time by written notice to the other party up to a date (or dates) on or before April 22, 2018, . . . ; *provided* that the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 8.2 shall not be available to any party that has breached in any material respect its obligations under this Agreement in any manner that shall have proximately contributed to the failure of the Initial Merger to be consummated.

(xii) *Extension at election of either buyer or seller in event of an injunction*

(b) by the Company or Parent, if the Closing shall not have occurred on or prior to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, on March 21, 2017 (the “*Original End Date*”); *provided, however*, that if as of the Original End Date or the First Extended End Date (as defined below) any of the conditions set forth in Section 6.1(b) (solely to the extent such condition has not been satisfied due to an order or injunction arising under any Antitrust Law) or Section 6.1(c) shall not have been satisfied or waived (to the extent permitted), either Parent or the Company may, in its respective sole discretion: (1) at any time not more than 30 days prior to the Original End Date, elect to extend the Original End Date for a period of three months (the Original End Date as so extended, the “*First Extended End Date*”), and (2) thereafter either Parent or the Company, may in its respective sole discretion at any time not more than 30 days prior to the First Extended End Date, elect to extend for another period of up to three months (the First Extended End Date, as so further extended, the “*Second Extended End Date*” and, each of the First Extended End Date and the Second Extended End Date, the “*Extended End Date*,” and the Original End Date, or, if extended, the Extended End Date then in effect, the “*End Date*”), it being agreed that there shall be no more than two such extensions pursuant to this Section 7.1 in the aggregate for all parties pursuant to this proviso and that in no event shall the End Date occur after 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on September 21, 2017. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 7.1(b) shall not be available to a party if the failure of the Closing to occur by such date shall be due to the intentional material breach by such party of any representation, warranty, covenant or other agreement of such party set forth in this Agreement;

(xiii) *Extension on reasonable belief of extending party*

(b) by either of the Company or Parent:

- (i) the Merger shall not have been consummated by on or before May 31, 2010 (the “*Outside Date*”); *provided*, that the Outside Date may be extended (A) for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days by either party by written notice to the other



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

party if the Merger shall not have been consummated as a result of the condition set forth in Section 6.1(b) [antitrust waiting periods and approvals] failing to have been satisfied but (1) the extending party reasonably believes that the relevant approvals will be obtained during such extension period and (2) each of the other conditions to the consummation of the Merger set forth in Article VI has been satisfied or waived or remains reasonably capable of satisfaction;

(xiv) *Extension where regulatory condition “reasonably capable of being satisfied” (Ex. 1)*

Termination Events. This Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the Closing:

...

(b) by either Buyer Parent or Parent if the Closing has not occurred on or before September 21, 2012 (the “Outside Date”); *provided, however*, that the Outside Date will automatically be extended up to and including December 21, 2012, in the event that all conditions to Closing other than those set forth in Sections 6.1(b) (the “Regulatory Conditions”) have been or are capable of being satisfied at the time of such extension and the Regulatory Conditions have been or are reasonably capable of being satisfied on or prior to December 21, 2012; *provided, further* that neither Buyer Parent nor Parent shall be entitled to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 10.1(b) if such Person’s breach of this Agreement has prevented the consummation of the Transactions;

(xv) *Extension where regulatory condition “reasonably capable of being satisfied” (Ex.2)*

Termination. This Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the Effective Time, whether before or after receipt of the Company Stockholder Approval (except as otherwise provided below):

...

(b) by either Parent or the Company:

- (i) if the Merger shall not have been consummated on or before October 1, 2013 (as it may be extended, the “Outside Date”), unless the failure to consummate the Merger is the result of a material breach of this Agreement by the party seeking to terminate this Agreement (which, in the case of Parent, includes any breach by Merger Sub); *provided*, that if on the Outside Date (i) either of the conditions set forth in Section 7.01(b) or Section 7.01(c) has not been satisfied, or (ii) litigation with any Governmental Entity that is challenging the consummation of the Merger or the other Transactions contemplated hereby under the HSR Act or any other antitrust laws has been commenced or threatened, then, upon the request of Parent or the Company and with the written consent of the other party (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld) (*provided*, that the requesting party



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

reasonably believes, after consultation with outside legal counsel, that approvals necessary to satisfy the conditions set forth in Sections 7.01(b) and 7.01(c) are reasonably likely to be obtained during such extension period or a subsequent permitted extension period and, in the event of a request by Parent, that the Parent Extension Conditions (as defined below) have been satisfied), the Outside Date then in effect shall be extended by no more than two (2) times in the aggregate, each time by a period of thirty-eight (38) calendar days (and in the case of such extension, any reference to the Outside Date in this or any other provision of this Agreement shall be a reference to the Outside Date, as extended);

(xvi) *Extension where regulatory condition “reasonably likely of being satisfied”*

SECTION 8.01 Termination. This Agreement may be terminated and the Transactions may be abandoned at any time prior to the Effective Time by action taken or authorized by the board of directors of the terminating party, notwithstanding any requisite approval of this Agreement and the Transactions by the shareholders of the Company, as follows:

...

(b) by either Parent or the Company if:

- (i) the Effective Time shall not have occurred on or before December 30, 2013 (the “Outside Date”); *provided, however*, that if on the Outside Date all of the conditions set forth in Section 7.01, Section 7.02 and Section 7.03 have been satisfied (or, with respect to the conditions that by their terms must be satisfied at the Closing would have been so satisfied if the Closing would have occurred) other than the conditions set forth in Section 7.01(b)^[1] (to the extent such Restraint arises under any Antitrust Law and shall not have become final and nonappealable) or Section 7.01(c),^[2] then either Parent or the Company may extend the Outside Date for an additional sixty (60) days (as extended, the “Extended Outside Date”) by delivery of written notice of such extension to the other party not less than three (3) Business Days prior to the Outside Date if the party delivering such notice reasonably believes that the conditions in Sections 7.01(b) and 7.01(c) are reasonably likely to be satisfied on or before the Extended Outside Date; *provided, further*, that the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 8.01(b)(i) shall not be available to any party whose knowing and

¹ Section 7.01(b). *No Restraints*. No Governmental Authority shall have enacted, issued, promulgated, enforced or entered any Order which is then in effect and has the effect of making the Merger illegal or otherwise prohibiting consummation of the Transactions (any such Order, a “*Restraint*”).

² Section 7.01(c). *Antitrust Approvals and Waiting Periods*. Any waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the consummation of the Merger under the HSR Act and any agreement with a Governmental Authority not to consummate the Transactions shall have expired or been terminated.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

intentional breach of this Agreement has been the principal cause of, or resulted in, the failure of the Effective Time to occur on or before the Outside Date or the Extended Outside Date, as applicable;

(xvii) *Multiple extensions*

By either Parent or Company if:

- (a) the Initial Merger shall not have been consummated by 11:59 p.m. (New York City time) on December 13, 2018 (the “*Initial Termination Date*”, and as it may be extended below, the “*Termination Date*”), whether such date is before or after the date of adoption of this Agreement by the stockholders of the Company or the approval of the Stock Issuance by the stockholders of Parent referred to in Section 6.01(b); *provided* that if on such date any of the Required Governmental Consents shall not have been obtained and all of the other conditions set forth in Article VI have been satisfied or waived (except for those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing, *provided* that such conditions were then capable of being satisfied if the Closing had taken place), the Initial Termination Date may be extended by either Parent or the Company to 11:59 p.m. (New York City time) on June 13, 2019 (the “*First Extended Termination Date*”); *provided, further,* that if on such extended date any of the Required Governmental Consents shall not have been obtained and all of the other conditions set forth in Article VI have been satisfied or waived (except for those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing *provided* that such conditions were then capable of being satisfied if the Closing had taken place), the First Extended Termination Date may be extended by either Parent or the Company to 11:59 p.m. (New York City time) on December 13, 2019 (the “*Second Extended Termination Date*”); *provided, further,* that if the condition set forth in Section 6.01(e) is not satisfied as of the applicable Termination Date because a Governmental Entity of a competent jurisdiction (other than those Governmental Entities set forth on Section 6.01(d) of the Company Disclosure Letter) shall have enacted, issued, promulgated, enforced or entered any Order that is not final and non-appealable (and all of the other conditions set forth in Article VI have been satisfied or waived (except for those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the Closing, *provided* that such conditions were then capable of being satisfied if the Closing had taken place), then the Initial Termination Date, the First Extended Termination Date or the Second Extended Termination Date, as applicable, shall be extended until the earliest of (i) six months after the applicable Termination Date, (ii) two business days following such earlier date on which the Subsequent Merger is required to occur and (iii) the date such Order becomes final and non-appealable.



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

provided that the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to Section 7.02(a) [above] or Section 7.02(d) shall not be available to any party that has breached in any material respect its obligations under this Agreement in any manner that shall have proximately contributed to the failure of the Mergers to be consummated.

(xviii) *Automatic extension (Example 1)*

(b) by either Parent or the Company, if: (i) the Merger shall not have been consummated by September 17, 2018 (the “*Outside Date*”) for any reason; *provided, however*, that (x) if, on such date, the condition to Closing set forth in Section 6.01(b) [antitrust closing condition] shall not have been fulfilled but all other conditions to Closing either have been fulfilled or are then capable of being fulfilled, then the Outside Date shall, without any action on the part of the parties hereto, be extended to December 17, 2018 and (y) the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 7.01(b)(i) shall not be available to any party whose action or failure to act has been a principal cause of or resulted in the failure of the Merger to occur on or before such date and such action or failure to act constitutes a breach of this Agreement;

(xix) *Automatic extension (Example 2)*

(c) by either the Company or Parent if the Merger shall not have been consummated on or before 5:00 p.m. (Boston time) on November 4, 2015 (the “*Initial End Date*”); *provided, however*, that if on such date any of the conditions to Closing set forth in Section 6.1(b) or Section 6.1(c) shall not have been satisfied or duly waived by the party or parties entitled to the benefit of such condition but all other conditions to Closing set forth in ARTICLE VI shall have been satisfied (other than those conditions that by their nature cannot be satisfied until the Closing Date, but which would be capable of being satisfied if the Closing Date occurred on the Initial End Date) or waived, then the Initial End Date shall be automatically extended without further action of the parties to 5:00 p.m. (Boston time) on February 4, 2016; *provided, further*, that the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 7.1(c) shall not be available to any party whose material breach of any covenant or obligation under this Agreement has been the cause of or resulted in the failure of the Closing to occur on or before the End Date. As used in this Agreement, the term “*End Date*” shall mean the Initial End Date, unless the Initial End Date has been extended pursuant to the foregoing proviso, in which case, the term “*End Date*” shall mean the date to which the Initial End Date has been so extended;



SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

f. Extension with buyer's rights to terminate

SECTION 8.01. Termination. This Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the Acceptance Time:

- (a) by mutual written consent of Parent, Merger Sub and the Company;
- (b) by either Parent or the Company:
 - (i) if the Acceptance Time has not occurred on or before July 6, 2018 (the "Initial Outside Date" and such date as it may be extended in accordance with this Section 8.01(b)(i), the "Outside Date"); *provided, however*, that (A) if, as of the close of business on the date that is six business days prior to the Initial Outside Date, all of the Non-Minimum Tender Offer Conditions, other than the Offer Conditions set forth in clauses (b) or (i) (solely, in the case of clause (i), relating to a Legal Restraint in respect of the HSR Act or any Foreign Merger Control Law) of Exhibit A, shall have been satisfied or waived, then Parent may, in its sole discretion, by providing written notice to the Company on or prior to the Initial Outside Date, extend the Outside Date to October 6, 2018 (the "Extended Outside Date"); *provided further*, that during the period between the Initial Outside Date and the Extended Outside Date, if and only if the Offer Conditions set forth in clauses (b) and (i) of Exhibit A have not been satisfied at such time, Parent shall at all times be permitted to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 8.01(b)(i); *provided further*, that notwithstanding the foregoing, the right to terminate this Agreement under this Section 8.01(b)(i) shall not be available to a party if the failure to consummate the Offer is the result of a material breach of this Agreement by such party; or
 - (ii) if any Legal Restraint permanently preventing or prohibiting consummation of the Offer or the Merger shall be in effect and shall have become final and non-appealable; provided that the party seeking to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this clause (ii) shall have complied with its obligations under Section 6.03 in respect of any such Legal Restraint;

g. Accelerated termination by buyer

(i) *Example 1*

The Buyer agrees to promptly notify the Company in writing (such notification, an "Antitrust Notification") if at any time the Buyer determines in its sole reasonable judgment (after consultation with Buyer's antitrust counsel) that there is no reasonable likelihood that Buyer will, by the Outside Date, agree to or satisfy conditions or requirements of the United States Federal Trade Commission and/or Antitrust Division of



the United States Department of Justice or of any court to the receipt of any clearance required under the HSR Act or other Applicable Law for the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the terms of this Agreement; *provided, however*, that the foregoing shall in no way diminish or modify the Buyer's obligations pursuant to the other portions of this Section 4.3(a) [commercially reasonable efforts to obtain antitrust clearance]. The Buyer and the Company agree, within 15 days after the Company's receipt of an Antitrust Notification, to engage in discussions regarding the reasons for such Antitrust Notification and any appropriate course of action in light thereof. In the event the Buyer and the Company are unable to agree upon a mutually-acceptable course of action in such 15-day period, the Company may terminate this Agreement pursuant to Section 7.3(e) by notifying the Buyer in writing of such termination within seven days following the expiration of such 15-day period.

(ii) *Example 2*

This Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the Effective Time, by action taken or authorized by the Board of Directors of the terminating party or parties, and except as provided below, whether before or after the requisite approval of the stockholders of the Company:

...

(b) by either Parent or the Company, if the Merger shall not have been consummated on or before May 31, 2003 (which date shall be extended to September 30, 2003, in the event that all waiting periods (and any extension thereof) under the HSR Act relating to the Merger shall not have expired or been terminated on or prior to May 31, 2003) (the "END DATE"); *provided, however*, that the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 7.1(b) shall not be available to any party whose action or failure to act has been the principal cause of or resulted in the failure of the Merger to occur on or before the End Date, and such action or failure to act constitutes a material breach of this Agreement;

- (c) (i) by either the Company or Parent if any court of competent jurisdiction or other Government Entity shall have issued an order, decree, or ruling enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the transaction contemplated by this Agreement and such order, decree or ruling shall have become final and non-appealable (unless such order, decree, or ruling has been withdrawn, reversed, or otherwise made inapplicable); or
- (ii) by the Company if any litigation or proceeding is pending before any court of competent jurisdiction or has been threatened to be instituted by any Person or governmental body, which in the good faith judgment of the Board of Directors of the Company is reasonably likely to result in an order, decree, or ruling enjoining, prohibiting,



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

g. No termination during specific performance litigation

(i)

by either Parent or the Company if the Merger shall not have been consummated on or before 11:59 p.m., Pacific time, on May 31, 2016 (as it may be extended, the “Outside Date”);

provided, however, that if the Closing shall not have occurred by the Outside Date but on that date (A) any of the conditions set forth in Section 6.1(b) (as it relates to any antitrust or competition-related Legal requirements), Section 6.1(c), Section 6.1(d) or Section 6.2(e) (as it relates to any antitrust or competition-related Legal Requirements) shall not be satisfied, then either Parent or the Company may elect (by delivering written notice to the other party at or prior to 11:59 p.m., Pacific time, on May 31, 2016) to extend the Outside Date to August 31, 2016;

provided further, however, that a party shall not be permitted to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 7.1(d) if the failure to consummate the Merger by the Outside Date is attributable to a failure on the part of such party to perform any covenant in this Agreement required to be performed by such party at or prior to the Effective Time;

provided further, however, that the right to terminate this Agreement shall not be available to a party during the pendency of a Legal Proceeding by the other party for specific performance or injunction as contemplated by Section 8.12, and during such pendency, the Outside Date shall be extended;

8.12 Enforcement . Each party acknowledges and agrees that irreparable harm would occur in the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement were not performed by either party in accordance with their specific terms or were otherwise breached, and that monetary damages, even if available, would not be an adequate remedy therefor. Accordingly, in the event of any breach or threatened breach by either party of any provision of this Agreement, the other party shall be entitled to, without proof of actual damages (and the breaching party hereby waives any requirement for the securing or posting of any bond in connection with such remedy): (a) a decree or order of specific performance to enforce the observance and performance of such provision; and (b) an injunction restraining such breach or threatened breach. The foregoing will be in addition to any other remedy to which such party is entitled at law or in equity. Without limiting the provisions of Section 7.3(f), the availability of Expenses pursuant to Section 7.3(a), the Termination Fee pursuant to Sections 7.3(b), 7.3(c) or 7.3(d) and the Regulatory Fee pursuant to Section 7.3(e) shall not be a basis for denying specific performance or an injunction contemplated by this Section 8.12.



SHEARMAN & STERLING^{LLP}

Antitrust Unpacked

ANTITRUST LAW BLOG

This blog and the postings contained on it are intended only as a general discussion of these issues and should not be regarded as legal advice for any specific situation. The thoughts expressed in this blog are not necessarily shared by other members of the Shearman & Sterling Antitrust Group, Shearman & Sterling LLP, or its clients.

Copyright © 2018 Shearman & Sterling LLP. Shearman & Sterling LLP is a limited liability partnership organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with an affiliated limited liability partnership organized for the practice of law in the United Kingdom and Italy and an affiliated partnership organized for the practice of law in Hong Kong.