

---

**U. S. v. INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER CO.**

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR  
THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA.**

In Equity No. 624.

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER,**

**VS.**

**INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER COMPANY ET AL.,  
DEFENDANTS.**

**DECREE.**

On this 15 day of August, 1914, this cause came on for decree upon the submission heretofore had, and the court being well advised in the premises finds that the defendant

the International Harvester Company was as originally organized and now is a combination in restraint of trade and commerce among the several States and with foreign nations in agricultural implements, and did from its inception monopolize and attempt to monopolize a part of the trade and commerce among the several States and with foreign nations in agricultural implements, and that the International Harvester Company of America, the International Flax Twine Company, the Wisconsin Steel Company, the Wisconsin Lumber Company, the Illinois Northern Railway, and the Chicago West Pullman and Southern Railroad Company are subsidiary companies of the International Harvester Company and are confederated with it in the unlawful purposes aforesaid, and that the defendants Cyrus H. McCormick, Charles Deering, James Deering, John J. Glessner, William H. Jones, Harold F. McCormick, Richard F. Howe, Edgar A. Bancroft, George F. Baker, William J. Louderback, Norman B. Ream, Charles Steele, John A. Chapman, Elbert H. Gary, Thomas D. Jones, John P. Wilson, William L. Saunders, and George W. Perkins are officers of said International Harvester Company and are aiding and assisting it in the unlawful business mentioned:

It is adjudged and decreed that said combination and monopoly be forever dissolved, and to the end that the business and assets of the International Harvester Company be separated and divided among at least three substantially equal, separate, distinct, and independent corporations, with wholly separate owners and stockholders, and that the defendants file with the clerk within ninety days a plan for such separation and division for the consideration of this court. In the event this case is appealed and decree superseded, then the time in which the defendant shall file said plan is hereby extended to ninety days from the filing of the procedendo or mandate of the Supreme Court with the clerk of this court.

In case the defendants fail to file such plan in the time limited this court will entertain an application for the

appointment of a receiver for all the property of the corporate defendants.

Jurisdiction is retained by the court to make such additional decrees as may be deemed necessary to secure the final winding up and dissolution of the combination and monopoly complained of and as to costs.

In case the defendants or any of them see fit to appeal from this decree the supersedeas bond is fixed at \$50,000, and the same may be approved by any one of the circuit judges of this circuit who sat upon the trial.

WILLIAM C. HOOK,  
WALTER I. SMITH,  
*Circuit Judges.*

Filed August 15th, 1914.

---