

MAX D. WHEELER (3439)  
MARALYN M. ENGLISH (8468)  
SNOW, CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU  
10 Exchange Place, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 45000  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-5000  
Telephone: (801) 521-9000  
Facsimile: (801) 363-0400

JEFFREY M. JOHNSON (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
PAUL R. TASKIER (*pro hac vice pending*)  
JASON D. WALLACH (*pro hac vice pending*)  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1825 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-5403  
Telephone: (202) 420-2200  
Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

R. BRUCE HOLCOMB (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
ADAMS HOLCOMB LLP  
1875 Eye Street, NW, Suite 810  
Washington, DC 20006-5403  
Telephone: (202) 580-8820  
Facsimile: (202) 580-8821

*Attorneys for Novell, Inc.*

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
for the District of Utah  
Central Division**

---

|                        |   |                                 |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Novell, Inc.,          | * | NOVELL'S RENEWED MOTION SEEKING |
| Plaintiff,             | * | COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL             |
|                        | * |                                 |
| v.                     | * |                                 |
|                        | * |                                 |
| Microsoft Corporation, | * | Case No. 2:04-cv-01045-JFM      |
| Defendant.             | * | Hon. J. Frederick Motz          |

---

Plaintiff Novell, Inc. (“Novell”) hereby renews its motion to preclude Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) from relitigating the Findings of Fact (“Findings”) and Conclusions of Law (“Conclusions”) of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in the case *United States v. Microsoft Corp.*, 84 F. Supp. 2d 9 (D.D.C. 1999) (the “Government case”), that the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit affirmed in *United States v. Microsoft Corp.*, 253 F.3d 34 (D.C. Cir. 2001). Following Novell’s initial motion seeking collateral estoppel, this Court ruled that Microsoft was collaterally estopped from contesting Findings 2, 4, 6-10, 30, 31, 33-39, 144-145, 148, 159, and 239. *See* Order (Dec. 3, 2008). The Court deferred ruling upon whether it would grant preclusive effect to other Findings, whether the Findings and Conclusions from the Government case were material to the issues in this case, and what language the Court will include in the instructions to the jury regarding the Findings and Conclusions to which the Court gives preclusive effect.

Novell now moves the Court to preclude Microsoft from relitigating other Findings and Conclusions from the Government case, and for a determination that the Findings and Conclusions are material to the issues in this case. As Novell demonstrates in its memorandum in support of this motion and the accompanying exhibits and appendices, all of the Findings that Novell has asked the Court to preclude Microsoft from contesting are material to the issues in this case and were critical and essential to the affirmed judgment in the Government case. Microsoft, therefore, should be precluded from relitigating those Findings and Conclusions.

Dated: August 8, 2011

SNOW, CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU

By: /s/ Maralyn M. English  
Max D. Wheeler  
Maralyn M. English

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

Jeffrey M. Johnson  
Paul R. Taskier  
Jason D. Wallach

ADAMS HOLCOMB LLP

R. Bruce Holcomb

*Attorneys for Novell, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

*/s/ Maralyn M. English* \_\_\_\_\_