1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7		
8	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	
9	STATE OF NEW YORK, STATE OF CONNECTICUT, COMMONWEALTH OF	
10	PENNSYLVANIA, STATE OF DELAWARE, STATE OF MAINE, STATE OF MARYLAND,	
11	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, STATE OF MICHIGAN, STATE OF	
12	MINNESOTA, STATE OF NEVADA, STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, STATE OF NEW	
13	JERSEY, STATE OF NEW MEXICO, STATE OF OKLAHOMA, STATE OF OREGON,	CASE NO.: 2:23-cv-01495
14	STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, and STATE OF WISCONSIN,	NOTICE OF RELATED CASES
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	v.	
17	AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation,	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 3(g)(1), Plaintiffs Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") and	
21	states of New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,	
22	Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon,	
23	Rhode Island, and Wisconsin submit this notice of the following related cases: <i>Frame-Wilson v</i> .	
24	Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:20-cv-00424 (W.D. Wash.) ("Frame-Wilson"); De Coster v.	
	NOTICE OF RELATED CASES - 1 CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01495	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-2222

Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:21-cv-00693 (W.D. Wash.) ("De Coster"); and Hogan v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:21-cv-00996 (W.D. Wash.) ("Hogan") (together, the "Related Cases"). Judge 2 Ricardo S. Martinez currently presides over each of the Related Cases. 3 Frame-Wilson is a putative class action brought on behalf of "[a]ll persons who, on or 4 5 after March 19, 2016, purchased through any other retail e-commerce channel in the United States other than Amazon Marketplace one or more products concurrently offered for sale by 6 Amazon's third-party sellers on Amazon Marketplace." Second Amended Class Action 7 Complaint, Frame-Wilson v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:20-cv-00424 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 11, 2022), 9 Dkt. #55 at 94-95. The Frame-Wilson plaintiffs bring antitrust claims for violations of Section 1 and Section 2 of the Sherman Act as well as California's Cartwright Act, alleging that Amazon 10 11 "regularly monitors retail e-commerce prices offered to U.S. customers both by its external competitors and its third-party sellers," id. at 66, and "penalize[s] sellers that offer products at a 12 13 lower price on competing sites" such that "sellers either raise their prices on other websites or lose selling privileges on Amazon Marketplace," id. at 11-12. The Frame-Wilson plaintiffs 14 15 allege that Amazon's conduct has the effect of "reducing online competition and increasing 16 online retail prices." *Id.* at 50. De Coster is a putative class action brought on behalf of "[a]ll persons who on or after 17 May 26, 2017, purchased one or more goods on Amazon's marketplace." Consolidated 18 19 Amended Complaint, De Coster v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:21-cv-00693 (W.D. Wash. July 21, 20 2021), Dkt. #20 at 50. The *De Coster* plaintiffs bring antitrust claims for violations of Section 1 21 and Section 2 of the Sherman Act, alleging that Amazon's pricing policies "prohibit third-party 22 merchants from lowering their prices anywhere on the internet" and "neutralize competition by other online retail marketplaces." *Id.* at 12. The parties in *Frame-Wilson* and *De Coster* have 23 24 "agreed to general cross-use as between *Frame-Wilson* and *De Coster*—discovery served or

1	produced in one case is available for use in the other, with all parties reserving all objections as	
2	to admissibility of the discovery material in the litigation." Stipulated Motion and Order	
3	Regarding Discovery, De Coster v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:21-cv-00693 (W.D. Wash. May 18,	
4	2023), Dkt. # 90 at 2.	
5	Like the Frame-Wilson and De Coster plaintiffs, Plaintiffs allege that Amazon denies	
6	shoppers lower prices both on and off Amazon by punishing sellers who offer lower prices off	
7	Amazon. See, e.g., Compl., Dkt. #1 at 83-87. However, Plaintiffs here challenge that conduct	
8	under Section 5 of the FTC Act, Section 2 of the Sherman Act, and numerous state laws not at	
9	issue in Frame-Wilson or De Coster. Plaintiffs also challenge Amazon conduct that is not at	
10	issue in Frame-Wilson or De Coster, under both federal and state laws.	
11	Hogan is a putative class action brought on behalf of "[a]ll persons who, while residing in	
12	the United States, purchased an item during the Relevant Period through Amazon's Buy Box,	
13	and the order was then shipped (or 'fulfilled') by Amazon." Second Amended Class Action	
14	Complaint, Hogan v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:21-cv-00996 (W.D. Wash. June 21, 2023), Dkt.	
15	#44 at 58-59. The <i>Hogan</i> plaintiffs bring antitrust claims for violations of Section 1 and	
16	Section 2 of the Sherman Act, alleging that Amazon "conditioned a Seller's access to the Prime	
17	Badge—and with it, placement in the Buy Box—on a Seller's using Fulfillment by Amazon,"	
18	which results in shoppers "pay[ing] higher prices when shopping on Amazon.com than they	
19	would but for Amazon's unlawful conduct." <i>Id.</i> at 12-13.	
20	Plaintiffs in this case allege that Amazon coerces sellers into using Amazon's fulfillment	
21	service, depriving competitors of scale and constraining rivals' ability to compete on price and	
22	product selection. See, e.g., Compl., Dkt. #1 at 102-117. However, Plaintiffs here challenge that	
23	conduct under Section 5 of the FTC Act, Section 2 of the Sherman Act, and numerous state laws	

## Case 2:23-cv-01495-JCC Document 4 Filed 09/26/23 Page 4 of 5

1	not at issue in <i>Hogan</i> . Plaintiffs also challenge Amazon conduct that is not at issue in <i>Hogan</i> ,		
2	under both federal and state laws.		
3	Plaintiffs' case differs from and is broader than the Related Cases. However, Plaintiffs'		
4	case involves certain overlapping factual and legal issues with each of the Related Cases.		
5	Plaintiffs' case and the Related Cases address some of the same conduct engaged in by Amazon,		
6	and Plaintiffs' case and the Related Cases concern many of the same transactions and events,		
7	including purchases made on Amazon's online store and certain of the restrictions Amazon		
8	imposes on sellers. Accordingly, it is "likely that there will be an unduly burdensome		
9	duplication of labor and expense or the potential for conflicting results if the cases are conducted		
10	before different judges." Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 3(g)(4).		
11			
12	Dated: September 26, 2023	Respectfully submitted,	
13		s/Susan A. Musser	
14		SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) EDWARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641)	
15		DAVID B. SCHWARTZ (NY Reg. # 4947925) COLIN M. HERD (NY Reg. # 5665740)	
16		DANIELLE C. QUINN (NY Reg. # 5408943) Federal Trade Commission	
17		600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580	
18		Telephone: (202) 326-2122 (Musser) (202) 326-2464 (Takashima)	
19		Email: smusser@ftc.gov etakashima@ftc.gov	
20		dschwartz1@ftc.gov cherd@ftc.gov	
21		dquinn@ftc.gov	
22		Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission	
23		I certify that this memorandum contains	
24		833 words, in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.	
	NOTICE OF RELATED CASES - 4	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES - 4 CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01495

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-2222

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Susan A. Musser, certify that on September 26, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing Motion with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system. Additionally, today I effectuated service of the same by email on Kevin M. Hodges, counsel for the Defendant. I understand that Defendant's counsel has consented to service in this manner. A copy of this Motion will also be personally delivered today to Kevin Hodges, counsel for Defendant, at Williams & Connolly LLP, 680 Maine Ave SW, Washington, DC 20024.

s/Susan A. Musser
SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486)
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
Telephone: (202) 326-2122
Email: smusser@ftc.gov

Attorney for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission