1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	EEDED AL TRADE COMMISSION		
	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, STATE OF NEW YORK, STATE OF		
9	CONNECTICUT, COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, STATE OF DELAWARE,		
10	STATE OF MAINE, STATE OF MARYLAND, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS,		
11	STATE OF MICHIGAN, STATE OF MINNESOTA, STATE OF NEVADA, STATE		
12	OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, STATE OF NEW JERSEY, STATE OF NEW MEXICO, STATE	CASE NO.: 2:23-cv-01495	
13	OF OKLAHOMA, STATE OF OREGON, STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, and STATE OF	NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF	
14	WISCONSIN,	OTHER ACTIONS IN ANOTHER JURISDICTION	
15	Plaintiffs,		
16	v.		
17	AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation,		
18	Defendant.		
19			
20	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 3(h), Plaintiffs Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") and the		
21	states of New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,		
22	Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon,		
23	Rhode Island, and Wisconsin provide notice of the pendency of the following cases: <i>District of</i>		
24	Columbia v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2021 CA 001775 B (D.C. Super. Ct.) (the "D.C. Action");		
	NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS - 1 CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01495	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NV Washington, DC 2058	

Washington, DC 20580 202-326-2222

Case 2:23-cv-01495-JCC Document 5 Filed 09/26/23 Page 2 of 6

1 | People of the State of California v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. CGC-22-601826 (Cal. Super. Ct.) (the

- 1	
2	"California Action"); and <i>Mbadiwe v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , No. 1:22-cv-09542 (S.D.N.Y.)
3	("Mbadiwe") (collectively with the D.C. Action and the California Action, the "Other Actions").
4	Because the Other Actions arise solely under state law ¹ and are each significantly narrower than
5	Plaintiffs' action here, Plaintiffs do not believe that coordination between the actions is likely to
6	"avoid conflicts, conserve resources, [or] promote an efficient determination of this action,"
7	Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 3(h). As such, Plaintiffs do not believe any formal coordination
8	between this case and the Other Actions is warranted.
9	The D.C. Action is an enforcement action by the Attorney General of the District of
10	Columbia against Amazon for violations of the District of Columbia Antitrust Act, D.C. Code
11	§§ 28-4501, et seq. The D.C. Action is a state action arising solely under state law and is not
12	subject to a transfer of venue within the federal court system. The D.C. Action alleges that
13	Amazon restrains third-party sellers from selling their products on any other online retail store at
14	prices lower than they offer on Amazon, and that this conduct causes prices for consumers acros
15	online retail to be higher than they would otherwise be. Similar to the D.C. Action, Plaintiffs
16	allege that Amazon stifles price competition by punishing sellers who offer lower prices off
17	Amazon. See, e.g., Compl., Dkt. #1 at 83-87. However, Plaintiffs here also challenge several
18	other facets of Amazon's conduct under federal and state laws that are not at issue in the D.C.
19	Action. In the D.C. Action, the court granted Amazon's motion to dismiss on March 18, 2022,
20	and then denied the District's request for reconsideration on August 1, 2022. The District's
21	appeal is currently pending in the D.C. Court of Appeals and Plaintiffs are unaware of any
22	
23	
	¹ For simplicity, Plaintiffs refer to the laws of the District of Columbia and the laws of various states implicated in

the Other Actions as "state" laws or actions. 24

1	ongoing discovery in the D.C. Action. Given the differences between this case and the D.C.
2	Action, Plaintiffs do not believe that coordination between the actions is likely to "avoid
3	conflicts, conserve resources, [or] promote an efficient determination of this action." LCR 3(h).
4	The California Action is an enforcement action by the Attorney General of California
5	against Amazon for violations of California's Cartwright Act, Bus. & Prof. Code § 16720, et
6	seq., and the unlawful and unfair prongs of California's Unfair Competition Law, Bus. & Prof.
7	Code § 17200, et seq. The California Action is a state action arising solely under state law and i
8	not subject to a transfer of venue to the federal court system. The California Action alleges that
9	Amazon requires all third-party sellers who sell products on the Amazon platform, and wholesal
10	suppliers who supply Amazon with the products it sells directly, to enter into express and de
11	facto price parity agreements under which the sellers and suppliers agree not to charge or allow
12	lower prices to be offered for their products on any other online retail platform. The California
13	Action further alleges that these anticompetitive agreements cause harm to competitors, third-
14	party sellers, wholesale suppliers, and consumers. Similar to the California Action, Plaintiffs
15	allege that Amazon stifles price competition by punishing sellers who offer lower prices off
16	Amazon. See, e.g., Compl., Dkt. #1 at 83-87. However, Plaintiffs here also challenge several
17	other facets of Amazon's conduct under federal and state laws that are not at issue in the
18	California Action. Given the differences between this case and the California Action, Plaintiffs
19	do not believe that coordination between the actions is likely to "avoid conflicts, conserve
20	resources, [or] promote an efficient determination of this action." LCR 3(h).
21	Mbadiwe is a putative class action brought on behalf of consumers from various states
22	who "paid supra-competitive prices for products sold on e-commerce platforms other than
23	Amazon because of an agreement or business combination that each of the third-party sellers
24	were required to enter into with Amazon in order to sell their products on Amazon." First
- 1	

Case 2:23-cv-01495-JCC Document 5 Filed 09/26/23 Page 4 of 6

1	Amended Class Action Complaint, Mb	padiwe v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 1:22-cv-09542 (S.D.N.Y.	
2	Jan. 20, 2023), Dkt. #24 at 1. The <i>Mbadiwe</i> plaintiffs do not allege any federal antitrust law		
3	violations, do not seek injunctive relief, and assert claims based on antitrust and consumer		
4	protection laws of 29 states. The <i>Mbadiwe</i> plaintiffs also limit their allegations concerning		
5	anticompetitive conduct by Amazon to the time period before March 2019, when Amazon		
6	allegedly removed the challenged "Price Parity Restriction" from its agreements in the United		
7	States with third-party sellers. <i>Id.</i> at 8, 34-36. Plaintiffs here seek injunctive relief and allege		
8	that Amazon stifles price competition by punishing sellers who offer lower prices off Amazon,		
9	but do not limit their allegations to the specific contractual restrictions at issue in <i>Mbadiwe</i> .		
10	Plaintiffs also challenge several other facets of Amazon's conduct federal laws that are not at		
11	issue in <i>Mbadiwe</i> . Given the differences between this case and <i>Mbadiwe</i> , Plaintiffs do not		
12	believe that coordination between the actions is likely to "avoid conflicts, conserve resources,		
		•	
13	[or] promote an efficient determination	n of this action." LCR 3(h).	
	[or] promote an efficient determination	n of this action." LCR 3(h).	
13	[or] promote an efficient determination Dated: September 26, 2023	n of this action." LCR 3(h). Respectfully submitted,	
13 14		Respectfully submitted, s/Susan A. Musser	
13 14 15		Respectfully submitted, <u>s/Susan A. Musser</u> SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) EDWARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641)	
13 14 15 16		Respectfully submitted, s/Susan A. Musser SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) EDWARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641) DAVID B. SCHWARTZ (NY Reg. # 4947925) COLIN M. HERD (NY Reg. # 5665740)	
13 14 15 16 17		Respectfully submitted, s/Susan A. Musser SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) EDWARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641) DAVID B. SCHWARTZ (NY Reg. # 4947925) COLIN M. HERD (NY Reg. # 5665740) DANIELLE C. QUINN (NY Reg. # 5408943) Federal Trade Commission	
13 14 15 16 17 18		Respectfully submitted, s/Susan A. Musser SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) EDWARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641) DAVID B. SCHWARTZ (NY Reg. # 4947925) COLIN M. HERD (NY Reg. # 5665740) DANIELLE C. QUINN (NY Reg. # 5408943) Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Respectfully submitted, s/Susan A. Musser SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) EDWARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641) DAVID B. SCHWARTZ (NY Reg. # 4947925) COLIN M. HERD (NY Reg. # 5665740) DANIELLE C. QUINN (NY Reg. # 5408943) Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2122 (Musser) (202) 326-2464 (Takashima)	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Respectfully submitted, s/Susan A. Musser SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) EDWARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641) DAVID B. SCHWARTZ (NY Reg. # 4947925) COLIN M. HERD (NY Reg. # 5665740) DANIELLE C. QUINN (NY Reg. # 5408943) Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2122 (Musser) (202) 326-2464 (Takashima) Email: smusser@ftc.gov etakashima@ftc.gov	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Respectfully submitted, s/Susan A. Musser SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) EDWARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641) DAVID B. SCHWARTZ (NY Reg. # 4947925) COLIN M. HERD (NY Reg. # 5665740) DANIELLE C. QUINN (NY Reg. # 5408943) Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2122 (Musser) (202) 326-2464 (Takashima) Email: smusser@ftc.gov	

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS - 4 CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01495 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 202-326-2222

Case 2:23-cv-01495-JCC Document 5 Filed 09/26/23 Page 5 of 6

1	Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission
2	I certify that this memorandum contains 912 words, in compliance with the Local Civil
3	Rules.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS - 5 CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01495

23

24

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Susan A. Musser, certify that on September 26, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing Motion with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system. Additionally, today I effectuated service of the same by email on Kevin M. Hodges, counsel for the Defendant. I understand that Defendant's counsel has consented to service in this manner. A copy of this Motion will also be personally delivered today to Kevin Hodges, counsel for Defendant, at Williams & Connolly LLP, 680 Maine Ave SW, Washington, DC 20024.

s/Susan A. Musser

SUSAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2122 Email: smusser@ftc.gov

Attorney for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS - 6 CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01495