1 2 3	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California PAULA BLIZZARD (SBN 207920) Senior Assistant Attorney General NATALIE S. MANZO (SBN 155655)	ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
	JAMIE L. MILLER (SBN 271452)	Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco	
5	Supervising Deputy Attorneys General MINA NOROOZKHANI (SBN 281552) ROBERT B. McNary (SBN 253745)	11/15/2023 Clerk of the Court BY: ANNIE PASCUAL	
6	STEPHEN R. SMEREK (SBN 208343) CATHERINE S. SIMONSEN (SBN 307325)	Deputy Clerk	
7	KOMAL K. PATEL (SBN 342765) ALAN D. ROMERO (SBN 316323) NELL G. MOLEY (SBN 295498)	Exempt from Filing Fees Pursuant to Government Code § 6103	
8	LAUREN J. POMEROY (SBN 291604) Deputy Attorneys General		
10	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230		
11	Telephone: (213) 897-2703 Fax: (916) 731-3637		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant The People of the State of California		
13			
14			
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
17	COUNT OF SIM	TMINOISCO	
18	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. CGC-22-601826	
19	Plaintiff, Cross-Defendant	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S ANSWER TO	
20	V.	DEFENDANT AMAZON.COM, INC.'S CROSS-COMPLAINT	
21	AMAZON.COM, INC.,	Cross-Complaint Filed: May 30, 2023	
22	Defendant, Cross-Complainant.	Department: 304 Judge: Hon. Ethan P. Schulman	
23	Defendant, Cross-Compianiant.	Judge. 11011. Ethan F. Schuman	
24			
25			
26			
27			

1	п	
	ı	
J	L	

Case No. CGC-22-601826

<u>ANSWER</u>

The PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA (the "People") hereby answer the Cross-Complaint filed by Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. ("Amazon"), as follows:

Pursuant to Section 431.30(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure, the People deny generally the allegations of the Cross-Complaint. Any allegation not expressly and explicitly admitted by the People is denied.

Pursuant to Section 431.20(a) of the Code of Civil Procedure, the People respond generally to the legal conclusions and characterizations in the Cross-Complaint that no responsive pleading is required. Insofar as any responsive pleading is required, the People dispute all legal conclusions and characterizations of Amazon's relevant agreements and conduct as being lawful under the Cartwright Act or the California Unfair Competition Law.

Pursuant to the October 5, 2023 Order Sustaining in Part and Overruling in Part the People's Demurrer to Amazon's Cross-Complaint, the People respond only to Counts 2 to 9 of the Cross-Complaint, the Court having sustained the People's Demurrer to Count 1. (Order at 6.). Also pursuant to that Order, the People respond to the Cross-Complaint only with respect to the present dispute involving violations of the Cartwright Act and the California Unfair Competition Law. (Order at 9.)

The People incorporate their Complaint, filed September 14, 2022 in the underlying action, by reference and reassert all allegations therein.

I. THE PARTIES

- The People are without the knowledge necessary to admit or deny that Amazon is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 400 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, Washington, 98109.
- 2. The People admit that the California Attorney General is the chief law enforcement officer of the State of California pursuant to Cal. Const., art. V, § 13. The People also admit that the California Attorney General filed the Complaint in this action on behalf of the People of the State of California. Except as expressly admitted, The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 2.

1

("ASB"), the Marketplace Fair Pricing Policy ("MFPP"), and the Seller Code of Conduct, which

COUNT 5: AMAZON'S MATCHING COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Paragraph 99, the People deny the allegations in Paragraph 99.

28

1	100. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 100.	
2	101. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 101.	
3	102. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 102.	
4	103. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 103.	
5	104. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 104.	
6	105. The People admit that Amazon seeks a declaratory judgment that its practices to	
7	determine whether an offer is eligible to be displayed as the Featured Offer are lawful. The	
8	People deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 105.	
9	COUNT 8: AMAZON'S BUSINESS SOLUTIONS AGREEMENT	
10	106. The allegations in Paragraph 106 are legal conclusions and characterizations, to	
11	which no responsive pleading is required. Insofar as any responsive pleading is required for	
12	Paragraph 106, the People deny the allegations in Paragraph 106.	
13	107. The People admit that as a condition to selling their products on Amazon, third-	
14	party sellers agree to the BSA. Except as expressly admitted, The People deny the allegations in	
15	Paragraph 107.	
16	108. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 108.	
17	109. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 109.	
18	110. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 110.	
19	111. The People deny the allegations in Paragraph 111.	
20	112. The admit that Amazon seeks a declaratory judgment that the BSA is lawful. The	
21	People deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 112.	
22	COUNT 9: AMAZON'S THIRD-PARTY SELLER PRICING POLICIES SINCE MARCH	
23	2019	
24	113. The allegations in Paragraph 113 are legal conclusions and characterizations, to	
25	which no responsive pleading is required. Insofar as any responsive pleading is required for	
26	Paragraph 113, the People deny the allegations in Paragraph 113.	
27		

where "evidence in the trial of the main action would be substantially the same as that presented in connection with a cross-complaint," where a party "has other means of seeking a determination of [its] rights," or "when a matter can be raised as an affirmative defense." (*CJL Constr., Inc. v. Universal Plumbing* (1993) 18 Cal.App.4th 376, 390-91 [collecting cases].)

Moreover, a declaratory action cannot be "used as a device to circumvent the right to a jury trial in cases where such right would be guaranteed[.]" (*State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Superior Court, In and For City and County of San Francisco* (1956) 47 Cal.2d 428, 432.) The Cross-Complaint may not be used to bypass the jury as finders of fact.

THIRD DEFENSE - CROSS-COMPLAINT VIOLATES PUBLIC POLICY

Amazon seeks to broadly limit future enforcement actions brought by the Attorney General and Department of Justice by seeking relief to prevent future enforcement actions. This type of declaration violates public policy and California law, including but not limited to Section 3423 of the Civil Code and Section 526 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

The People filed their Complaint challenging Amazon's violations of the Cartwright Act and Unfair Competition Law. Because Amazon's Cross-Complaint interferes with a valid action to enforce the law, it is barred as against public policy, as defined by controlling law and statute.

Amazon's claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that the remedies sought are contrary to public policy or are otherwise unauthorized. Relief "cannot be granted to prevent the execution of a public statute, by officers of the law, for the public benefit." (*Manchel v. Los Angeles County* (1966) 245 Cal.App.2d 501, 506.)

Statutes prohibiting injunctive relief against the government also protect against the requested declaratory relief that is injunctive in nature. Amazon may not circumvent the prohibition on injunctions by seeking a declaration that would have the same effect.

FOURTH DEFENSE – INTERFERENCE WITH PROSECUTORIAL FUNCTION

The Attorney General and his deputies are charged with seeing that the laws of this state are followed. (Cal. Const., Article V, Section 13.) He is further charged with the enforcement of the Cartwright Act and Unfair Competition Law. (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 16720 et seq., 17200 et seq.) He also is authorized to investigate possible violations of law. (Govt. Code § 1180 et seq.)

1	These powers extend to both criminal and civil investigations and prosecutions. The declaratory	
2	relief sought seeks to enjoin the Attorney General's constitutional authority and duty to enforce	
3	California's competition laws.	
4	FIFTH DEFENSE – UNCLEAN HANDS	
5	"No one may take advantage of his own wrong." (Civil Code § 3517.) Amazon has	
6	violated the Cartwright Act and Unfair Competition Law, and it is not entitled to the declaratory	
7	judgment it seeks by virtue of its own liability.	
8	SIXTH DEFENSE – THE PEOPLE ARE NOT CORRECTLY NAMED	
9	The People, as opposed to an administrative or government agency, are not susceptible to	
10	suit by Amazon and have been improperly joined in this Cross-Complaint.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	DEMA	AND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	The People demand a trial before a jury on all issues presented by the Cross-Complaint	
3	that are triable to a jury.	
4		
5	Dated: November 15, 2023	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
6		
7		By: /s/ Stephen R. Smerek Deputy Attorney General
8		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
9 10		PAULA BLIZZARD Senior Assistant Attorney General NATALIE S. MANZO
		JAMIE L. MILLER
11		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
12		MINA NOROOZKHANI (SBN 281552) ROBERT B. MCNARY (SBN 253745)
		STEPHEN R. SMEREK (SBN 208343) CATHERINE S. SIMONSEN (SBN 307325)
14		KOMAL K. PATEL (SBN 342765) ALAN D. ROMERO (SBN 316323)
15		NELL G. MOLEY (SBN 295498) LAUREN J. POMEROY (SBN 291604)
16		300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
17		Los Angeles, CA 90013 Tel: (213) 269-6058
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant
19		The People of the State of California
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	DECLARATION OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE	
2	Case Name: The People of the State of California v. Amazon.com, Inc.	
3	Case No. CGC-22-601826	
4	I declare:	
5	I am employed by the law firm of Shartsis Friese LLP. My business address is One Maritime	
6	Plaza, Eighteenth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a	
7	party to the within-entitled action.	
8	On November 15, 2023, I served the following document:	
9	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S ANSWER TO	
10	DEFENDANT AMAZON.COM, INC.'S CROSS-COMPLAINT	
11	on Defendant in this action by transmitting a true copy of the above by electronic mail, from the	
12	email address vkiley@sflaw.com, to Defendant's counsel addressed as follows:	
13	Attorneys for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc.:	
14	COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP	
15	Jeffrey M. Davidson, etc. Salesforce Tower	
16	415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105	
17	Tel.: (415) 591-6000	
18	Email: jdavidson@cov.com Email: AmazonCA@cov.com	
19	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP	
20	Carl R. Metz, etc. 680 Maine Ave. SW	
21	Washington, DC 20024	
22	Tel.: (202) 434-5000 Email: cmetz@wc.com	
23	Email: Amazon-CAAG@wc.com	
24	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	
25	foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 15, 2023 in San Francisco, California.	
26		
27	Virginia A. Kiley Zogmia Ch. Julen	
28	Declarant Signature Signature	

DECLARATION OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE